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A B S T R A C T
A global compilation of glacier advances and retreats for the past two millennia grouped by 17 regions (excluding Antarctica) highlights the nature of glacier fluctuations during the late Holocene. The dataset includes 275 time series of glacier fluctuations based on historical, tree ring, lake sediment, radiocarbon and terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide data. The most detailed and reliable series for individual glaciers and regional compilations are compared with summer temperature and, when available, winter precipitation reconstructions, the most important parameters for glacier mass balance. In many cases major glacier advances correlate with multi-decadal periods of decreased summer temperature. In a few cases, such as in Arctic Alaska and western Canada, some glacier advances occurred during relatively warm wet times. The timing and scale of glacier fluctuations over the past two millennia varies greatly from region to region. However, the number of glacier advances shows a clear pattern for the high, mid and low latitudes and, hence, points to common forcing factors acting at the global scale. Globally, during the first millennium CE glaciers were smaller than between the advances in 13th to early 20th centuries CE. The precise extent of glacier retreat in the first millennium is not well defined; however, the most conservative estimates indicate that during the 1st and 2nd centuries in some regions glaciers were smaller than at the end of 20th/early 21st centuries. Other periods of glacier retreat are identified regionally during the 5th and 8th centuries in the European Alps, in the 3rd–6th and 9th centuries in Norway, during the 10th–13th centuries in southern Alaska, and in the 18th century in Spitsbergen. However, no single period of common global glacier retreat of centennial duration, except for the past century, has yet been identified. In contrast, the view that the Little Ice Age was a period of global glacier expansion beginning in the 13th century (or earlier) and reaching a maximum in 17th–19th centuries is supported by our data. The pattern of glacier variations in the past two millennia corresponds with cooling in reconstructed temperature records at the continental and hemispheric scales. The number of glacier advances also broadly matches periods showing high volcanic activity and low solar irradiance over the past two millennia, although the resolution of most glacier chronologies is not enough for robust
1. Introduction

Glaciers are sensitive climate proxies and variations in their length, area and volume provide insights into past climate variability, placing contemporary changes into a long-term context (Oerlemans, 1994, 2001; Hoelzle et al., 2003). A strong argument for the reliability and sensitivity of the glacier record is made by their relatively uniform retreat to contemporary warming (Vaughan et al., 2013).

A detailed analysis of Holocene glacier fluctuations from 17 regions and their relationship to potentially important forcing factors was presented in Solomina et al. (2015). Solomina et al. (2015) demonstrated a general trend of increasing glacier size from the early-mid Holocene to the late Holocene in the high and mid latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere and possible forcing by orbitally-controlled insolation. Glaciers advanced in the second half of the Holocene between 4.4 and 4.2 ka (ka = thousand years), 3.8–3.4 ka, 3.3–2.8 ka, at 2.6 ka, between 2.3 and 2.1 ka, 1.5–1.4 ka, 1.2–1.0 ka, and 0.7–0.5 ka. These ice expansions generally correspond with episodes of cooling in the North Atlantic and provide a record of cool summers with an approximate century-scale resolution.

Even though the quality and replication of data on Holocene glacier variations has dramatically improved in recent decades, the accuracy of dating advances and retreats is still limited. This dating uncertainty makes it difficult to draw firm conclusions about the synchronicity of glacial advances from different regions and therefore direct comparison with high-resolution proxy reconstructions is a challenge (Winkler and Matthews, 2010). The chronology of glacier fluctuations for the past two millennia is a more reliable climate proxy than earlier in the Holocene, as the ages of moraines are more precisely known, and the spatial coverage of the record is more dense. The possibility of dating advances to the calendar year with tree rings and historical data increases the resolution of the more recent portion of the records. Additionally, comparisons with high-resolution reconstructions of summer temperature and winter precipitation from tree rings, ice cores, and lake sediments helps identify potential climatic factors that may have driven glacier fluctuations. Glacier variations themselves can be used for low-frequency records of temperature and precipitation especially when they are combined with other proxies (e.g. Dahl and Nesje, 1996; Luckman and Villalba, 2001; Nesje et al., 2001; Nesje and Dahl, 2003) or associated with modelling studies (Leclercq and Oerlemans, 2011; Oerlemans, 2012; Leclercq et al., 2012; Marzeion et al., 2014).

Glacier variations of the past two millennia are usually considered in the context of Holocene glacier fluctuations as the latest part of the “Neoglacialization” (after ~4.5 ka) (Porter and Denton, 1967; special issues of Quaternary Science Reviews v. 7, issue 2, 1988 and v. 28, 2009 “Holocene and Latest Pleistocene Alpine Glacier Fluctuations: A Global Perspective”; Wanner et al., 2011). Two other terms are often used in the discussion of the environmental changes of the past two millennia: the “Medieval Climatic Anomaly” (MCA) or “Medieval Warm Period” and the “Little Ice Age” (LIA). Although there is little agreement in the literature on the definition of these terms, in this paper we will use the following approximate boundaries: ~950 to 1250 CE for the MWP/MCA and ~1250 to ~1850 CE for the LIA (IPCC AR5, 2013).

In this paper we will focus on the following questions:

- What were the periods of major glacier advances and retreats in key mountain regions over the past two millennia, and how synchronous were they regionally and globally?
- What was the magnitude of glacier fluctuations in the past two millennia and how does this compare with contemporary glacier retreat?
- How does the timing and magnitude of glacier variations relate to orbital, solar, volcanic and greenhouse gas forcings?

2. Approach, data, methods, accuracy of the records

The approach used here is generally the same as in Solomina et al. (2015) although it is applied to the past two millennia and focused on higher-frequency glacier variations. We provide a compilation of glacier fluctuations taking into account both continuous and discontinuous time series for both glacier advances and retreats of glaciers. We compile and analyze information about both individual glaciers and regional summaries (Table 1, Supplementary Materials (SM)). We selected the best-dated and most complete time series of glacier fluctuations with preference to those that extend the full two millennia. Periods of advances and retreats are identified by historical data, tree remains, ages of moraines—derived from dendrochronology, terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides and radiocarbon dating—as well as information from lake and marine sediments. In Solomina et al. (2015, SM) the reader can find a detailed description of the methods used for the reconstruction of glacier fluctuations. Below we briefly summarize the challenges related specifically to the past two millennia.

Historical data (maps, pictures, written documents) used for the reconstruction of glacier size is valuable and precise, but limited in time and to specific regions (Ladurie, 1971; Grove, 2004; Zumbühl et al., 2008; Masliokas et al., 2009a,b; Nussbaumer et al., 2011a,b; Nussbaumer and Zumbühl, 2012). In several mountain regions, for example Scandinavia, the earliest historical data are from the late 17th century. Information based on tree rings can also be of high quality and chronologically accurate. Unlike historical sources tree-ring data can cover longer periods, up to several millennia (e.g. Nicolussi and Schlüchter, 2012), and can be applied in areas where the historical descriptions of glaciers are absent. Trees growing on moraines provide minimum ages of these surfaces (McCarthy and Luckman, 1993; Wiles et al., 1995; Koch et al., 2009), whereas trees damaged or tilted by advancing glaciers can be used for more precise identification of the ages of glacial expansions (Koch et al., 2007b; Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Nicolussi et al., 2006; Masliokas et al., 2009a,b; Bushueva and Solomina, 2012; Hochreuther et al., 2015; Solomina et al., 2015). Interesting results have also been obtained from wood buried in glacial or glacio-fluvial deposits, although the link between glacier activity and the death of a tree is not always clear (e.g. Nazarov et al., 2012).

Dendrochronologically-based calendar-dated glacier chronologies of high quality and accuracy are available now in several regions, such as Alaska (Wiles et al., 2011; Barclay et al., 2009a,b, 2013), the Alps (Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al.,
Radiocarbon dating of organic material is the most common method for developing glacial chronologies, as it is useful both to define the age of moraines and to construct the age-depth models for lake sediments and even ice cores. The development of the AMS techniques has allowed a substantial increase in the accuracy of this dating by enabling analyses of smaller sample sizes. One of the challenges of dating with $^{14}$C is the radiocarbon plateaus when $^{14}$C concentrations remained constant and thus spanning multiple intercepts of the radiocarbon calibration curve over long period (Lotter et al., 1992). In the past two millennia precise $^{14}$C dating is problematic for the period since -CE 1600, a period that coincides with several prominent advances.

The emergence of landscapes from beneath ice after centuries to millennia has led to new information on past ice extent and to discussions of their interpretations (Miller et al., 2012; Lowell et al., 2013; Koch et al., 2014). In situ rooted tundra plants that have brief life cycles (e.g. mosses) collected at the margins of cold-based non-erosive ice caps date to the time when they were buried by permanent snow (“the vegetation-kill-ages”) (Miller et al., 2012, 2013). Miller et al. (2013) suggested that these are direct records of the time when ice advanced and then did not retreat until the recent time as the vegetation is exposed. Lowell et al. (2013) argue with this concept and defend a different interpretation pointing to a disagreement between the reconstructions based on the “kill ages” and the ones based on lake sediment records in Greenland.

We report all ages here, including those based on $^{14}$C analysis, as CE calendar ages. We use a time scale at the beginning of the Common Era (labeled as CE); events before the year 1 CE are labeled as BCE. Centuries and millennia considered here are not labeled as they are all of the Common Era.

In this paper we treated the $^{14}$C ages of glacier advances and retreats as the calibrated radiocarbon ages reported in the original publications. We recalibrated individual $^{14}$C ages that directly constrain the timing of glacier fluctuations using the uniform approach of considering one-sigma intervals, with Calib7.1 (Stuiver et al., 2005). The calibration curves INTCAL04 and INTCAL13 (Reimer et al., 2013) are identical for the past 2000 years, so the ages published since 2004 do not require recalibration. For consistency we report these recalibrated ages as CE; the corresponding original $^{14}$C ages with the laboratory numbers (when available in the original publications) are reported in SM Table 1 along with the reservoir correction details.

Most of the ages on advances and retreats are based on the assessments by the regional experts inferred from collections of $^{14}$C ages as well as other sources (geomorphological, stratigraphical, historical information, tree rings, etc.). In these cases we do no recalculations and no new assessments, but rely on the choices made by the experts concerning the age range of glacier events including the use of one or two standard deviations, multiple intercepts, etc. In addition, we did not recalibrate the ages for tephras and the ages for lake, peat, and marine sediments if they provide age control for models of the rates of sedimentation.

Surface exposure dating using terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides (TCNs) ($^{10}$Be, $^{14}$C, $^{26}$Al, $^{36}$Cl, $^{1}$He, $^{21}$Ne, etc.) is becoming one of the most widely used approaches to develop glacial chronologies. $^{10}$Be is the most frequently employed for this purpose and details of the application of this method are described in several comprehensive publications (e.g. Gosse and Phillips, 2001; Balco, 2011; Granger et al., 2013) and in the SM of Solomina et al. (2015). The TCN ages of moraines are single ages or more commonly the average of several samples. Recent progress, including the refinement of regional production rates for TCNs (Balco et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2010; Fenton et al., 2011; Kaplan et al., 2011; Goehring et al., 2012; Young et al., 2013; Blard et al., 2013a,b; Kelly et al., 2013; Stroeven et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2015), allows moraines to be dated with the necessary accuracy for the analyses of centennial and sometimes even multidecadal events for the past two millennia.

In this paper we report the TCN ages of moraines that in most cases were calculated with the updated local production rates and the time-dependent Lal/Stone scaling scheme (Stone, 2000). Recalculation with the most updated local production rate was made here for the Tropical Andes (Hall et al., 2009; Liciardi et al., 2009; Jomelli et al., 2014; Stroup et al., 2014, 2015). The ages from New Zealand by Schaefer et al. (2009) were recalculated and reported by Putnam et al. (2012) and are reproduced here. The ages from Greenland (Kelly et al., 2008; Levy et al., 2014) were recalculated here using the Young et al. (2013) approach. For Central Asia we report the original ages: Owen et al. (2008) demonstrated that the difference between different time–dependent scaling models is about 10% (Lal, 1991; Stone, 2000; Desilets et al., 2006; Dunai, 2001; Lifton et al., 2008, 2014). The TCN ages are reported here in CE with its requisite standard error. The ka (kiloyears ago) ages are transformed in CE by subtracting the ka ages from the years of measurement/publication.

Lichenometry has been widely used to estimate the relative and numerical age of glacial deposits of the past two millennia (Beschel, 1950). Recent attempts to improve the lichenometric technique have been primarily focused on statistical approaches (Jomelli et al., 2007, 2009; Naveau et al., 2007). On some occasions the lichenometric ages generally agree with the reported $^{10}$Be ages for moraines (Badding et al., 2013; Munroe et al., 2013). However, several significant problems, including lichen species identification, growth rate dependence on microenvironmental conditions and poor chronological control of growth calibration curves remain unresolved. Osborn et al. (2015) showed that lichenometric ages may not be reliable and it is a challenge to distinguish between those that provide realistic and erroneous ages. We agree with this view, but believe that estimating the age of deposits using well-defined growth curves can be useful in distinguishing different generations of moraines (relative dating). Certainly, lichenometric studies should be undertaken more carefully and be better documented. Some of the problems mentioned above may be overcome by applying TCN methods with the lichenometry. We include lichenometric ages in our paper only if they are supported by other lines of evidence.

In many cases, information on the former extent of glaciers has been erased or obscured by subsequent more extensive ice expansions. Thus other, less direct methods, to infer past glacial activity have been developed (e.g. Nesje et al., 1991). The use of lacustrine sedimentary sequences from glacier-fed lakes to reconstruct Holocene glacier variations in the catchment was pioneered by Karlén (1976) in Swedish Lapland and widely used in Scandinavia (Karlén, 1988; Nesje and Kvarme, 1991; Nesje et al., 1991, 1994, 2000a,b, 2001, 2006; Karlén and Matthews, 1992; Dahl et al., 2003; Lie et al., 2004; Bakke et al., 2005a,b,c, 2010; Vasskog...
et al., 2012) as well as in other regions (e.g. Briner et al., 2010; Larsen et al., 2011; Osborn et al., 2007; Rathe et al., 2015). Physical, geochemical, and magnetic sediment properties along with other dating techniques (e.g. $^{14}$C ages calibrated to calendar years and $^{209}$Pb) can be used to constrain sedimentary based glacier chronologies. Studies of lacustrine records include loss-on-ignition, water content, dry weight, dry bulk density, grain-size distribution, magnetic susceptibility, biogenic silica, X-ray florescence (XRF), and in situ reflectance spectroscopy. Varves in proglacial lake sediments are often indicative of glacial activity (Larsen et al., 2011; Zolitschka et al., 2015), whereas the disappearance of a glacier from a catchment is usually marked by homogenous organic-rich layers (Karlen et al., 1999; Zolitschka, 2007).

