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## Environmental success stories (not exhaustive)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDT</th>
<th>Acid rain</th>
<th>Ozone Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key dates: Rachel Carlson 1962 (Silent Spring). U.S. DDT ban in the early 1970s</td>
<td>Key dates: The term &quot;acid rain&quot; was coined in 1872. Late 1960s that scientists began widely observing and studying. Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990</td>
<td>Key dates: 1973 (detection CFC in atmosphere), 1985, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs/monitoring network: YES</td>
<td>Obs/monitoring network: YES</td>
<td>Obs/monitoring network: YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven Science: YES</td>
<td>Proven science: YES</td>
<td>Proven Science: YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaseout of substances: YES (with some exceptions)</td>
<td>Phaseout of substances: YES</td>
<td>Phaseout of substances: YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress in technology: YES</td>
<td>Progress in technology: YES</td>
<td>Progress in technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery: YES</td>
<td>Recovery: Partially</td>
<td>Recovery: No yet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3 from Z.Klimont et al 2013 Environ. Res. Lett. 8014003](image1.png)
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Scientific knowledge and policy interface

Science-policy interfaces
(translating science into the advisory process)
Scientific knowledge and policy interface

Example of Science-policy Architecture for Climate knowledge

World Climate Research Programme (WCRP)
- **Objective:** Determine predictability of climate and effect of human activities
- **Strategy:** To facilitate analysis and prediction of Earth system variability and change, for use in an increasing range of practical applications of direct relevance, benefit and value to society

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
- Working Group I: Physical Basis for Climate Change
- 91% of coordinating authors, 66% of lead authors were WCRP scientists

UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

via COP SBSTA
Policy directed by science

IPCC AR, relevant COPs and scientific conferences


Effects of Climate Change on the World’s Oceans
Three characteristics converge in COPs and make them particularly complex process:

1. COP **negotiations are about sustainability**, meaning that management objectives should include social, economic and ecological concerns, requiring trade-offs. The exact needs and challenges, e.g. whether objectives and measures focus more on ecosystem/planet health, economic opportunities or human well-being (or a combination thereof), depend very much on the financial capacity, countries’ priorities and political willingness.

2. COP **negotiations deals with different member states as well as institutional settings and political regimes, requiring multi-level and multilateral governance and authority** (i.e. UN system). Decision makers must understand that ecosystems are complex and often do not match existing policy scales or boundaries. A limited agreement which ignore the planetary dimension of climate change can result in policy recommendations that are not meaningful and can lead to institutional ambiguity and pose limitations to effective correction measures on CO2 (and other GHG) emissions.

3. COP **negotiations require cross-sectoral coordination and the integration of sectoral concerns and management**. Oil and gas producers, agriculture, fisheries, ecological reserves and MPAs, tourism, etc. are all activities managed by different sectoral approaches. UNFCCC/COP agreements have to build institutional linkages with sectoral governance arrangements to avoid conflicts when implementing mitigation and adaptation measures to climate change.
Climate change is affecting many ocean physical, chemical and biological processes key for the sustainability of climate, biodiversity, food security, economies, etc, at planetary scale.

Key uncertainties remaining from AR5

- The extent of warming in deep water masses (below 700 m).
- While acknowledged as a critical process influencing ecosystem productivity, the likelihood of climate-induced changes to major upwelling systems was still uncertain.
- Ways in which climate-induced changes in the physiology and biogeography of an individual species may alter ecosystem structures, species interactions, and food webs.
- An improved understanding of climate sensitivity at the ecosystem level that considers multiple drivers (e.g., ocean warming, acidification, and hypoxia), multiple stressors and synergistic impacts.
- The capacity for phenotypic and evolutionary adaptation over generations to respond to long-term climate change.
- Increased resolution of forecasted impacts and changes at national and ecosystem scales to fisheries food production and security, and potential adaptation responses.
- Climate-related impacts to coastal sectors, such as tourism and aquaculture and its consequences in human well-being and in regional economies.
Key uncertainties remaining from Santos (Brazil)

- Measurements: what and why?
- Physical understanding: non linearity and tipping points
- Decadal climate variability underlying the signal of CC
- Climate induced changes in upwelling systems
- Deoxygenation and hypoxia
- The expansion of oligotrophic gyres
- Impacts of OA in marine biota
Key uncertainties remaining from Santos (Brazil)

- Seasonality, phenology and match-mismatch
- Species sensitivity and response to climate change
- Genetic and phenotypic adaptation capacity
- Scaling up to ecosystems and cumulative synergistic effects
- Blue Carbon: a natural option to mitigate climate change
- Climate Change and economy: Human Activities at Risk
- A new narrative: delivering the message right
Key uncertainties: The extent of warming in deep water masses

