Holocene erosion of the Lesser Himalaya triggered by intensified summer monsoon
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ABSTRACT
Climate is one of the principal controls setting rates of continental erosion. Here we present the results of a provenance analysis of Holocene sediments from the Indus delta in order to assess climatic controls on erosion over millennial time scales. Bulk sediment Nd isotope analysis reveals a number of changes during the late Pleistocene and early Holocene (at 14–20, 11–12 and 8–9 ka) away from erosion of the Karakoram and toward more sediment flux from the Himalaya. Radiometric Ar-Ar dating of muscovite grains and U-Pb dating of zircon sand grains indicate that the Lesser Himalaya eroded relatively more strongly than the Greater Himalaya as global climate warmed and the summer monsoon intensified after 14 ka. Monsoon rains appear to be the primary force controlling erosion across the western Himalaya, at least over millennial time scales. This variation is preserved with no apparent lag in sediments from the delta, but not in the deep Arabian Sea, due to sediment buffering on the continental shelf.
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INTRODUCTION
Exhumation in mountain belts is driven by the interplay of tectonically driven rock uplift and erosion controlled by glaciation and/or rainfall (Burbank et al., 2003; Hallet et al., 1996; Hodges et al., 2004). While some workers argue that climate, especially precipitation, is the primary control on erosion, and consequently on exhumation (Hodges et al., 2004; Thiede et al., 2004; Wobus et al., 2005), others favor exhumation being controlled by rock uplift driven by tectonic forces (Burbank et al., 2003). Most of these studies rely on low- or medium-temperature thermochronology measurements from the bedrock, and thus necessarily integrate erosion over relatively long periods of geological time (>106 yr). Bookhagen et al. (2005) used lacustrine sediments from the Sutlej Valley to examine the processes that control erosion over millennial time scales and concluded that there was a fivefold increase in erosion of the Greater Himalaya during periods of intensified monsoon, including the early Holocene. However, this study was necessarily limited in its geographic range. In this paper we examine the erosional response of the entire northwest Himalaya to climate change since ca. 20 ka. By looking only at the past 20 k.y. we eliminate changes in tectonics as the potential trigger for changes in erosion and are able to isolate the short-term erosional response of the mountains to strong climate change. Sediments of this age are relatively well dated, so that erosion can be compared with detailed monsoon reconstructions based on a number of proxies, including speleothems (Fleitmann et al., 2003; Sinha et al., 2005) and pollen assemblages (Herschshuh, 2006).

We study the erosional response of the Indus drainage basin, encompassing a number of ranges located in the western Himalaya (Fig. 1). The vast majority of the sediment eroded in this region is delivered to the delta via the Indus River and its tributaries. Consequently changes in the provenance of sediment reaching the delta can be used to understand how climate change since the last deglaciation has influenced Himalayan erosion.

STRATIGRAPHY AND SAMPLING
Samples were taken from three boreholes drilled in the delta (Fig. 1), as well as from core tops in the offshore Indus Canyon. At Keti Bundar drilling penetrated the ravinement surface that forms the base of the modern Indus delta and recovered Pleistocene sand deposits during the last glacial. Age of deposition is calculated from accelerator mass spectrometer 14C dating of organic materials (see the GSA Data Repository*). Radiocarbon ages from below the deltaic sediments are 28.7 and 38.9 ka, suggesting reworking and mixing of older sediment prior to transgression. The Keti Bundar section shows two coarsening-upward cycles, separated by a transgressive mud deposited after ca. 8 ka. Facies analysis and sequence stratigraphy methods indicate that the delta prograded in two phases, at 8–14 ka and 8 ka to the present (Giosan et al., 2006). Although the shoreline retreated 50 km northward ca. 8 ka, the delta was prograding southward during the 8–12 ka period, when rates of eustatic sealevel rise were greatest (Camoin et al., 2004). This behavior contrasts with most deltas at this time and suggests that sediment flux to the Indus delta was able to keep pace with the rising sea level, similar to the situation in the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta (Goodbred and Kuehl, 2000).

In order to assess changing patterns of erosion, the Nd isotope compositions of 23 bulk samples were determined, and three samples were chosen for Ar-Ar dating of muscovite grains and U-Pb dating of zircon grains (Tables DR3 and DR4 in the GSA Data Repository*).