Peat deposits intercalated with thin mineral layers of glacier origin exposed along glacier meltwater channels can also yield information about the timing of glacier activity in the basin (Nesje and Dahl, 1991a,b; Nesje et al., 1991; Nesje and Rye, 1993; Dahl and Nesje, 1994, 1996; Matthews et al., 2005). In addition, radiocarbon dating of palaeosol and peat deposits buried underneath Neoglacial moraines has been used to reconstruct glacier-size variations (Mancer and Palacios, 1977; Mercier and Dresser, 1983). Tephrochronology was applied to constrain the age of moraines in regions of active volcanism, such as Iceland (Stotter et al., 1999) and Kamchatka (Solomina, 1999).

3. Glacier fluctuations as climatic proxies. Terminology

Not all glaciers can be used as climate proxies. Large ice sheets and ice caps, surging glaciers, ice shelves, outlet glaciers, calving glaciers and some other types of ice bodies have often shown to not align with climate forcings (Paterson, 1994). The outlet glaciers of the northern and southern Patagonian Icefield are classical examples of the contrasting behaviour of glaciers reflecting more their internal dynamic than climatic perturbations (Glasser et al., 2004). Warren and Aniya (1999) drew attention to the instability, sensitivity to topography, and often indirect response to climate variations of calving glaciers. Issues related to supraglacial debris on glacier dynamics throughout the Himalaya and their relationship with glacial changes have also been discussed in depth, and we acknowledge the difficulty in assigning glacier fluctuations to climatic changes in these regions (Hewitt, 1988; Benn et al., 2005; Owen and Benn, 2005; Scherler et al., 2012). Ideally classical land-terminated valley glaciers of a simple configuration and hypsometry are the most suitable to infer paleoclimatic information (Oerlemans, 1994, 2001). Most glaciers considered in this paper are of this kind.

As in Solomina et al. (2015), we do not account for the response time of glaciers here, since the accuracy of most glacial chronologies even for the past two millennia is generally less than the response time of mountain glaciers. The lag in position of the glacier terminus in relation to a climatic perturbation is generally estimated as 10–50 years (Oerlemans, 1994) and often only a few years (Bjork et al., 2012; Imhof et al., 2012). The limitations of the use of glacier variations as climate proxies, considering their response times, the climatic drivers of glacier mass-balance fluctuations, the reaction of “specific” glaciers such as cold-based, surging glaciers, etc. were discussed in the SM in Solomina et al. (2015).

The equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) rise or depression (ΔELA) is a useful palaeoclimatic metric that can be assessed either from the location of terminal moraines or modelled using lake sediment properties. However, a shift in ELA depends on glacier type and the climatic controls differ from glacier to glacier and from region to region (Kuhn, 1989; Oerlemans, 2001; Zemp et al., 2007; Six and Vincent, 2014).

The terminology used to describe glacier fluctuations differs among workers. A glacier fluctuation is initiated as a glacier expands, reaches a stabilized position (commonly marked by an end moraine) and then retreats. In this review the term “advance” is not necessarily used literally to connote the onset or progression of a glacier expansion. Instead, the term “advance” is used loosely; it most commonly refers to the interval when a glacier is near its maximum position as evidenced by geomorphic features, although in some cases where stratigraphic evidence is available, the term is also used to refer to the early stage of glacier expansion. In the case of lake sediments it encompasses both. We recognize that many of the ages used to determine the timing of “advances” actually constrain the timing of moraine stabilization, and therefore represent the initiation of retreat rather than an “advance”. Furthermore, in this review the term “glacier activity” is used to refer to the relative abundance of evidence for expanded glaciers rather than the activity of a glacier as measured by the down-valley throughput (flux) of ice. Taking into account the typical uncertainty associated with the dating ranging from a half century to a century we assume that this approach, although very rough, is reasonable at the current stage of knowledge. In most cases the actual precision of the ages does not allow to distinguish between “the beginning of the ice retreat” and “the end of the ice advance”, so we consider here the ages of moraines as approximate time of glacier advances as have most of our predecessors (e.g. Grove, 2004 and references herein).

4. Regional descriptions of glacier variations in the past two millennia

The regional subdivision in this paper (Fig. 1) is similar to Solomina et al. (2015), who generally followed the recommendations of IPCC AR5 (Vaughan et al., 2013). We do not include Antarctica in this paper, as we could not find enough detailed and well-dated records for individual glaciers.

4.1. Alaska

In the southern coastal region of Alaska, fluctuations of tide-water glaciers have been shown to not reflect climate directly (Wiles et al., 1995; Barclay et al., 2009a) on decadal to century-scale periods. We thus restrict our discussion in Alaska to those glaciers that are land-terminating and whose chronologies are considered to more accurately reflect climate (Barclay et al., 2013).

The chronology of land-terminating glaciers in southeast coastal Alaska, Eagle, Mendenhall, and Herbert glaciers, as well as outlets of the Juneau Icefield, show advances between CE ~200 and ~320 according to radiocarbon ages (Rothlisberger, 1986). An advance occurred in the Wrangell Mountains about CE 300 (Wiles et al., 2002). Other evidence of ice expansion at this time is rare. Widespread periods of advance between CE 550 and 720 based on $^{14}$C and tree-ring dating is recognized in the Alaska Range, St. Elias, Chugach, Kenai Mountains and adjacent ranges in Canada (Reyes et al., 2006; Barclay et al., 2009b, 2013; Young et al., 2009; Zander et al., 2013), with most frequently documented expansion around CE 600 (Reyes et al., 2006). Trees preserved in glacial sediments near the margin of many retreating glaciers, primarily along the southern coast, germinated by the CE 950s following the first millennium advances (Wiles et al., 2008; Barclay et al., 2009a,b) implying that ice was less extensive during that time or at about the same extent as present retreating margins. However, ice retreat was not uniform here, and several glaciers advanced through this interval (Wiles et al., 1995; Barclay et al., 2009a,b; Koch and Cagnée, 2011; Fig. 2).

The majority of the advances recognized in the glacial record
over the past millennium occurred in the CE 1180s–1230s, 1540s–1710s and 1810s–1880s (Barclay et al., 2009a,b, 2013). Most fields along the coast and into the more interior Wrangell Mountains reached their Holocene maxima during the latter CE 1800s. To the west in the Aklun Mountains, glaciers reached their maximum Holocene extent as early as CE 1300 with less extensive moraine building through CE 1800 (Levy et al., 2004). Along the southern coast, most glaciers reached their Holocene maxima in the past few centuries. However, some glaciers in the Alaska Range (Bijkerk, 1984) and one in the Wrangell Mountains (Wiles et al., 2002) show a more extensive first millennium advance.

In general summer temperature is the primary limiting factor for land-terminating glaciers along the southern coast of Alaska in the Kenai, Chugach, St. Elias and the Coast Mountains (Barclay et al., 1999, 2013; Wiles et al., 2004) (Fig. 2). Advances during the first millennium CE correspond with cooling recognized in lake sediments at Farewell Lake in the Alaska Range (Hu et al., 2001) at about this time. The interval of retracted ice margins about CE 950 corresponds with warming along the southern coast recognized in a regional dendroclimatic reconstruction (Wiles et al., 2014).

The majority of advances occur within the intervals of cooling apparent in a February-August temperature reconstruction for coastal southern Alaska with temperature minima centered on CE 1200, 1450, 1650 and the 1800s (Barclay et al., 2009a,b, 2013). Most forefields along the coast and into the more interior Wrangell Mountains reached their Holocene maxima during the latter CE 1800s. To the west in the Aklun Mountains, glaciers reached their maximum Holocene extent as early as CE 1300 with less extensive moraine building through CE 1800 (Levy et al., 2004). Along the southern coast, most glaciers reached their Holocene maxima in the past few centuries. However, some glaciers in the Alaska Range (Bijkerk, 1984) and one in the Wrangell Mountains (Wiles et al., 2002) show a more extensive first millennium advance.

In general summer temperature is the primary limiting factor for land-terminating glaciers along the southern coast of Alaska in the Kenai, Chugach, St. Elias and the Coast Mountains (Barclay et al., 1999, 2013; Wiles et al., 2004) (Fig. 2). Advances during the first millennium CE correspond with cooling recognized in lake sediments at Farewell Lake in the Alaska Range (Hu et al., 2001) at about this time. The interval of retracted ice margins about CE 950 corresponds with warming along the southern coast recognized in a regional dendroclimatic reconstruction (Wiles et al., 2014).

4.2. Western Canada and US

Evidence for glacier advances during the first millennium CE is widespread in the western Cordillera of North America outside of Alaska (Luckman, 2000; Reyes and Clague, 2004; Allen and Smith, 2007; Koch et al., 2007a; Jackson et al., 2008; Menounos et al., 2009; Clague et al., 2010; Samolczyk et al., 2010; Bowerman and Clark, 2011; Johnson and Smith, 2012; Maurer et al., 2012; Coulthard et al., 2012; Munroe et al., 2012; Osborn et al., 2012; Craig and Smith, 2013; Hoffman and Smith, 2013; Mood and Smith, 2015), but glacier extent generally appears to have been smaller than during advances of the past millennium. Some glaciers were likely smaller before CE 500 than in the late 20th century (Allen and Smith, 2007; Clague et al., 2010), even though other glaciers seem to have advanced during that same period (Jackson et al., 2008; Samolczyk et al., 2010; Maurer et al., 2012; Osborn et al., 2012; Hoffman and Smith, 2013). Many locations had advances between CE 400–600, before glaciers retreated to some degree. However, no sites studied show evidence that glaciers receded to sizes similar to the late 20th century after the first millennium advance. Rather, numerous sites provide evidence of significant advances during the MCA between the advances of the first millennium and those of the early 2nd millennium CE (Koch and Clague, 2011; Johnson and Smith, 2012; Munroe et al., 2012; Osborn et al., 2013).

Most glaciers reached their maximum Holocene extent in the second millennium CE (Luckman, 2000; Menounos et al., 2009), prior to CE 1850 (Koch et al., 2007b; Clague et al., 2010; Hoffman and Smith, 2013), and remained at their maxima until the early 20th century (Luckman, 2000; Koch et al., 2007b; Menounos et al., 2009). Glaciers fluctuated, but were more extensive than at present, between about CE 1200–1500, and it appears that several glaciers advanced synchronously during this period in the Rocky and Coast
mountains (Luckman, 1995; Koch et al., 2007b; Coulthard et al., 2012). Some moraines in western Canada were possibly deposited during this advance (Koch et al., 2007b; Osborn et al., 2007; Koehler and Smith, 2011). Major moraine-building phases in the mountains of western North America date to CE 1690–1730, 1830–1850, 1860–1890, and 1910–1930 (Luckman, 2000; Luckman and Villalba, 2001; Osborn et al., 2001; Allen and Smith, 2007; Koch et al., 2007b; Osborn et al., 2007, 2012; Jackson et al., 2008; Clague et al., 2010; Bowerman and Clark, 2011; Koehler and Smith, 2011; Maurer et al., 2012; Munroe et al., 2012; Coulthard et al., 2012; Mood and Smith, 2015).

A tree-ring record from the central Canadian Rockies extends from CE 950–1994 and provides a reconstruction of May–August maximum temperature. Cold periods occurred in CE 950–1000, 1200–1320, 1450–1880, with the CE 1690s being exceptionally cold (Luckman and Wilson, 2005). A tree-ring chronology from the central British Columbia Coast Mountains spans CE 1225–2010 and allows the reconstruction of regional June–July air temperature. Intervals of below average temperatures occurred CE 1350–1420, 1475–1550, 1625–1700, and 1830–1940 (Pitman and Smith, 2012). A reconstruction based on tree rings and pollen data (Viau et al., 2012) for temperate North America for the past 1500 years shows below average temperature for CE 480–750 and CE 1100–1900 with distinct cool periods around CE 1300, 1650–1700, and 1800–1900 (Trouet et al., 2013) (Fig. 2).

Annual precipitation (July–June) has been reconstructed for the southern Canadian Cordillera for up to the past 600 years with tree-ring chronologies. Wet conditions were reconstructed for most chronologies for CE 1680–1700, 1750–55, 1770–1790, 1800–1830, and 1880–1890. Earlier wet periods, with fewer chronologies dating back this far, are CE 1540–1560, 1600–1620, and 1660–1670 (Watson and Luckman, 2004). Winter precipitation (November–February) in eastern Washington State was reconstructed for the past 1500 years from lake sediment oxygen isotope data, and above average wet periods occurred CE 560–730, 770–840, 900–960, 1050–1150, 1300–1460, and 1570–1620 (Steinman et al., 2012). Spring droughts for southern Vancouver Island on the west coast of British Columbia have been reconstructed from tree rings. The reconstructions record severe and multi-decadal droughts in CE 150–200, 540–570, 760–810, 1440–1570, and 1845–1850 (Zhang and Hebda, 2005).

The climate reconstructions outlined above make it difficult to simplify the direct forcing of climate on glacier fluctuations due to the very large area under discussion with complex climatic pattern and great variety of glacier types. In the Canadian Rocky Mountains glacier advances coincide with low summer temperature in CE 1200s–1300s, late CE 1600s through early CE 1700s, and in the 19th century, while precipitation changes do not show any significant correlation with the ages for glacier advances (Luckman and Villalba, 2001). In general, especially during the second half of the past millennium, it appears that below-average summer temperatures and above-average wet periods can account for much of the reconstructed glacier advances; however, there are exceptions such as during CE 800–1400, when relatively warm summers and wetter winters are reconstructed, which likely explain the significant glacier advances in western North America during this time (e.g. Llewellyn Glacier in northwest British Columbia, glaciers in Garibaldi Provincial Park in southwest British Columbia, Robson, Kiwa, and Peyto glaciers in the Canadian Rockies (Koch and Clague, 2011).