Roemmich et al. 2015
doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2513
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Key uncertainties: Measurements: what, why and where

International Group for Marine Ecological Time Series
Ship-based ecological time series

Coupling biogeochemical with physical systems (big challenge)
Key uncertainties: Measurements: what, why and where

Levels of understanding – Need for continued sampling

- Taxonomy
- Baselines
- Seasonal dynamics
- Interannual variability
- Trends, models

YEARS OF SAMPLING
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**Individual TS analysis**

I. Identification of temporal patterns.

II. Understanding of local processes.

---

**Joint TS analysis**

I. Identification of temporal and spatial patterns.

II. Establishment of baselines.

III. Understanding of regional and global processes - insights on linkages between climate variability and ocean biogeochemistry at regional, basin and world ocean scales can be gained from several time-series geographically distributed.

IV. Separation of stressors.

V. Projection and Forecasting.
Scales for ocean processes

- Climate
- ENSO
- Decadal oscillations/Fish regime shifts
- Seasonal MLD & biomass cycles
- Coastally trapped waves
- Synoptic storms, river outflows, & sediment resuspension

- Mesoscale phenomena
- Fronts, eddies & filaments
- Phytoplankton blooms
- Plankton migration
- Internal tides
- Surface tides
- Intertial/intermediate waves
- Surface waves
- Langmuir cells
- Turbulent patch size
- Individual movement
- Molecular processes
‘Ocean TS Heritage’

I. No substitute exists for adequate observations

II. Observations which are not made today, are lost forever!

III. Existing observations are useless if are not made accessible.

IV. Collective value of data sets is greater than its dispersed value.

V. Models will evolve and improve, but, without data, will be untestable.

VI. Today’s climate models will likely prove of little interest in 100 years. But adequately sampled, carefully quality controlled and archived data for key elements of the climate system will be useful indefinitely.
A new narrative: delivering the message right

The interaction triangle:
Making science credible, salience and effective

Modified from Röckmann et al. 2015. Marine Policy, 52:155–162
(A) Interaction between scientists and decision makers to transform science into policy output.

(B) Interaction between scientists and public/social actors to enhance societal scientific knowledge and create mutual trust.

(C) Interaction between decision makers and public/social actors, to shape participation processes to foster legitimacy of UNFCCC and COP processes.

(d) Interaction among scientists to foster best practices and new knowledge production.
Decision on a set of global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Rio+20 launched an intergovernmental process to develop a set of SDGs, building upon the Millennium Development Goals, following these principles:

- Contribute to the full implementation of the outcomes of all major summits in the economic, social and environmental fields

- Focus on priority areas in the Rio Outcome document.

- Address in a balanced way all 3 SD dimensions


- To be approved by UNGA 69th session (2014)
SCIENCE FOR SUSTAINABILITY

**Economy**
Investing in new opportunities, innovation & sustainable activities

**Society**
Promoting well-being & equal access to services & resources

**Environment**
Preserving ecosystems and their potential

**Science**
Producing new knowledge, common understanding & an integrated vision

**Space equity**
Developing geographical balance in access & use of marine resources

**Time equity**
Managing the means of subsistence for inhabitants of today & generations to come

**Policy making**
Fostering good ocean governance

**BLUE SOCIETY**
Oceans of new opportunities for all

No Science = No Sustainability
Pasteur’s quadrant: Coupling knowledge to action

Science for sustainability

Progress of the Science
Relevance for generalized knowledge

Pure basic research
Bohr

Use-inspired basic research
Pasteur

Pure applied research
Tinkering

Relevance for immediate applications
Social utility

*Pasteur’s Quadrant: Basic Science and Technological Innovation.*
Final Remarks

- There is a need for increasing translation of scientific knowledge into specific policy action (e.g. in Climate change)

- Long time gap between scientific findings and policy responses

- Scientist must follow “best practice” to ensure high quality, independent and policy relevant information, and therefore legitimate scientific knowledge and advice.

- It is necessary to continue developing strategic interfaces (e.g. IPCC, IPBES, WOA, SOFIA) to strengthening science-policy links among organisations (e.g. IOC, FAO, WMO, EC, etc.) and Convention/multilateral environmental/sustainable development agreements (e.g. CBD, UNFCCC) at the regional and global levels.

- It is necessary to strength research and science for sustainable development and on global environmental change and support developing countries to build capacity in science and technology, as well as in science for policy processes.
Thank you very much!