4.3. Canadian Arctic

A sufficient number of 14C ages of in situ rooted tundra plants found at glacier margins are now available for Baffin Island, Arctic Canada, for the past two millennia to allow reconstruction of periods of ice expansion (Anderson et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2012, 2013; Margreth et al., 2014). Clusters of kill ages suggest a significant expansion of local glaciers and ice caps on Baffin Island occurred early in the first millennium CE, between CE 250 and 400, with a second expansion interval between CE 800 and 950 (Fig. 2). There is little evidence for ice advance between CE 950 and 1250, but widespread ice expansion is recorded beginning about CE 1260, with irregular but generally continuously colder summers from CE 1280 until 1450 (Fig. 2). The signal provided by entombed plants vanishes after CE 1450 because ice cover was widespread. Historical observations and distinct lichen trimlines indicate that the maxima were achieved in the late CE 1800s (Miller et al., 2013). Margreth et al. (2014) show a similar record from southern Cumberland Peninsula, southeastern Baffin Island, with significant ice expansion beginning early in the first millennium CE. Clusters of kill ages provide evidence for expansion CE 400–500, and between CE 600 and 900, little evidence of ice expansion during the CE 11th–early 13th centuries, and an early onset of significant glacier advances starting CE 1280 and a second pulse of advances around CE 1460. In the 19th century the snowline in Baffin Island was almost 200 m below its position of the end of the 20th century, while about two millennia ago it was at least 200 m above the modern level (Miller et al., 2013).

4.4. Greenland

Scarce data exist to define the extent of glaciers during the past two millennia in Greenland. Consequently it is still difficult to discuss potential synchronicity between the different regions, and correspondence of glacier fluctuation patterns to the regional climatic patterns at a multidecadal scale even for the relatively well-documented past century (Hall et al., 2008; Carlson et al., 2008; Weidick, 2009; Hughes et al., 2012).

The reconstructions of glacier fluctuations in Greenland are based on historical information (Weidick, 1958, 1968; Bennike and Weidick, 2001), 14C dating (Bennike, 2002; Kelly and Lowell, 2009; Bennike and Sparerborn, 2007; Knudsen et al., 2008; Kelly and Lowell, 2009), TCN dating (Kelly et al., 2008; Lowell et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2014; Winsor et al., 2014; Young et al., 2015), and proglacial-lake and marine sediments (Lloyd, 2006; Briner et al., 2010, 2011, 2013; Young et al., 2011; Larsen et al., 2011; Kelley et al., 2012; Balascio et al., 2015). The information was collected at the margins of ice-sheet-outlet glaciers (both marine/land terminated), as well as from locally sourced glaciers.

In northern Greenland (Washington Land) reworked shells in a moraine of Humboldt Glacier attest to an advance around CE 1300 (Bennike, 2002). The glacier has receded since its maximum advance around 100 years ago.

In central west Greenland marine cores just beyond the fjord mouth suggest that Jakobshavn Isbrae reached late Holocene maxima between CE 1200 and 1660 (Lloyd, 2006). Additional 14C ages from plant macrofossils and varve chronologies from glacial lakes located close to Jakobshavn Isbrae show that both land-based and marine-based ice margins advanced between CE 1500 and 1640 and reached a maximum at 1850 (Briner et al., 2010, 2011; Young et al., 2011). This contrasts with other portions of the western land–terminating ice sheet close (c. 40 km) to Jakobshavn Isbrae, which achieved their maxima during the 20th century (Kelley et al., 2012). Most probably the difference is explained by the complex ice dynamic of marine-based and land-terminating parts of the ice sheet.

In western Greenland (Nuuk region) Weidick et al. (2012) reported asynchronous advances for land- and marine-terminating outlet glaciers over the past centuries from historical observations.
and lake sediment analysis. The land-terminating Qamanaarsup Sermia and Kangaarssup Sermia may have reached their LIA maxima in the mid CE 1800s and in the early 20th century, respectively. During the past millennium marine-terminating Saqqap Sermersua achieved its maximum in the early 2000s, while Nakaasorsup Glacier reached its maximum in the middle of the 19th century (Weidick et al., 2012). A maximum advance over the past centuries of the Kangiata Nunaat Sermia ice sheet marine outlet glacier at CE 300 was deduced from the analysis of lake sediments (Weidick et al., 2012).

In southwest Greenland, 10Be ages the Narsarsuaq moraine of land-terminating Kjægøtt Sermia at CE 490 ± 110 (Winsor et al., 2014). A second set of 10Be ages from a more ice-proximal position of Kjægøtt Sermia shows that ice has been within or near its present extent since CE 660 ± 150. Local ice and local glaciers in south and southwest Greenland began to advance around CE 1600 and reached maximum positions in CE 1750 and 1890–1900 (Weidick, 1968; Kelly and Lowell, 2005). Greenland inland ice and glaciers have been significantly retrograding during the 20th century (e.g. Kjeldsen et al., 2015).

In southeastern Greenland at a nunatak located at the present-day ELA of Muttivakkat Glacier, organic material dating back to CE 500–600 was found, indicating a warmer interval and a subsequent glacier advance (Humlum and Christiansen, 2008; Knudsen et al., 2008; Kelly and Lowell, 2009).

In northeastern Scoresby Sound, 10Be ages show direct evidence of glacier advances between CE 1100 and 1660 (Kelly et al., 2008; Levy et al., 2014 — recalculated using Young et al., 2013 production rate and Lal/Stone scaling scheme). In the same region, the maximum Holocene advance of the local Istorvet Glacier occurred between CE 1150 and 1660 based on radiocarbon and 10Be ages (Lowell et al., 2013).

4.5. Iceland

In Iceland the most prominent evidence for glacier advances during the late Holocene is documented by glacier advances between CE 1300 and 1900, although, moraines formed by small glaciers also indicate fluctuations before CE 1300 (Caseidine, 1987; Caseldine and Stötter, 1993; Stötter et al., 1999; Kirkbride and Dugmore, 2001, 2006, 2008; Schomacker et al., 2003; Principeatto, 2008).

The most rigorously dated record of glacier advances in Iceland over the past two millennia is found in Hvítarvatn, a glacial lake adjacent to the second largest glacier of Iceland, Langjökull. A multibeam sonar bathymetry of the lake together with seismic reflection analyses revealed multiple glacial advances, which have been precisely dated with varve counts supported by the known tephras found within the sediment (Black et al., 2004; Geirsdóttir et al., 2008; Larsen et al., 2011, 2013, 2015; Geirsdóttir et al., 2015). The evidence from Hvítarvatn demonstrates that the two outlet glaciers of Langjökull that drain into Hvítarvatn (Suðurjökull and Norðurjökull) have response times of about 100 years (Black et al., 2004; Flowers et al., 2008; Larsen et al., 2015). Langjökull achieved its maximum Neoglacial extent between CE 1700 and 1930, when the two outlet glaciers advanced into the lake and maintained active calving margins. Paleolimnological studies of sediment cores from Hvítarvatn indicate glacier expansion in the 5th and 13th centuries. Norðurjökull advanced into Hvítarvatn around CE 1720, and remained at or near its maximum for most of the 19th century, whereas Suðurjökull underwent a quasi-periodic series of eight surges between CE 1828 and 1930 (Larsen et al., 2015). A very similar pattern of glacier expansion is seen around small ice caps in northern Iceland where moraine features were mapped and dated by the use of tephrachronology and 14C ages (Stotter et al., 1999).

The combined paleolimnology and glacier advance study from Hvítarvatn, central Iceland, shows a stepwise intensification of glacial activity until its culmination between CE 1300 and 1900 (Fig. 2; Larsen et al., 2011; Geirsdóttir et al., 2013). Langdon et al. (2011) identify particularly cold phases based on chironomid studies in NW Iceland for CE 1683–1710, 1765–1780, and 1890–1917, with summer temperature decreases of 1.5–2.0 °C suggesting that the magnitude of summer temperature cooling resulted in Icelandic glaciers reaching their maximum Holocene extent at that time, as previously modelled for Langjökull (Flowers et al., 2007).

In south-central Iceland a combination of tephrachronology and lichenometry was applied to date moraines, tills and meltwater deposits. Three glacier advances occurred between CE 450 and 550, and three more occurred between CE 900 and 1400. Five groups of advances occurred between CE 1650 and 1930 (Kirkbride and Dugmore, 2008). While glacier advances between CE 1600 and 1900 across Iceland appear to have been synchronous, the timing of maxima differs between glacier type and region from the early 18th to the late 19th century (e.g. Chenet et al., 2010).

4.6. Spitsbergen

Radiocarbon ages on organic material buried at or under the modern glaciers (Baranowski and Karlen, 1976; Humlum et al., 2005), sediment cores from proglacial lakes (Svendsen and Mangerud, 1997; Ratte et al., 2015), lichenometry (Werner, 1993) and 10Be ages on moraines (Reusche et al., 2014) have all been used to reconstruct glacier fluctuations of the past two millennia in Spitsbergen.

Since the 1970s several authors reported the ages for bulk organic material buried at glacier fronts in Spitsbergen and dating back to the first millennium CE, claiming that the glaciers were smaller or of equal size than compared to the end of the 20th century (e.g. Baranowski and Karlen, 1976; Furrer, 1992; Furrer et al., 1991). Humlum et al. (2005) found frozen soil and vegetation in situ below the cold-based Longyearbreen Glacier. One soil and ten bryophyte samples were dated and yielded ages between CE 20 and 820, indicating that the glacier was 2 km shorter than in the early 21st century for at least 800 years and possibly longer. Snyder et al. (2000) demonstrated that the Linnevatnet cirque was ice free until about CE 1400.

However there is also evidence of several glacial advances in Spitsbergen in the first millennium CE. An advance at CE 350 ± 200 is documented by 10Be dating of a moraine at Linnebreen in western Svalbard (Reusche et al., 2014). The advance was close or even a little larger than the past millennium maximum extent, that the glacier occupied up to CE 1930 (Svendsen and Mangerud, 1997). Somewhat earlier, an advance of Karlbreen around CE 250 is recorded in lake sediments at Mitrahalsøya (Ratte et al., 2015). Sediment records in Kongressvatnet point to possible minor glacial events at CE 700–820 and CE 1160–1255 (Guilizzoni et al., 2006), but this evidence needs replication and confirmation.

Glacial activity in Spitsbergen increased in the mid 14th century. Continuous sequences of glacial varves in Kongressvatnet were deposited between CE 1350 and 1880 (Guilizzoni et al., 2006). The deposition of glacial sediments in Billefjorden indicates the increase of activity of Nordenskiöldbreen at CE 1520–1900, or perhaps a little earlier (by CE 1425) (Szczuński et al., 2009).

Lake sediments at Mitrahalsøya provide information that Karlbreen was probably close to its Holocene maximum at CE 1725 and 1815 (Ratte et al., 2015). Many glaciers in Spitsbergen reached their past millennium, and sometimes even Holocene, maxima very recently, at the end of the 19th or early 20th century (e.g. Baeten...
et al., 2010), Mangerud and Landvik (2007) demonstrated that the outermost moraine of Scottbreen Glacier was deposited about CE 1900 and overlies a marine shoreline dated to ~9250 BCE. This indicates that over the Holocene the glacier was never more extensive than it was at the turn of the 20th century. Liestøl (1988) estimated that the equilibrium-line altitude depression (DELA) driving the recent maximum in Spitsbergen was about 100 m, although the reconstruction based on lake sediment provides more restricted estimates between 40 and 50 m (Røthe et al., 2015).

4.7. Scandinavia

Karlén (1988) and Karlén and Kuylenstierna (1996) recognized several Holocene advances in Scandinavia around CE > 1,100–400, 800–1000, and 1300–1800. This chronology was based on historical, lichenometric, and dendrochronological moraine data, treeline variations, and lacustrine sediments. The record shows that in Swedish Lapland, glaciers most likely attained their greatest past millennium extent between the 17th and early 18th centuries. Rosqvist et al. (2004) used sediments in Lake Vuolep, Allakasjaure to suggest periods of advanced glacier positions at CE 200, and during the past 1300 years, with a maximum in CE 1700–1800. In southern Norway, Matthews and Dresser (2008) indicated that the most prominent Neoglacial maxima during the past two millennia were centered at intervals CE 100–400, 600–700, 900–1000, 1200–1300, and 1600–1800.

Data from glacier-fed lakes provide continuous histories of glacier variations during the past two millennia. Records of glacier activity from upstream catchments, have been compiled from northern Folgefonna (Bakke et al., 2005b), Grovabreen (Seierstad et al., 2002), Jostedalsbreen (Nesje et al., 1991, 2001; Vasskog et al., 2012), Skjeggedalbreen (Nesje et al., 2006), Breheimen (Shakesby et al., 2007), Jotunheimen (Matthews et al., 2000; Matthews and Dresser, 2008), Austre Okstindbreen (Bakke et al., 2010), Lyngen (Bakke et al., 2005a), Langfjordjøkelen (Wittmeier et al., 2015), and northern Sweden (Rosqvist et al., 2004). The composite record (Fig. 2) indicates that glaciers in Scandinavia were in an advanced state between CE 200–300, 600–700, 800–1000, and 1500–1800, whereas they were in a retracted state during CE 1–200 (Roman Period), 300–600, 700–800, 1000–1500, and during the 19th–20th centuries.

Lichenometric dating and historical evidence indicate that the timing of the past millennium maximum position of outlet glaciers for ice caps and valley glaciers in southern Norway varied considerably, ranging from the early 18th to the late 19th century (Bickerton and Matthews, 1993). Differences in glacier hypsometry, frontal time lag, and responses to climatic parameters (e.g. winter precipitation and summer temperature) may explain the differences in the timing (e.g. Aa, 1996; Nesje, 2005; Nesje et al., 2008). The majority of dated terminal moraines in southern Norway cluster around CE 1740–50, 1780–90, 1860–70, and 1920–40 (Nesje et al., 2008). However, on decadal scale these variations in southern Norway do not show a consistent regional pattern (Bickerton and Matthews, 1993; Winkler et al., 2003; Matthews, 2005). This is because glacier mass-balance measurements show that the annual (net) mass balance of maritime glaciers in western Norway is mostly controlled by winter precipitation, while continental glaciers best correlate with the summer temperature (e.g. Nesje et al., 1995a,b; Mernild et al., 2014; Trachsel and Nesje, 2015).

4.8. Russian Arctic

4.8.1. Novaya Zemlya

Marine sediment cores from Russkaya Gavan’ (NW Novaya Zemlya) where the outlet of Shokal’ski Glacier terminates show that between –CE 1170 and –CE 1400 Shokal’ski Glacier was in a contracted position and was contributing limited sediment to the fjord (Murdmaa et al., 2004; Polyak et al., 2004). An advance occurred around CE 1400, and between CE –1470 and –1600 the glacier front was relatively stable before major glacier retreat started. Based on the grain sizes of marine sediments Zeeberg et al. (2003) suggested another advance between CE 1700 and 2000, most likely in the 19th century.

No local high-resolution records are available in Novaya Zemlya; however, the most prominent advance around CE 1400 occurred during an interval of increased winter precipitation and higher cyclonic activity over the North Atlantic as interpreted from ion content in the GISP-2 ice-core record (Zeeberg and Forman, 2000; Meeker and Mayewski, 2002; Murdmaa et al., 2004). The advance in the 19th century may also have been forced by an increase in winter precipitation. This later advance also corresponds with low summer temperatures as indicated by tree-ring reconstruction of June-July temperature from northwest Siberia (Briffa et al., 2013) (Fig. 2).

4.8.2. Franz Josef Land

Our understanding of the fluctuations of glaciers on Franz Josef Land is based on a large collection of 14C ages (details are provided in the SM Table 1) constraining positions of 16 glaciers relative to 1991–1995 (Lubinski et al., 1999). The 14C age on in situ moss at the Glacier B margin (Southern Hall Island) indicates an advance at 409 BCE – CE 223. These data also indicate that the glacier was never less extensive than today over the past 2000 years. At Sonklar Glacier (Southern Hall Island) a lateral moraine is dated to CE 718–885 by a marine shell either overlain by or incorporated inside the moraine. Yuri Glacier advanced 1.5 km beyond its 1990 margin around CE 778–1021 and receded prior to CE 1191–1285. At Cape Lageryn (Northbrook Island) the glacier was beyond the present margin until at least CE 545–671. Moss found in situ at the present margins and killed by advances of glaciers dates to CE 1054–1256, 1519–1950, 1646–1950, and 1684–1928 at Sedov Ice Cap (Western Hooker Island), CE 975–1145 at Cape Lagerny Glacier, CE 1487–1641 at Leight Smith Island, and to CE 1651–1950 at Cape Flora Glacier. Based on these 14C ages and the geomorphology Lubinski et al. (1999) identified glacier advances during the ~10th, and 12th centuries, at CE 1400, 1600, and in the early 20th century. Between major advances some glaciers were smaller than at the end of the 20th century. The ice expansion that occurred around CE 1000 was the most prominent in the past two millennia (Lubinski et al., 1999; Forman and Weihe, 2000).

An ice core from Windy Dome provides information on accumulation and summer temperature (melt features) for CE 1225–1997 (Henderson, 2002) (Fig. 2). The advance that started at the end of the 19th century coincides with high accumulation rates. Unfortunately the radiocarbon ages are not precise enough for direct comparison of the time of other advances with the high-resolution ice-core records.

4.9. Northern Asia

4.9.1. Kamchatka

Many glaciers in Kamchatka are located on active volcanoes and therefore are strongly impacted by volcanic activity (Vinogradov et al., 1985; Barr and Solomina, 2014), which has to be taken into account when interpreting Kamchatka glacier fluctuations. Historical data, tephrochronology, lichenometry and, to a limited extent, tree rings were used to date moraines in Kamchatka (Barr and Solomina, 2014). Several glaciers record advances of up to 4 km in length in the first millennium CE, but tephrochronological dating of moraines is still very broad. Bilchenok Glacier (surging in
the 1960s) advanced beyond its present limit around 2000 and 1000 years ago. These estimates are constrained by ages of tills found between tephra layers ~600 BCE and ~CE 200 and between ~CE 700 and ~CE 1100, respectively (Yamagata et al., 2002). According to tephrochronology, the West Ichinsky Glacier advanced between CE 200 and 600. Two moraines of Koryo Glacier were formed between CE 700 and 1864, most likely around CE 1000 (Yamagata et al., 2000, 2002) and at Kozelsky glacier one moraine was deposited shortly before CE 1737 (Solomina et al., 1995).

In many cases the most prominent moraines in Kamchatka are ice cored and were deposited during, and often towards the end of, the 19th century. These advances broadly correspond to the greatest accumulation values at CE 1810–1860 recorded in the Ushkovsky ice core (Solomina et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2013). Mass balances of glaciers in Kamchatka are also very important (Vinogradov et al., 1985). As it was shown by numerical experiments (Yamaguchi et al., 2008), the retreat of Koryo Glacier since the mid-20th century was likely due to decreasing winter precipitation.

4.9.2. Altay

The collection of 14C ages on wood at glacier forefields in the Altay Mountains is very large, though most were not in situ and the relationship between the wood samples and glacier fluctuations is difficult to interpret. Agatova et al. (2012) suggested that glacier advances similar in extent to those of the 17th–19th centuries occurred between 300 BCE and CE 300, although the evidence for these advances is not strong. Nazarov (personal communication, see SM Table 1) reports 14C ages on wood related to advances of Masshey (CE 433–595) and Mensu (CE 435–767) glaciers roughly corresponding to the strong “Late Antiquity Little Ice Age” cooling occurring from CE 536 to around CE 660 in the Altay and the European Alps (Büntgen et al., 2016).

Wood found above the upper modern tree line of Aktru, Masshey and Shavla glacier valleys indicates that glaciers in the Altay were probably in retracted positions between the 8th and 12th centuries (Agatova et al., 2012).

A large number of trees were damaged by glacier advances between ~CE 1200 and ~CE 1800, and ages cluster in the following periods: CE 1200–1260 (3 ages from 3 valleys), CE 1320–1380 (4 ages from 3 valleys), CE 1440–1510 (5 ages from 3 valleys), and CE 1640–1740 (8 ages from 5 valleys) (Nazarov and Agatova, 2008; Nazarov et al., 2012; Agatova et al., 2012) (see also Table 1 in SM). Moraines deposited between CE 1640 and 1740 mark the maximum advance of the past millennium in the Altay region. Since then less prominent re-advances occurred shortly before CE 1835 (Belukha region), in the mid and late 19th century and the early 20th century.

A 2367-year-long tree-ring chronology sensitive to early summer temperature from the Altay region (Myglan et al., 2012a,b; Büntgen et al., 2016) shows that glacier advances of the middle of the first millennium and from the 15th to 19th centuries generally coincide with cool summers (Fig. 2).

4.10. European Alps

The glacier record for the European Alps over the past two millennia is mainly based on calendar-dated tree-ring series for the early periods and on historical documents from CE 1600 onwards. Additionally, for many glaciers there are continuous length measurements available for the past 130 years.

The first centuries CE were characterized by retracted glacier termini. Some evidence is available that at least some glaciers (e.g. Great Aletsch and Steinlizzer in the Swiss Alps) were as small as they were during the late 20th century (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Joerin et al., 2006). Sediments in glacial meltwater-fed Blanc Huez (western French Alps) indicate reduced glacier activity in its catchment (Simonneau et al., 2014) in the first centuries CE. Archeological artefacts, collected at the Schneidejoch pass, a glacier pass in the Bernese Alps (Switzerland) that could hardly be crossed in periods of large ice extent, span much of the first millennium CE. They show the highest activity during the Roman period and indicate the relatively intensive use of this crossing (Grosjean et al., 2007; Hafner, 2012). Glacier advances in the Alps are recorded as early as CE 270 and 335 (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005). Ice during the 5th century was as extensive as the late 20th century for Glacier du Trient (Hormes et al., 2001) and around CE 410 for Great Aletsch Glacier (Holzhauser et al., 2005). Significant advances in the 6th century at Great Aletsch, Lower Grindelwald, Gorner, and Mer de Glace glaciers (Swiss and French Alps) culminating at the end of that century or in the early 7th century brought these ice masses to their maxima in the western and central Alps during the first millennium CE (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015). A persistent advance phase during this period, from the 5th to the 9th century, is also reconstructed for the Miage Glacier (Italian Alps) (Deline and Orombelli, 2005). However, evidence for the 6th century advance is less clear in the eastern Alps (Gepatsch Ferner and Sulden Ferner) and does not indicate extents comparable to the 19th century (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Niccolussi et al., 2006). Sulden Ferner (Italy) was shorter than in the 19th century between CE 400 and 800. At Unteraar Glacier (Switzerland), the ice extent during the 8th century was as small as during the late 20th century (Hormes et al., 2001). An advance phase during the 9th century is evident at Gepatsch Ferner and Lower Grindelwald glaciers, but is less well constrained at Great Aletsch and Gorner glaciers (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005). At the Sulden Ferner, an advance at CE 835 nearly reached the 17th–19th centuries’ margins (Niccolussi et al., 2006). In the eastern Alps, the CE 835 advance was probably the most far reaching during the first millennium CE. General retreat of glacier termini can be deduced for the late 9th to 11th centuries. Reduced glacier activity at this time is also suggested by the sediment record of Lake Blanc Huez (Simonneau et al., 2014), and the youngest artefacts from Schneidejoch indicating that it was possible to cross the path at that time (Hafner, 2012).

A subsequent glacier advance occurred in the 12th century, e.g., Gepatschferner, Gorner and Mer de Glace (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015). After a short retreat phase, a general advance is documented in the late 13th century that culminated between ~CE 1350 and 1385 at Great Aletsch, Gorner, Mer de Glace, and Vernagtferner (Austria) glaciers (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Patzelt, 2013; Le Roy et al., 2015). This advance was less extensive at Gepatschferner and Pasterze (Austrian Alps) (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001). Glaciers retreated by CE 1400 but further advances are recorded later in the 15th (Gepatschferner, Stein, and Tsidjioe Nouve glaciers; Austrian and Swiss Alps) and early 16th centuries (Great Aletsch and Tsidjioe Nouve glaciers) (Niccolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012, 2014).

The most widespread phase of glacier advances in the Alps (~CE 1600 to 1860) is characterized by a series of advances during which generally similar extents were reached: 1600, 1640, 1680, 1720, 1775, 1820 and 1855/60 (e.g. Zumbühl et al., 1983; Niccolussi and
Patzelt, 2001; Grove, 2004; Deline and Orombelli, 2005; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Nicolussi et al., 2006; Nussbaumer et al., 2007; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009; Imhof, 2010; Nussbaumer and Zumbrühl, 2012; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012, 2014; Patzelt, 2013; Le Roy et al., 2015). However, the number of maxima, the ages of the advances and the similarity of extents vary and were controlled mainly by the dynamics of each glacier system.

General retreat began after CE 1860 and was interrupted by readvances around 1890, 1920 and 1980. However, some glaciers, e.g., Great Aletsch Glacier (Holzhauser et al., 2005), have retreated continuously since their mid-19th century maxima (Zemp et al., 2008).

Mass balance variability in the Alps mainly correlates with summer temperature, while winter precipitation usually plays a less important role (e.g. Vincent et al., 2005; Steiner et al., 2008). A summer temperature reconstruction (Büntgen et al., 2011) based on tree-ring-width data from the eastern Alps (Nicolussi et al., 2009) is in very good agreement with the high-resolution glacier records supporting summer temperature as the primary control on glacier fluctuations.

4.11. Caucasus

There is no evidence of glacier variations in the first millennium in the Caucasus. Glaciers were probably small in the beginning of the past millennium, but the available evidence is only indirect (archaeological, biostratigraphic and pedological data) (Solomina et al., 2016). Serebryanny et al. (1984) reported a minimum 14C age for a moraine in the Bezenji Valley (CE 1245–1428). However, taking into consideration the location of this moraine relative to the modern glacier (6 km) the age obtained from a peat bog within the moraine complex is probably too young to closely constrain the age of the moraine. At Bol’shoy Azau Glacier a radiocarbon age on a soil horizon buried between two glacial units dates to CE 1465–1642 (Baume and Marcinek, 1998). Trees growing on this surface are more than 400 years old, suggesting that the age of the upper glacial unit and of the corresponding glacial advance is at least CE 1600.

According to tree-ring minimum ages, Bol’shoy Azau Glacier advanced prior to CE 1598 and Kashkash Glacier before CE 1600 (both are located in the Elbrus area). A younger advance of both glaciers in the mid-19th century reached almost the same extent (Solomina et al., 2016). Two other major advance phases in the northern Caucasus date back to the second half of 17th century and the first half of the 19th century (minimum tree-ring ages at Tsei Glacier) (Solomina et al., 2016). General glacier retreat started in the late 1840s. Four to five minor readvances occurred in the period between CE 1860s and 1880s and three readvances or still stands took place in 1910s, 1920s and 1970s–1980s. A reconstruction of June–September temperature in the central Caucasus based on blue intensity (equivalent of maximum density) from conifers spans the period CE 1569–2008 (Dolgova, 2016), and the glacial advance of the 1840s coincides with a reconstructed cold period (CE 1825–1867).

4.12. Central Asia

4.12.1. Central Asia, semi-arid area

Compiling and evaluating 10Be ages, Dortch et al. (2013) recognized late Holocene advances in the semi-arid western end of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen at CE 400 ± 300 and CE 1600 ± 100. At Ahamova Glacier (Pamir-Alai Mountains) a radiocarbon age from a shallow soil horizon below a fresh till, CE 903–1188, indicates a glacier advance shortly after this time (Zech et al., 2000). Wood found in a buried soil horizon at Raigorodskogo Glacier (Pamir-Alai Mountains) provides evidence of a warm period and glacier retreat shortly before CE 255–527 when the glacier expanded (Narama, 2002). The youngest advance is dated by a 14C age (CE 1521–1646) on a trunk of Juniperus turkestana broken by a glacier advance. These two advances were of similar magnitude, although the former was slightly larger. Historically, advances of Raigorodskogo Glacier are recorded back to CE 1908–1934, and CE 1960–1977 (Narama, 2002). In the Urumchi valley (Tien Shan) samples of whewellite coating on glacial boulders from the outermost moraines indicate that the glacier retreated from its maximum extent before CE 1535 ± 120.

4.12.2. Central Asia, monsoon area

Rothlisberger and Geyh (1985) undertook some of the first studies using radiocarbon ages from glaciers in Pakistan, India, and Nepal to show that glaciers advanced at CE 250–550, 650–1050, 1150–1400 and 1450–1850. Using 10Be, Murari et al. (2014) identified three advances in the monsoon-influenced Himalaya-Tibetan area at CE 500 ± 200, 1300 ± 100 and 1600 ± 100. For the same area, Yang et al. (2008) established a chronology for the past two millennia based on multi-proxy data and recognized three main periods of glacier advance at around CE 200–600, 800–1150, and 1400–1750, with the widespread glacier advance occurring at about CE 400–600 (Fig. 2). They suggested that these advances were synchronous with those in the southern Himalaya during CE 380–600, 870–1100, 1400–1430, and 1550–1850, and that the glacier advance around CE 1000 also occurred in the central Himalaya. Xu and Yi (2014) reported that glaciers retreated from their maxima during the 16th to early 18th, late 14th to early 15th and early 16th centuries in the southern, northwestern, and northeastern regions, respectively. In addition, they suggested that the periods of glacier advance during the late 18th to early 19th centuries and the retreat period of the late-19th century are common throughout Tibet.

Dendrochronological dating provides higher-precision ages for glacier advances in Tibet, which occurred before the 15th century, before CE 1662, between 1746 and 1785 (maximum extent), early 19th, late 19th to early 20th, and during the mid-20th centuries (Bräuning, 2006; Zhu et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2012; Hochreuther et al., 2015; Loibl et al., 2015). On a centennial (Yang et al., 2003, 2008; Xu and Yi, 2014) and decadal (Wang et al., 2015) timescale, temperature changes are the main controlling factor for glacier fluctuations rather than precipitation changes caused by variations in the south Asian summer monsoon. Tree-ring studies for the western Himalaya show that the 18th and 19th centuries were the coldest interval of the past millennium coinciding with the expansion of glaciers in the western Himalaya (Yadav et al., 2011).

The AELA for Zepu Glacier (S-E Tibetan Plateau) estimated from moraines deposited at CE 200–600, 800–1150, 1400–1650, and the 19th century was 160 m, 110 m, 60 m, and 10 m, respectively, which is equivalent to temperature lowering of 1.0 °C, 0.7 °C, 0.4 °C and 0.1 °C compared to 1989 (Yang et al., 2008). In most areas of central Asia, glaciers began and continue to retreat since the beginning of the 20th century (Mayewski and Jeschke, 1979; Mayewski et al., 1980; Kutuzov and Shagedanova, 2009; Owen and Dortch, 2014).

4.13. Low latitudes

Ninety-five percent of tropical glaciers are located in the Andes. A collection of 14C ages constraining mostly minimum or maximum ages of glacier advances in the tropics of South America is available (e.g. Mercer and Palacios, 1977; Clapperton, 1983; Goodman et al., 2001; Rodbell et al., 2009), though only recent development of TCN dating made it possible to describe the late Holocene history of some glaciers (mostly in the outer tropics) in sufficient detail.
(Licciardi et al., 2009; Hall et al., 2009; Jomelli et al., 2011, 2014; Stroup et al., 2014, 2015 — recalculated in this study using the regional mean production rate from Martin et al., 2015 and Lal/Stone scaling scheme). Lake-sediment studies (Abbott et al., 2000; Polissar et al., 2006; Rodbell et al., 2008; Stansell et al., 2014) provided a continuous context useful for the interpretation of the moraine ages.

In the outer tropics accumulation occurs only during the wet period, whereas in the inner tropics it lasts the whole year (Kaser and Osmaston, 2001). Here we consider the two regions separately.

4.13.1. Inner tropics

According to lake-sediment records from the Venezuelan Andes (Polissar et al., 2006), glaciers in the Mucubají watershed were absent between CE 500 and 1100 and since the 1820s, but four glacial advances occurred in the second millennium (CE 1180–1350, 1450–1590, 1640–1730, and 1800–1820). In the same region Stansell et al. (2014) documented glacier changes in two other catchments. At low elevation (<4400 m asl), lake sediments do not show any signal of glacier advance during the past centuries while the increase in clastic sedimentation in a catchment located at a higher elevation (4750 m) indicate increased glacial activity during the period CE 1560–1680.

On the southern slope of the Cordillera del Cocuy (Colombia) two moraines of Ritacuba Negro Glacier were dated by TCN to CE 900 ± 90 and CE 1750 ± 30 (recalculated from Jomelli et al., 2014). Using historical data, Hastenrath (1981) demonstrated that glaciation in the Ecuadorian Andes, near Quito, was more extensive at the time of the Spanish conquest in the 16th century than in the late 20th century.

In the inner African tropics Karlén et al. (1999) identified periods of glacier advances at Mount Kenya from lake sediments for CE 400–750, around 1350 and around 1550, while retreat phases occurred between 150 BCE and CE 400 and in CE 750–850. In the 1890s the glaciers on Mt Kenya were still close to their prominent moraines. Comparing the glacier records with the paleoclimatic information Karlén et al. (1999) attributed the glacier advances primarily to changes in temperature.

4.13.2. Outer tropics

Rodbell (1992) identified the culmination of clastic sediment flux in Laguna Queshtue, Cordillera Blanca, at ~CE 500 corresponding to the 14C date of a moraine deposited in a nearby cirque in CE ~450 ± 190. Abbot et al. (2000) demonstrated that the glacial activity in Lago Taypi Chaka Kkota, Cordillera Real, Bolivia, was gradually increasing from ~300 BCE and reached the modern level around CE 500–600. Stansell et al. (2013) reported that clastic sediment flux was low in the deposits of proglacial lakes in southern Peru from ~4.0 ka to 14th century CE, indicating that the glaciers were generally in retreated positions.

At some glaciers the peak of glacial activity occurred in the late 17th through 18th century. In Cordillera Real, Bolivia, the TCN samples from Telata Glacier show an advance at CE 1760 ± 130 (Jomelli et al., 2011). Using the TCN Hall et al. (2009) dated an advance of Miticocha Glacier to CE 1740 ± 30 in Cordillera Huay-huash. Licciardi et al. (2009) identified the moraines deposited around the same time at three glaciers (Salcantay — CE 1680 ± 70, Tucarhuay — CE 1710 ± 50, and Sisayppama — CE 1710 ± 40).

The most robust reconstruction of glacier and climate variations in the outer tropics comes from the Quelccaya Ice Cap (Peru). Here there is evidence of earlier glacier advances. Five successive moraines at Qori Kalis Glacier were formed at CE 1500 ± 20, 1635 ± 20, 1685 ± 20, 1705 ± 10, and 1795 ± 10 (recalculated from Stroup et al., 2014, 2015). Comparing the time of Qori Kalis Glacier advances and the Quelccaya Summit Dome ice core records (Thompson et al., 2013) Stroup et al. (2014) deduced that temperature rather than accumulation was the main driver of glacier changes. In the 20th century tropical glaciers were retreating at faster rates than in other mountain regions of the World (Rabatel et al., 2013a).

4.14. Dry Andes

Extremely limited information on glacier fluctuations during the past two millennia is available in the Dry Andes between 17° and 36° S. No ages are available for the first millennium, but 14C-dated peat samples and paleosols date maximum expansion of the past millennium to the 16th–17th centuries (Espíuzua and Pitte, 2009; Masiokas et al., 2009a; SM Table 1 and Fig. 2). Additional limited evidence indicates subsequent readvances during the 19th century. During the general retreat of the past decades, numerous frontal readvances and surging events have been identified, mostly in the past 30–40 years (Masiokas et al., 2009a).

4.15. Southern South America (Patagonia, Tierra del Fuego)

In the north Patagonian Andes (~36°–45°S) no ages are available for glacier advances during the first millennium. Maximum extents of the past 1535 millennium occurred between the 16th and 19th centuries as indicated by tree-ring-dated moraines and 14C dating of in situ stumps affected by advancing glaciers (e.g. Villalba et al., 1990; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Ruiz et al., 2012; see SM Table 1).

Further south at the latitudes of the northern Patagonian Ice-field (NPI; 46°–47°S), in situ roots of trees killed by the advancing Soler Glacier were 14C dated to between the 13th and 14th centuries and provide one of the best indicators of early glacier activity in this region (Glasser et al., 2002). Tree-ring and lichenometric dating of trimlines and moraines of several NPI outlet glaciers indicate that they probably reached their maxima during the 19th century (Winchester and Harrison, 1996; Harrison and Winchester, 1998, 2000; Winchester et al., 2001; Harrison et al., 2007, 2008; Glasser et al., 2011).

Radiocarbon dating of in situ and reworked stumps and 10Be dating of boulders on moraine crests from several sites around the southern Patagonian Icefield (SPI; 49°–51°S) show a period of glacier advances between the 4th and 9th centuries (e.g. Mercer, 1965; Röthlisberger, 1986; Aniya, 2013; Strelin et al., 2014). Numerous in situ trees killed by Ameghino Glacier (an eastern outlet of the SPI) and Glaciar Jorge Montt (northern tip of the SPI) during its main advance between the 15th and 17th centuries were up to 300 years old indicating smaller glaciers and likely warmer conditions; however, the size of the glaciers at that time is not known (Masiokas et al., 2009a). There is, however, a growing body of evidence for glacial advances between the 13th and 15th centuries (e.g. Mercer, 1970; Röthlisberger, 1986; Strelin et al., 2014). In this portion of the Andes the maximum expansion has been dated between the 17th and 19th centuries largely based on dendrochronological determinations and 14C dating of in situ stumps and paleosols (e.g. Mercer, 1965, 1970; Röthlisberger, 1986; Aniya, 1995, 2013; Aravena, 2007; Masiokas et al., 2009b; Aniya and Skvarca, 2012; Strelin et al., 2014; Glasser et al., 2011). Despite several readvances identified in the past century, glacier recession has been clearly evident both in northern and southern Patagonia and it has apparently accelerated in recent decades (Rignot et al., 2003; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Glasser et al., 2011).

The evidence in the Magallanes–Tierra del Fuego region indicates a similar pattern to that observed around the SPI, with glacier advances around the 8th century, around the 14th century, and maxima between the 17th and 19th centuries (Kuylenstierna et al., 1996; Koch and Kilian, 2005; Aravena, 2007; Strelin and Iturraspe, 2007; Strelin et al., 2008). The earliest ages are based
on $^{14}$C dating of reworked stumps whereas the later events are largely based on tree-ring dating of deposits. Glacier readvances during the 19th and 20th centuries have also been identified and dated using tree rings and direct observations (Kuylenstierna et al., 1996; Koch and Kilian, 2005; Aravena, 2007; Strelin et al., 2008). Glaciers in the Cordillera Darwin show a contrasting behaviour in the late 20th century, with glaciers reaching positions at or close to their Holocene maxima in the southern and western flanks and showing marked frontal retreats on the northern and eastern flanks of the Cordillera (Holmlund and Fuenzalida, 1995; Porter and Santana, 2003). Glaciers in the Cordillera Fueguina Oriental (at almost 55°S) probably reached their past millennium maximum between the 16th and 17th centuries and receded slowly until ~60–70 years ago, when the rate of glacier retreat accelerated (Strelin and Iturraspe, 2007).

Moreno et al. (2014) reconstructed centennial changes in southern South American climate over the past three millennia based on a stratigraphic record collected around 51°S. This reconstruction is particularly relevant because it reproduces the patterns of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), the main driver of atmospheric variability in the mid- to high-latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. In their reconstruction, Moreno et al. (2014) identified two periods of cold/wet conditions in southern Patagonia peaking at around CE 250–350 and CE 550–850. Although the evidence for glacier advances in this region is limited for the first millennium, these cold/wet intervals seem to correspond with the few events identified during this period in Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego (Fig. 2). Glasser et al. (2004) claimed that the advance of Soler in CE 1220–1340 and of four other glaciers in southern Patagonia corresponds to the increase of winter precipitation. However, the period of extensive glacier advances between the 13th and 19th centuries coincides with an extended cold/wet interval. This extended period of glacier advances is also correlative with a long- term cool/wet interval identified in marine sediment records at around 44°S along the north Patagonian coast (Sepulveda et al., 2009). Several studies have noted a general warming in southern South America during the 20th century (Villalba et al., 2003; Masiokas et al., 2008; Neukom et al., 2010), and Moreno et al. (2014) corroborate these previous studies showing a dramatic change towards warm/dry conditions starting in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Fig. 2).

4.16. New Zealand

Recent glacier recession in the Southern Alps of New Zealand has led to the exposure of soils and wood buried within steep lateral-moraine walls. Radiocarbon ages on organics associated with these soils afford age constraints on underlying and/or overlying tills (Burrows, 1973; Rothlisberger, 1986; Gellatly et al., 1988; Grove, 2004) (see SM Table 1). According to these data the most extensive advances in New Zealand occurred around 1000 and 600 years ago and in the 19th century (Gellatly et al., 1988). In addition, Wardle (1973) counted rings of trees living on moraine ridges to place minimum-limiting ages on late Holocene moraine deposition by glaciers draining the western flanks of the Southern Alps. He determined that 18 Westland glaciers constructed moraines during, or prior to, the mid- to late-18th, the early- to mid-19th, and the late 19th centuries.

Recently, longer and more detailed moraine chronologies from four glacier systems have been constructed using $^{10}$Be surface-exposure dating at Mueller, Tasman, Hooker Glaciers near Aoraki/Mount Cook (Schafer et al., 2009) and Cameron Glacier in the Arrowsmith Range (Putnam et al., 2012) in the central Southern Alps. These results compare remarkably well with previously obtained and recalibrated radiocarbon ages (arithmetic means with 1σ uncertainty from the $^{14}$C ages, when referenced to the same year as exposure ages).

At Mueller Glacier, the outermost late Holocene lateral moraine was deposited at 1410 ± 150 BCE, and inboard moraines were constructed at 380 ± 100 BCE, 135 ± 100 BCE, CE 150 ± 100, CE 1390 ± 80. Results reported here are those of Schafer et al. (2009) as recalculated by Putnam et al. (2012) using the local New Zealand production rate of Putnam et al. (2010). The most prominent moraine of the past millennium was constructed by CE 1540 ± 80 yrs, with moraines being constructed at positions slightly inboard at CE 1715 ± 60, CE 1754 ± 8, and CE 1824 ± 31.

At Hooker Glacier, the sequence of lateral-moraine ridges were constructed by CE 430 ± 220 and CE 860 ± 100. At Tasman Glacier, the distal moraine was deposited CE 146 ± 100, whereas a proximal moraine was formed contemporaneously with the one at the Hooker Glacier – CE 860 (Schafer et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2012).

At Cameron Glacier moraines deposited during the past two millennia are fewer, with moraines dated to CE 1298 ± 27 and CE 1427 ± 61 that are adjacent to much older (4940 BCE ± 190) moraine surfaces. Progressive retreat of the glacier terminus since the 15th century was interrupted by stillstands or minor readvances around CE 1770, 1864, and 1930 (Putnam et al., 2012).

Since the 1860s, the glaciers of New Zealand have been retreating with minor readvances in 1888, 1908–1909, early 1920s, 1947–1950, 1965–1967 and 1980–2005. There has been net recession since the 1940s (Purdie et al., 2014); however, a period of stable mass balance and glacier readvance/stillstand registered widely across Southern Alps glaciers interrupted this trend between CE 1980 and CE 2005 (Chinn et al., 2005; Purdie et al., 2014). This general pause in ice retreat and snowline rise has been suggested to relate to a switch in the phase of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) that occurred around CE 1978, which involved an increase in the proportion of cold air-mass incursions to the Southern Alps from southerly quarters during the ablation season (Tyson et al., 1997). Atmospheric warming and renewed glacier retreat beginning shortly after CE 2005 may relate to the most recent switch of the IPO (Purdie et al., 2014). Currently, less than a third of the maximum ice volume from around CE 1850 remains (Chinn et al., 2012).

The magnitude of advances in the past two millennia in the Southern Alps of New Zealand varied from glacier to glacier, but in general it was decreasing over the past millennium. However, all moraines formed since the mid Holocene are located very close to one another and to the moraines of 19th century. Due to this similarity in magnitude of glacial advances some moraines were destroyed and overlain by later advances, leading to different compositions of moraine complexes of individual glaciers.

5. Discussion

5.1. What was the magnitude of glacier fluctuations in the past two millennia and is it comparable in scale with contemporary glacier retreat?

Determining the size of former glaciers that were less extensive than later glacial advances is a challenge due to the paucity of these records. However, there is much evidence that during certain periods of the first millennium glaciers were smaller (or of equal sizes) than they were at the end of the 20th to early 21st centuries in many regions including the Arctic (e.g. Iceland, Spitsbergen, Alaska), temperate zone (e.g. Scandinavia, Altay, Alps, Tibet) and the tropics (e.g. Peru, Bolivia) (see references in Table 1). The extremely rare occurrence of moraines deposited in the first millennium in the Southern Hemisphere and in the tropics also indirectly indicates that climate conditions were less favourable for
glaciers than over most of the second millennium excluding the past one to two centuries. In some regions such as southern Alaska, the European Alps and the Altay Mountains, the glaciers also retreated from approximately the 8th to the 13th centuries, but the magnitude of their retreat during the MCA is unknown. We found only one clear indication (Røthe et al., 2015) of glacier retreat to close or within their present limit after the 13th century (Spitsbergen around CE 1400 and between CE 1720 and 1810) (see Fig. 3).

The time of the most prominent advances in the past two millennia varies not only from region to region, but also from glacier to glacier. As described in section 4 (see references therein) in the Alps the maximum extent of the glaciers was reached in the 17th–18th centuries, but advances in the 6th century in the Swiss and French Alps and in the 9th century in the eastern Alps were of nearly similar magnitude. A maximum glacier advance also occurred in the Alps in the 14th century. In Alaska outboard moraines were deposited in the 1800s, but there are also places where they were formed in the first millennium or about CE 1300. In the high latitudes the maximum glacier advance often occurred quite late (in the 19th to early 20th centuries), although recent discoveries indicate advances of similar magnitude dating to the first millennium (e.g. in Spitsbergen in CE 350 ± 90; in Greenland in – CE 300 and in – CE 490). Maxima of glacial advances in the 17th to 18th centuries occurred in the Swedish Lapland, the Altay Mountains and in some regions of the Canadian Cordillera; in the 18th to early 20th century they occurred in Iceland, the Canadian Rockies, and southern Patagonia. In central Asia and in the tropics, many glaciers reached their maxima in the 15th–16th centuries. Major advances around – CE 1000 occurred in Franz Josef Land and at some glaciers in central Asia. Mueller Glacier in New Zealand reached its maximum at the beginning of the first millennium, while the maximum advance of Hooker Glacier occurred a few centuries later in the 9th century, and Cameron Glacier reached its maximum extent even later in the 13th–15th centuries.

During the maximum advances of the past millennium the ELA was lower than at the end of the 20th century in the Alps (Grove, 2004), Norway (Nesje, 2009), the Caucasus, the Altay, Kamchatka (Solomina, 1999), and in the central Andes of Argentina (Espíazu and Patte, 2009) by 100–150 m. In New Zealand the ∆ELA from the maximum last millennium extent is about 140 m (Putnam et al., 2012). The ∆ELA was much smaller (10–20 m) in arid central Asia, while in the tropics of the Peruvian Andes it reached as low as 300 m (Jomelli et al., 2011) and up to 300–500 m in Venezuela (Polissar et al., 2006). On Baffin Island the magnitude of the snowline variations in the past two millennia is estimated to be up to 400 m (difference between the beginning of CE and the 19th century) (Miller et al., 2013). Unfortunately for most regions we cannot assess the full range of ELA variations over the past two millennia primarily due to uncertainties related to the magnitude of glacier retreat during warm periods.

Globally, the number of advances recorded before the 11th century is much smaller than in the second millennium, but it is still extensive enough to state that in many regions glacier advances during the first millennium as well, and whereas later advances did not destroy some of these moraines, the magnitude of these first millennium advances was rather large and most likely comparable with those of the second millennium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region, glacier</th>
<th>Ages, CE</th>
<th>Reference point</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal and southern Alaska</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>Close to their modern margins</td>
<td>Wiles et al., 2008; Barclay et al., 2009a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Alaska</td>
<td>10th–13th centuries</td>
<td>Less extensive than during the late 20th century</td>
<td>Wiles et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Cordillera</td>
<td>Before 500</td>
<td>Equal or smaller than in the late 20th century</td>
<td>Allen and Smith, 2007; Clague et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia (Llewellyn Glacier)</td>
<td>Before 300–500</td>
<td>No more extensive than today</td>
<td>Clague et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Greenland (Kangerlussuaq)</td>
<td>Until 200–250</td>
<td>Near the modern margins</td>
<td>Young and Briner, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Greenland (Jakobshavn Isbrae)</td>
<td>Until 200–250</td>
<td>Near the modern margins</td>
<td>Lloyd, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Greenland (Upernavik Istrorum)</td>
<td>Until – CE 1200</td>
<td>Less extensive than now</td>
<td>Briner et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Greenland (Kagrtur Sermia)</td>
<td>660 ± 150</td>
<td>Within or near its present extent since</td>
<td>Wixson et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>First millennium</td>
<td>Smaller than in the past millennium and on some occasions smaller than those of today</td>
<td>Geirsdóttir et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen (Kongressvatnet)</td>
<td>Before 8th century, probably up to before 1370–1400</td>
<td>Smaller than today (the presence of a glacier signal is not detected in the sediments)</td>
<td>Guiizzoni et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen (Longyearbreen)</td>
<td>20–820</td>
<td>2 km shorter than in early 20th century</td>
<td>Humlum et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen</td>
<td>2nd–6th centuries</td>
<td>Smaller than during the past millennium</td>
<td>Reusche et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen (Karlbreen)</td>
<td>Before 50, 550–750, 800–1100, –1,400, between 1720s and 1810s</td>
<td>Close to or beyond its present limit</td>
<td>Røthe et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway (Juvfonne ice patch)</td>
<td>250–530 and 800–900</td>
<td>Strong glacier retreat</td>
<td>Nesje et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franz Josef Land (Cape Lagerny, Northbrook Island)</td>
<td>Until at least –550–670</td>
<td>Beyond the present margin</td>
<td>Lubinski et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altay</td>
<td>8th–12th centuries</td>
<td>Retreat of unknown magnitude</td>
<td>Agatova et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>Before 270, in the 5th and in the 8th centuries</td>
<td>As small as in the late 20th century</td>
<td>Holzhauser et al., 2005; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Hormes et al., 2001; Joerin et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>Late 9th to 11th centuries</td>
<td>Less extensive than present</td>
<td>Holzhauser et al., 2005; Simonneau et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps (Unteraar, Steinlini and Lago di Musella glaciers)</td>
<td>Until 780</td>
<td>In retreated positions relative to the 17th–19th centuries</td>
<td>Hormes et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Alps (Glacier du Trient)</td>
<td>5th century</td>
<td>As extensive as the late 20th century</td>
<td>Hormes et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Andes (southern dome of the Quelccaya ice cap)</td>
<td>Until 344–539</td>
<td>Smaller than today</td>
<td>Mercer and Palacios, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordillera Real, Bolivia (Lago Taypi Chaka Kkota)</td>
<td>Until –500–600</td>
<td>Smaller than today</td>
<td>Abbott et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2. Ablation-season temperature or accumulation-season precipitation? Climate signal in glacial records of the past 2 millennia

Glacier mass-balance measurements over the historical period demonstrate that for most regions summer temperature is the dominant control on annual mass balance (Koerner, 2005; Björnsson et al., 2013). Some exceptions when glacier advances were forced primarily by high winter precipitation are recorded in coastal areas of Scandinavia, SE Alaska, Kamchatka and New Zealand (e.g. Lemke et al., 2007). Similarly, over the past two millennia for those regions where summer temperature or both summer temperature and winter precipitation reconstructions are available, and the accuracy of the glacier records allows a resolution of a few decades, lower summer temperatures coincide with many of the recorded ice advances (e.g. Alaska: Wiles et al., 2004, 2008; Barclay et al., 2013; Anchukaitis et al., 2013; Canadian Rockies: Luckman, 2000; Alps: Holzhauser et al., 2005; McCormick et al., 2012; Lüthi, 2014; Le Roy et al., 2015; Monsoon Asia: Yang et al., 2008; New Zealand: Schaefer et al., 2009; South America: Neukom et al., 2010, Leclercq et al., 2012; Tropics: Jomelli et al., 2011; Malone et al., 2015) (see also Fig. 2). In a few cases increased snowfall was suggested as the primary driver of former advances, e.g., in western Norway (Nesje et al., 2007; Bakke et al., 2008; Rasmussen et al., 2010) in the Canadian Rockies (Luckman and Villalba, 2001), during the MCA (Koch and Clague, 2011), in the Brooks Range – CE 1600 (Boldt et al., 2015), and in the central Himalaya in the 19th century (Yang et al., 2008).

At a more general level there is some agreement between the temperature reconstructions (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013) for the Arctic and Europe, and with glacier fluctuations in the high and mid latitudes (Fig. 4). This suggests that maximum advances correspond to cool periods. Glacier advances dominated from the mid 13th century, consistent with the transition to cooler summer temperatures (Fig. 4). The advances to the past millennium maximum glacier extents occurred in the 17th–18th and 19th centuries and generally correspond to the most prominent cooling, at least in the mid and high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere as reconstructed by PAGES 2k Consortium (2013). The number of glacier advances fits well with the Northern Hemisphere temperature reconstruction based on high- and low-frequency proxy data (Moberg et al., 2005), especially for the period since the 8th century (Fig. 5 and 6). The number of recorded glacier advances continued to increase after the peak of cooling in the 17th century partly due to the well-preserved recessional moraines deposited after the most prominent advances in the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries.

Modelling based on current mass-balance observations and paleoclimatic proxies was used to estimate the climate conditions responsible for the advances over the past two millennia in several regions. Regional estimates of ELA changes in the Alps between the mid-19th century and ~1970, based on ablation-to-accumulation area calculations, suggests an ELA rise of ~69 m and ~94 m for the Swiss (Maisch et al., 2000) and Austrian ablation-to-accumulation area calculations, suggests an ELA rise of ~69 m and ~94 m for the Swiss (Maisch et al., 2000) and Austrian
the western Alps (Rabatel et al., 2013a,b). This ELA increase in the Alps since the mid-19th century was mainly a result of summer climate change, i.e., mass-balance reconstructions proved no significant trend for winter balances (Huss et al., 2008). Statistical analyses of mass-balance series from the Alps covering the past ~60 years also prove the dominance of summer climate variability, i.e.,

Fig. 4. Glacial extent: yellow – glacier(s) smaller than now (end of 20th-early 21st centuries); pale yellow – glacier(s) smaller than maximum extent, but size is generally not well known (included here only if this status is clearly indicated in the original publications, mostly based on the ages of wood incorporated into till or overrun by glaciers); light green – glaciers present in the watersheds (only for lake-sediment records); light blue – glacier(s) advancing or expanded; dark blue – glacier(s) close to or at their maximum extent of the past 2 ka. Although a few glaciers experienced small-scale, intermittent advances during recent decades they were too minor to represent on this summary diagram. The empty cells indicate the absence of information on the glacier status. The descriptions of all series are listed in SM Table 1. The sequence of the series corresponds to the sequence of the records in the SM Table 1. Temperature reconstructions gridded at 50 years (50 year mean) (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013).

Fig. 5. Stacked time series of the number of advances for each region documented in this review. The summary is based on 275 time series (Table 1 SM), with age control based on of the 14C, TCNs, tree rings, and historical dates for ages of moraines, and periods of glacial activity recorded in lake and marine sediments settings, and multi-proxy reconstructions. Considering the heterogeneity of evidence used to infer former glacier extent, and because what constitutes an “advance” differs among authors, the number of advances (y-axis) should be regarded as approximate only. Nonetheless, several clear trends emerge. The series are of different nature and their number cannot be considered as numerical records. They show the number of cases when the signal of certain glacial activity is preserved either as geomorphic features or as sediment layers. A small number of glacier advances occurred in many regions between the 1st and 12th centuries CE, including some that were close in magnitude to those occurring later in the second millennium CE, although most advances were probably of smaller extent than during the 2nd millennium and their traces are not preserved (see Section 4 “Regional description”). An abrupt increase of the number of recorded advances starting in the 13th century and lasting through to the 19th century indicates both widespread glacier growth and greater preservation because these moraines have not been obliterated by larger advances, i.e., the advances of this time were of larger magnitude. After these maxima glaciers started to recede with readvances of smaller extent therefore the number of records after the maximum continue to increase (generally in the 19th to early 20th centuries).
summer temperature and related variables, on the evolution of glaciers (e.g. Schönert and Böhmb, 2007).

In the tropical Andes, Rabatel et al. (2008) suggested that the glacier maximum in the 17th century may have been due to a 20–30% increase in precipitation and a 1.1–1.2 °C decrease in temperature compared with the present conditions. Malone et al. (2015) demonstrated that a 1 °C cooling compared to today (without changes in precipitation) is necessary to explain Qori Kalis’ maximum extent at CE 1500 ± 20 (reacculated from Stroup et al., 2014). In Venezuela, Polissar et al. (2006) determined that a 300–500 m lowering of the ELA occurring between CE 1250 and CE 1820 is equivalent to a 2.6–4.3 °C drop in temperature, assuming constant annual precipitation. By combining these results with a biome migration index, they advocated for a 3.2 ± 1.4 °C cooling and a 22% increase of precipitation. Leclercq et al. (2012) calculated that the retreat of Frias Glacier in northern Patagonia in CE 1639–2009 could be best explained by a 1.2 °C warming.

Finally, it has to be stressed that glaciers react in a complex manner to both temperature and precipitation, and in many cases the data are still too scarce to assess the respective role of temperature and precipitation changes on glacier fluctuations. The relative contribution of each parameter to mass-balance changes is specific for each glacier.

5.3. What were the periods of major glacier advances and retreats in key mountain regions over the last 2 millennia, and how synchronous were they regionally and globally?

Below we summarize the information on glacier advances from regional reviews as well as from the best-dated individual series of glacier variations in chronological order (see also Table 2). Along with these data we provide information on possible decadal-scale forcings (volcanic and solar) for global coolings that might have induced glacier advances. Please note that we report here, as well as in the regional chapters (Fig. 1 SM), the recalculated 10Be ages from original publications by Schaefer et al. (2009), Jomelli et al. (2014), and Stroup et al. (2014) (see details in Table 1 SM).

5.3.1. CE 1–200s

The evidence of glacier advances in the first two centuries CE is rare. This time is known in Europe as the warm Roman period (Wanner et al., 2008). Glaciers were smaller or close to the late 20th century extent not only in Europe (the Alps and southern Scandinavia), but also in Altay, Tibet, eastern Canada and Canadian Arctic (see details in section 4). The forelands of some glaciers were covered by forest (e.g. Llewellyn Glacier in British Columbia; Clague et al., 2010). In contrast an advance between 250 BCE and CE 150...
probably occurred in Iceland (Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012). Schaefer et al. (2009) dated three of the most prominent advances in the past two millennia in New Zealand between CE 5 and CE 180 (Schaefer et al., 2009).

Table 2. Periods of glacier advances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Centuries CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Alaska</td>
<td>200–320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Alaska</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Canada</td>
<td>400–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Glacier, Mt. Waddington, BC</td>
<td>380–470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baffin Island and the Queen Elizabeth Islands</td>
<td>250–400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Greenland</td>
<td>700–820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen</td>
<td>350 ± 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitsbergen</td>
<td>700–820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavia</td>
<td>200–300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Norway</td>
<td>100–400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novaya Zemlya</td>
<td>427–766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franz Josef Land</td>
<td>700–1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Asia</td>
<td>200–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altay</td>
<td>300–400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>312–337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-arid Asia</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon Asia</td>
<td>250–500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon Asia</td>
<td>500 ± 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Tibet</td>
<td>200–400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Himalaya</td>
<td>380–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibet</td>
<td>760–940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qori Kalis outlet glacier, Quelccaya Ice Cap</td>
<td>400–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuelan Andes</td>
<td>400–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner tropics</td>
<td>760–940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer tropics</td>
<td>400–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Kenya, Africa</td>
<td>400–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andes, Venezuela</td>
<td>Desert-central Andes, Peñón, Azufre, Damas glaciers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Patagonia, Tronador &amp; Esperanza glaciers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Patagonian Icefield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Patagonian Icefield</td>
<td>330–770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small glaciers, southern Patagonia</td>
<td>640–780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra del Fuego</td>
<td>650–900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>5–180 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand, Cameron Glacier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2. CE 200s–300s

Advances during this period are recorded in many regions in both hemispheres. In the Arctic, an extensive glacial expansion throughout Baffin Island occurred between CE 250 and CE 400.
(Miller et al., 2012, 2013) and at CE 350 ± 200 in western Svalbard (Reusche et al., 2014). In Alaska an advance occurred between CE 200 and CE 320 (Rothlisberger, 1986). Llewellyn Glacier in British Columbia advanced into mature forest between CE 300 and CE 500 (Clague et al., 2010). Detailed records in the European Alps (Nicoulussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015) prove first advances after the warm Roman period from the late 3rd to early 5th century (e.g. a synchronous advance recorded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1180s–1320s</td>
<td>1540s–1710s</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1810s–1880s</td>
<td>Barclay et al., 2009a,b, this paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1180–1300</td>
<td>1200–1500</td>
<td>1600–1715</td>
<td>1690–1730</td>
<td>1820–1900</td>
<td>Wiles et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>1450–1630</td>
<td>1640–1890</td>
<td>1600–1640, 1680</td>
<td>1720, 1775</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>1855/60</td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160–1255</td>
<td>1350–1880</td>
<td>1500–1800</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1890–1900</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1400</td>
<td>mid 1600s</td>
<td>&gt;1737</td>
<td>late 19th</td>
<td>late 19th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th cent.</td>
<td>1200–1260</td>
<td>1340–1380</td>
<td>1470–1480</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>1640–1730s</td>
<td>1600–1640, 1680</td>
<td>1720, 1775</td>
<td>1820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th cent.</td>
<td>late 13th</td>
<td>1350–1385</td>
<td>late 15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>early 16th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120–1178</td>
<td>1248–1278/1296</td>
<td>&gt;1352</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1450–1850</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150–1400</td>
<td>1300 ± 100</td>
<td>1400–1920</td>
<td>1400–1430</td>
<td>1550–1850</td>
<td>1600 ± 100</td>
<td>1662</td>
<td>1746–1785</td>
<td>1720–1870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;15th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1705–1795</td>
<td>1720–1780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1350</td>
<td>1480–1520</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1610–1700</td>
<td>1690–1800 (~1730)</td>
<td>1850–1890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220–1350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130–1440</td>
<td>1450–1670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1270–1400</td>
<td>1500–1650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1740–1800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1210–1440</td>
<td>1450–1680</td>
<td>1450–1680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>1605 (2)</td>
<td>1735–1895</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1864, 1930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: Miller et al., 2012, 2013; Reusche et al., 2014; Llewellyn Glacier in British Columbia advanced into mature forest between CE 300 and CE 500; Clague et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2012, 2013; Margreth et al., 2014; Winser et al., 2014, Kelly, Lowell, 2009; Balascio et al., 2015; Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015; Wides et al., 2008; Mood and Smith, 2015; Anderson et al., 2008; Nesje, compilation from lake sediments; Matthews and Dresser, 2008; Nesje et al., 2008; Forman et al., 1999; Polyak et al., 2004; Lubinski et al., 1999; Larsen et al., 2013; Guilizzoni et al., 2006; Reusche et al., 2014; Ruthe et al., 2015; Le Roy et al., 2015; Rothlisberger and Geyh, 1985; Murari et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Bräuning, 2006; Stroup et al., 2014; Polissar et al., 2006; Kärén et al., 1999; Polissar et al., 2006; Espizua and Pitte 2009; Villalba et al., 1990; Masiokas et al., 2009a, 2010; Ruiz et al., 2012; Glasser et al., 2002; Winchester et al., 2001; Harrison et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Masiokas et al., 2009ab; Strelin et al., 2014; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Mercer 1965; Rothlisberger, 1986; Aniya, 2011; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Strelin et al., 2014; Mercer 1965; Rothlisberger, 1986; Masiokas et al., 2009ab; Strelin et al., 2014; Strelin et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a; Schaefer et al., 2009; recalculated from Putnam et al., 2012; Putnam et al., 2012;
between CE 312 and CE 337 at Mer de Glace and around CE 335 CE at Gepatschferner). Two separate advances at −CE 270 and −CE 410 were identified at Great Aletsch Glacier. Some of these advances may match a climatically effective eruption at CE 266 (Sigl et al., 2015), although the dating uncertainties of moraines are generally still too large for more definitive conclusions.

5.3.3. CE 400s−700s
The advances around CE 600 are identified as a major regional event in northwestern North America (Reyes et al., 2006). Advances occurred at CE 550−720 in British Columbia and Alaska (Barclay et al., 2009a,b; 2013; Zander et al., 2013). They are recorded in southwest Greenland at CE 490 ± 110 (Winsor et al., 2014), in Iceland with three advances between CE 450 and 550 (Kirkbridge and Dugmore, 2006), in Scandinavia in CE 600−700 (Matthews and Dresser, 2008), in southeastern Tibetan Plateau in CE 400−600 (Yang et al., 2008), in New Zealand in −CE 430 (Schaefer et al., 2009), in the tropics in Africa at Mt. Kenya between −CE 400 and 750 (Karlsen et al., 1999) and in South America at −CE 450 ± 190 (Rodbell, 1992). In southern Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego advances are recorded between the 4th and 9th centuries (Strelin et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a). In some regions glaciers were close to their past millennium maxima, e.g., Great Aletsch Glacier and Mer de Glace in the Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015). Unfortunately the dating is still too poor to assess the inter-regional synchronicity or distinguish individual stages. Recently, Büntgen et al. (2016) identified a long-lasting cooling between CE 536 and −CE 660 in Eurasia after a set of large volcanic eruptions in CE 536, 540 and 547 and named this period the “Late Antique Little Ice Age”. Grand Solar Minimum (GSM), which occurred at the end of the 7th century (Steinhilber et al., 2009), also contributed to this cooling and probably to the glacier advances around this time.

5.3.4. CE 800s−900s
In the European Alps the advance around CE 835 was almost equal to the past millennium maximum extent at some glaciers (e.g. Suldenferner) (Nicolussi et al., 2006). The advance between CE 800 and 1150 was the largest in the past 2 ka in Gongga Shan (Yang et al., 2008), in New Zealand between −CE 400 and 750 (Karlsen et al., 1999) and in South America at −CE 450 ± 190 (Rodbell, 1992). In southern Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego advances are recorded between the 4th and 9th centuries (Strelin et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a). In some regions glaciers were close to their past millennium maxima, e.g., Great Aletsch Glacier and Mer de Glace in the Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Le Roy et al., 2015). Unfortunately the dating is still too poor to assess the inter-regional synchronicity or distinguish individual stages. Recently, Büntgen et al. (2016) identified a long-lasting cooling between CE 536 and −CE 660 in Eurasia after a set of large volcanic eruptions in CE 536, 540 and 547 and named this period the “Late Antique Little Ice Age”. Grand Solar Minimum (GSM), which occurred at the end of the 7th century (Steinhilber et al., 2009), also contributed to this cooling and probably to the glacier advances around this time.

5.3.5. CE 900−1000
Glaciers were retreating in the European Alps during the late 9th to 11th centuries (Grove, 2004; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Simonneau et al., 2014; Hafner, 2012), around CE 950 in southern coastal Alaska (Wiles et al., 2014), and between CE 900 and 1100 in British Columbia (Clague et al., 2010). Glacier advances in the 10th century were rare, perhaps because this was a time when climate was relatively unperturbed by anomalous solar or volcanic forcing (Bradley et al., 2016). An advance around CE 1000 occurred in the central Himalaya and, and the southeastern Tibetan Plateau (Yang et al., 2008), in Colombia (Jomelli et al., 2014), and New Zealand (Schaefer et al., 2009). In western Canada, some glaciers advanced between CE 1030 and 1210 (Clague et al., 2010), and in Baffin Bay an advance is dated to between −CE 1050 and 1220 (Young et al., 2015). One strong high-latitude volcanic event is reported for CE 939 (Sigl et al., 2015), but we do not find any clear evidence of potential glacier advance around this time.

5.3.6. CE 1100s
Although the 12th century is considered the peak of the warm period (MCA) in some places (Lamb, 1965), advances in the CE 1120s−1180s are reported in many regions (Grove, 2004) (see Table 2), e.g., western Canada (Luckman, 1986, 1996; Koch and Clague, 2011), the European Alps (Le Roy et al., 2015), monsoon Asia (Röthlisberger and Geyh, 1985), Greenland (Young et al., 2015), Franz Josef Land (Lubinski et al., 1999), and New Zealand (Schaefer et al., 2009). Two volcanic eruptions in CE 1180 and 1170 (Sigl et al., 2015) may be responsible for these advances, but this is only speculation based on coincidence of the ages.

5.3.7. CE 1200s
Miller et al. (2012) dated advances of glaciers on Baffin Island to CE 1260−1280. Glaciers in eastern (Young et al., 2015) and southeastern Greenland (Balascio et al., 2015) advanced around the same time in CE 1250−1300. In the European Alps, Mer de Glace advanced between −CE 1248 and 1278−1296 (Le Roy et al., 2015), and Gepatschferner advanced around CE 1286 (Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2001). Nazarov et al. (2012) found evidence of trees killed by advancing glaciers in the Altay in the CE 1200s−1250s, and Soler Glacier of the northern Patagonian Icefield advanced between CE 1222 and 1342 (Glasser et al., 2002). Advances in the 13th century are also described in Alaska, western Canada, Scandinavia, Iceland, and the Russian Arctic (regional descriptions in Section 4). The advances might be related to the volcanic eruptions that occurred in CE 1230, 1258, and 1286 (Sigl et al., 2015). The CE 1258 volcanic event was the strongest of the past two millennia, and the period between CE 1251 and 1310 is identified as one of the “volcanic-solar downturns” (PAGES 2K Consortium, 2013) characterized by strong cooling.

5.3.8. CE 1300s
A period of glacial activity, likely of global significance, experienced its first culmination in the mid/late 14th century: e.g., Mer de Glace in the Alps after CE 1352 (Le Roy et al., 2015); Franklin Glacier in western Canada in CE 1340−1390 (Mood and Smith, 2015); Spitsbergen after CE 1350 (Guilizzoni et al., 2006); southern Patagonia after CE 1350 (Masiokas et al., 2009a); and New Zealand around CE 1355 (Schaefer et al., 2009). Glaciers in the Peruvian Andes also began to advance around the mid 14th century after a long period of retreat (Stansell et al., 2013). The glacial activity seems to have persisted at least until the end of this century. A strong low-latitude eruption with bipolar climatic effects that occurred in CE 1345 (Sigl et al., 2013) was possibly responsible for the glacier advances recorded in the mid 14th century.

5.3.9. CE 1400s−1500s
An advance around CE 1460s on Baffin Island (Miller et al., 2012) is synchronous with the beginning of advances in southeast Greenland (CE 1450−1630) (Balascio et al., 2015), in the Altay (CE 1440s−1510s) (Nazarov et al., 2012), and in central Asia (CE 1450−1850) (Röthlisberger and Geyh, 1985). In the tropical Andes, Stroup et al. (2014, 2015) report an advance of Qori Kalis in CE 1500 ± 20, and in New Zealand the advance around CE 1435 was one of the most prominent (Schaefer et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2012). A strong eruption in the Pacific Ocean (Kuwaie/Vanuatu) that consisted probably of two events in CE 1452 and 1458 (Sigl et al., 2013, 2015) and the Spörer solar minimum (−CE 1450) roughly coincide with the beginning of above mentioned glacier advances. Glacial advances in 16th century were generally less common (see Table 2).

5.3.10. CE 1600s
Glaciers advanced between CE 1640 and −1740 in the Altay
et al., 2015), around ~CE 1720 in Iceland (Larsen et al., 2015), in the south Patagonian Andes, advances occurred between the late 16th century and the mid 17th century (Glasser et al., 2004, 2011; Koch and Kilian, 2005; Aravena, 2007; Masiokas et al., 2009a). An advance between CE 1640 and 1730 occurred in the tropical Andes (Polissar et al., 2006), in CE 1638–1639 in northern Patagonia (Frias Glacier) (Masiokas et al., 2009a), and in the middle of the 17th century on Franz Josef Land (Lubinski et al., 1999). The most-detailed and best-dated glacier advances are reported in the Alps in the CE 1600s, 1640s, and 1680s (Zumbühl et al., 1983; Grove, 2004; Nicolussi et al., 2006; Nussbaumer et al., 2007; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009; Le Roy et al., 2015). They closely correlate with eruptions that occurred in CE 1601, 1641 and 1695 (Sigl et al., 2015). Volcanic–solar downturns in CE 1581-2005; Luckman, 2000; Zumbühl et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a). Tree-ring-dated moraines in southern Patagonia were deposited at –CE 1740s (Masiokas et al., 2009a). Advances between CE 1746 and 1785 occurred in the area of monsoonal temperate glaciers in Asia (Bräuning, 2006). In southern Norway glaciers advanced in CE 1740–1750 and CE 1780–1790 (Matthews and Dresser, 2008; Nesje, 2009). One group of these advances (around CE 1770–1780) clusters around the CE 1783 Laki eruption in Iceland; however, in the Alps, where the dating is more precise the culmination of the late 18th century advance occurred earlier than this eruption.

5.3.12. CE 1800s

Numerous glacier advances in the 19th century occurred and were directly observed in many mountain regions. They are usually recognized by the presence of well-preserved moraines of one of the last millennium maxima and of subsequent readvances of retreating glaciers. In many regions, such as the European Alps, Scandinavia, Altay, and Patagonia, glacial records of the 19th century are accurately dated, described and analyzed (e.g. Grove, 2004; Wanner et al., 2000; Nicolussi and Pattetz, 2001; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Luckman, 2006; Zumbühl et al., 2008; Masiokas et al., 2009a; WGMS, 2008 etc.). Two periods of major advances in the European Alps at around CE 1820 and 1855–1860 were followed by minor readvances in the 1890s. In most regions the retreat from the 19th century maximum began in the 1860s, although in some Arctic regions the glaciers were still close to their maxima at the beginning of 20th century. During the first three decades of the 19th century – a period of several strong advances – a covariance between a Grand Solar Minimum (Dalton) and several large tropical volcanic eruptions is observed. The climatic effects of the Tambora eruption in CE 1815 and another major low-latitude eruption in CE 1809 have been well studied (e.g. Harington, 1992; Grove, 2004).

5.3.13. 1900s CE to the present

In 20th and early 21st centuries the global trend of glacier retreat with some episodes of regionally variable minor readvances or still-stands is well documented, e.g., in the 1920s, 1970s and 1990s (Lemke et al., 2007; WGMS, 2008; Vaughan et al., 2013).

We summed the number of glacier advances for 50-year-long intervals spanning the time period from CE 1 to CE 2000 for all regions considered here and analyzed the agreement of the resulting time series. If the time (the age) of advance covered more than 50 years it was included in subsequent 50-year-long interval. Although this approach has serious limitations it still provides a general idea of the coherence of the time of advances across the globe. The number of glacial advances for all regions is significantly inter-correlated. This coherence is clear for both individual regions (Fig. 5) and for cumulative curves of glacier advances for high, mid and low latitudes (Fig. 6). The correlation is partly generated by the shared millennial-scale trends towards both enhancing glacial activity and increasing sample density (Table 2 SM). Removing the long-term trend results in fewer significant correlations between regions (Table 2 SM), though many regions still do demonstrate century-scale co-variability. Based on this review we identified potential synchronicity of glacier advances during the Common Era that cluster in the CE 200s–300s, 400s–600s, 800s, around CE 1000 as well as around CE 1150s, 1280s, 1350s, 1400s, 1450s, 1600s, 1640s, 1670s, 1770s, 1820s, 1850s–1860s, 1890s, 1900s–1940s, 1970s–1980s and 1990s. Synchronicity of some glacier advances over the past one to two millennia was advocated before by Röthlisberger (1986). Grove (2004), Matthews (2007), Stroup et al. (2014) and Solomina et al. (2015). However, the gaps inherent in terrestrial glacial records, the scarcity of data for certain times, together with the limited accuracy associated with many of the proxy records and the complexity of glacier sensitivity to climate parameters (Paterson, 1994; Oerlemans, 2001) all set limits on identifying the global synchronicity of glacier variations.

5.4. Possible external forcings of glacier variations in the past 2 ka

Circulation dynamics and their related energy and mass fluxes react in a complex manner to different forcing factors as well as to internal (chaotic) variability and account for past global glacier advances and retreats on different time scales. It is challenging to translate forcings and internal (chaotic) variability into circulation dynamics; precise regional or local temperature and precipitation values with seasonal or higher resolution for the past two millennia are also difficult to generate, thus we can only speculate about their significance for past glacier advances and retreats. On the longer (millennia) timescale changes in orbital forcing, mainly during the summer season, played a major role, causing the latitudinal displacement of the global circulation belts (Wanner et al., 2008). On centennial to decadal timescales additional factors contributed to glacier fluctuations: such as large tropical volcanic eruptions, solar irradiance variability and greenhouse gas concentrations as well as large-scale internal variability, mainly El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), Pacific Decadal Variability (PDV), North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (PAGES 2k, 2013). However, the fairly coherent response seen in the overall record of glacier advances (Fig. 6) suggests that regional circulation patterns were not the primary factors influencing glacier dynamics.

Generally, the opposite orbital trends in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres in the past two millennia would result in a gradual increase of glacier sizes in the Northern Hemisphere and in the decrease of those in the Southern Hemisphere. The orbital signal is indeed well manifested in the Holocene glacier variations (e.g. Solomina et al., 2015); however, in the past two millennia it is masked by the higher-frequency perturbations such as solar minima and sets of consequent volcanic eruptions reversing the...
pattern of glacier variations expected from orbital forcing. This is the case in some regions of the Southern Hemisphere where glacial activity had increased by the second half of the second millennium despite the increase of solar insolation in summer induced by the orbital signal. Several hypotheses have been suggested to explain this phenomenon (Broecker, 2000; Denton and Broecker, 2008; Schaefer et al., 2009), but this problem is not yet completely resolved. Several authors have drawn attention to the coincidence of well-constrained glacial chronologies of past centuries with solar activity (Luckman, 2000; Wiles et al., 2004, 2008; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Hornes et al., 2006; Nussbaumer et al., 2011a,b; MacGregor et al., 2011). Hornes et al. (2006) demonstrated the high correlation of glacier length changes with total solar irradiance (up to $r^2 = 0.79$ with 9–14 year lags). However, neither the magnitude of total solar irradiance (TSI) changes, nor the effects of such changes on the climate system, are well understood (Lockwood, 2012) and so a simple temporal correlation with available TSI proxies must be treated with caution.

Porter (1981, 1986) suggested that volcanic eruptions are the primary forcing of glacier fluctuations on a decadal timescale; however, it was difficult to explain how the short-term coolings induced by the volcanic eruptions can result in glacier advances that last sometimes for decades. Recent studies (Sigl et al., 2015) showed that the cooling may persist at least ten years after the largest eruptions. Notably, some of these large eruptions occurred at the same time as solar minima (“volcanic-solar downturns”; PAGES 2k, 2013). The combination of solar minima and volcanic forcing may have been a decisive factor in driving widespread potentially globally synchronous glacier advances of the past. Miller et al. (2012) suggested an additional mechanism to maintain the short-lived cooling periods that follow explosive eruptions: positive feedbacks related to the expansion of Arctic sea ice. They noted that abrupt summer cooling and expansion of Canadian Arctic ice caps between CE 1275 and 1300, followed by even stronger cooling and further advances at CE 1430–1455, coincided with major volcanic eruptions, at times of decreased irradiance during Grand Solar Minima (Steinhilber et al., 2009).

Unfortunately, as we showed in this overview, the precision of the majority of the ages for glacier advances is still not high enough to firmly connect the decadal-scale external forcing and glacier advances, especially in the first millennium, though there is a certain coincidence between glacier advances and large volcanic eruptions that occurred in CE 1258, 1345, 1458, 1601, 1641, 1695, 1783 and 1815 (Sigl et al., 2015; see details in 5.3 and in Table 2).

Along with the global-scale forcing factors, modes of internal climate system variability, such as the NAO (Nesje, 2009; Reichert et al., 2001; Six et al., 2001; Imhof et al., 2012; Marzeion and Nesje, 2012; Larsen et al., 2013; Young et al., 2015), ENSO (Koch and Clague, 2011), AMO (Lüthi, 2014), and the IPO (Schaefer et al., 2009) modulate regional climates, and may thereby affect glacier variations in some areas. Such circulation modes may result in asynchronous or sometimes antiphased cycles of glacier advances and retreats (e.g. Scandinavia and the Alps in the early 18th century (Dahl et al., 2003), Iceland and the Alps between CE 1550 and 1680 (Larsen et al., 2013). Koch and Clague (2011) reported that several glaciers in western North America advanced up to their LIA limits in Medieval time, possibly due to increased winter precipitation forced by La Niña-like conditions.

Denton and Broecker (2008) suggested that the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) could have had thermal effects across the Northern Hemisphere, and thus provide an explanation for the synchronicity of glacier variations in the European Alps and shown in western North America. Due to the bipolar seesaw effect, fluctuations of glaciers in the Southern Hemisphere would be expected to show the opposite behaviour at the decadal and multi-decadal scale. However, as shown in Section 5.3 of this paper several advances reported for the Southern Hemisphere in Patagonia and New Zealand, e.g., ~CE 1150, 1350, 1430, 1600, 1735, 1770, are synchronous with those of the Northern Hemisphere within the given accuracy of the ages (see Table 2). Furthermore, Schaefer et al. (2009) claim that their $^{10}$Be ages on Holocene moraines support neither synchronicity nor rhythmic asynchrony in glacier fluctuations in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres. They suggest that IPO may have played a crucial role in climate and glacier fluctuations in New Zealand.

Anthropogenic warming is a global signal that is currently resulting in retreat of glaciers in both hemispheres (Lemke et al., 2007; Vaughan et al., 2013). We did not find evidence of any other globally uniform period of glacier retreat in the past two millennia except for the modern one, although in the 1st–2nd and 10th centuries, the number of advances seems to have been quite low. However, our knowledge of the number and extent of glaciers in retreat in the past is also limited, especially in the tropics and in the Southern Hemisphere. In general the fast and global retreat of mountain glaciers in the 20th to 21st centuries appears highly unusual in the context of the two preceding millennia as well as for the entire Holocene (Solomina et al., 2015) and is strongly influenced by the rising temperature induced by anthropogenic forcing (Oerlemans, 1994).

6. Conclusions

6.1. Synchronicity

1. Considering the global database reviewed in this paper, a number of advances in the past two millennia cluster in CE 200s–300s, 400s–600s, 800s, CE 1000 as well as around CE 1150s, 1280s, 1350s, 1400s, 1450s, 1600s, 1640s, 1680s, 1720s, 1770s, 1820s, 1850s–1860s, 1890s, 1900s–1920s, 1970s–1980s and 1990s. However, in some regions the data are too scarce and the precision of the dating is too low for a definite conclusion about the global synchrony of glacier advances. Advances occurred at one or more locations in every century of the first millennium CE, but it is difficult to determine if these were of more than regional significance. Very few cases of advancing glaciers are reported for the 1st to 2nd and the 10th centuries CE.

2. There is a coherence in the number of glacier advances in the past two millennia in both hemispheres, including the glaciers at low latitudes; the most extensive and geographically widespread were in the 13th to 19th centuries and indicate that the LIA was of global significance (Grove, 2001, 2004).

6.2. Magnitude of changes

1. The full range of glacier variations in the past two millennia is unknown. During the maximum advances ELA ranged from 10 to 20 m in arid central Asia, between 100 and 150 m in the mid latitudes and up to 500 m in the tropics. During the 1st and 2nd centuries, ELAs were generally higher than during the 21st century by 20–25 m in Scandinavia, up to 100 m in Spitsbergen, and at least 200 m in the eastern Canadian Arctic.

2. The number of recorded glacial advances in the 1st millennium CE is much smaller than in the 2nd millennium, probably not only due to less numerous advances, but more importantly because they were of generally smaller magnitude, hence the evidence was subsequently overridden. Some advances (e.g. in 6th to 7th centuries in Arctic Canada, west Greenland, Svalbard,
the Alps) were of similar magnitude to those of the “classical” LIA and, thus required similarly strong external forcing.

6.3. External forcings

1. In most cases considered here summer temperature rather than winter precipitation was the primary driver of decadal to century scale glacier variations over the past two millennia. In some areas, such as the maritime regions in the northern North Atlantic, western Canada, Patagonia, and New Zealand, glacier advances during the past two millennia were also dependent on the winter precipitation regime, driven by prevailing circulation modes.

2. Higher levels of explosive volcanic activity, perhaps aided by low solar irradiance forcing and amplified by internal climate system feedbacks, e.g., sea-ice expansion, led to the expansion of glaciers between the beginning of the 13th to the early 19th centuries. Southern Hemisphere glacier advances occurred in the same period despite summer insolation increases, and neither sea ice nor AMOC provides a major direct trigger for glacier growth in the Southern Hemisphere in the past two millennia. Thus the linkages across the hemispheres and identifications of forcings remain largely unresolved.

3. Multiple forcings are responsible for glacier advances. Several advances, such as those occurring in the 6th to 7th centuries CE, in the late 13th, in the 14th, in the early and mid 15th, as well as in the 17th to early 19th centuries generally coincide with the timing of large explosive low-latitude volcanic eruptions and minima of solar activity.

6.4. 20th century

Glaciers were as small as today (late 20th – early 21st centuries) in some regions and likely even smaller several times in the past two millennia. However, the current globally widespread glacier retreat is unusual in the context of the past two millennia and, indeed, for the whole Holocene. Contemporary glacier retreat breaks a long-term trend of increased glacier activity that dominated the past several millennia. The trend of glacier retreat is global, and the rate of this retreat has increased in the past few decades. The observed widespread glacial retreat in the past 100–150 years requires additional forcing outside the realm of natural changes for their explanation. With a high probability anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are the most likely reason for the prevalent, ongoing global ice loss.
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