RESULTS
In contrast to the ~1 εNd unit shift seen between modern and glacial sediments in the Bengal Fan (Colin et al., 1999), we use a moving average plot (Fig. 2B) to show that εNd changed from ~11 to ~12 between sedi-
ments of the last glacial and 14 ka, with a further decrease from ~12 to ~14 around the end of the Younger Dryas (11–12 ka), reaching ~15 ca. 8.7 ka. Ages assume linear sedimentation between control points, which may explain why $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ falls at 12.2 ± 0.3 ka, while the monsoon weakens at 12 ka (Herzschuh, 2006). After a period of relatively constant $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (~13.5), a further decrease to ~15 is seen since ~300 yr ago.

The Nd isotopes in clastic sediments are interpreted to reflect the average composition of the source terrains, and are controlled by the age and origin of the source rocks (Goldstein et al., 1984). In general, the older rocks of the Indian craton and Lesser Himalaya show the most negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values (~26 to ~23), while the more primitive arc rocks of the Indus suture and Transhimalaya yield positive values (~1 to +8), with the Greater Himalaya and Karakoram having intermediate but distinct values (~17 to ~12 and ~12 to ~8, respectively; Fig. DR2).

The direction of this isotopic shift after 14 ka suggests increased flux from the Lesser and/or Greater Himalaya during the early Holocene, and is almost as dramatic as the isotopic shift caused by capture of these tributaries into the Indus after 5 Ma (Clift and Blusztajn, 2005). The postglacial change in provenance can be further constrained by considering Ar-Ar ages of detrital muscovite (Fig. 3). The Ar-Ar muscovite ages record cooling of grains in the source through the 350 °C isotherm and are thus controlled by exhumation rates (Hodges, 2003). Muscovite ages from modern and origin of the source rocks (Goldstein et al., 1984). In general, the average composition of the source terrains, and are controlled by the age and origin of the source rocks (Goldstein et al., 1984). In general, the older rocks of the Indian craton and Lesser Himalaya show the most negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values (~26 to ~23), while the more primitive arc rocks of the Indus suture and Transhimalaya yield positive values (~1 to +8), with the Greater Himalaya and Karakoram having intermediate but distinct values (~17 to ~12 and ~12 to ~8, respectively; Fig. DR2).

The direction of this isotopic shift after 14 ka suggests increased flux from the Lesser and/or Greater Himalaya during the early Holocene, and is almost as dramatic as the isotopic shift caused by capture of these tributaries into the Indus after 5 Ma (Clift and Blusztajn, 2005). The postglacial change in provenance can be further constrained by considering Ar-Ar ages of detrital muscovite (Fig. 3). The Ar-Ar muscovite ages record cooling of grains in the source through the 350 °C isotherm and are thus controlled by exhumation rates (Hodges, 2003). Muscovite ages from modern and younger than 6.4 ka sands contrast sharply with those deposited during the glacial in the appearance of many grains with cooling ages ranging from 3 to 6 Ma and ca. 16 Ma. These younger ages are consistent with erosion from either the Lesser Himalaya or the Nanga Parbat Massif. At the same time they eliminate increased erosion of the Greater Himalaya as being the trigger for the shift to lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values after the last glacial because cooling ages in the western Greater Himalaya peak ca. 22 Ma. Unfortunately there are few published Ar-Ar ages from the Karakoram, but mica ages from the Transhimalaya are typically older than 45 Ma (Dunlap and Wysoczanski, 2002), showing that these are not an important source.

Zircon grain ages allow the principal Holocene sediment source to be better pinpointed. The U-Pb zircon ages record the age of crustal melting (~950 °C), so that these are rarely reset by even high-grade metamorphism (Hodges, 2003). Large differences in the age and origin of source rocks across the Indus suture zone mean that zircon ages have been used as powerful sediment provenance tools in the Himalaya (Clift et al., 2004; DeCelles et al., 2000). Ruhl and Hodges (2005) argued that >100 grains are required to characterize a mixed sediment, so that our data can be considered statistically reliable. Figure 4 shows that only ~2% of grains in modern river sands have the very young U-Pb ages (younger than 20 Ma) that characterize the Nanga Parbat massif, while ~19% correspond to the 50–200 Ma age range seen in Kohistan, Ladakh, or the Karakoram (Fig. 1). In contrast, the proportion of grains dated as 1.5–2.0 Ga is much higher than seen during glacial times (41% versus 16%). Grains of this age range are occasionally found in the Greater Himalaya, but are abundant in the Lesser Himalaya, including those parts close to Nanga Parbat, as well as the Siwaliks (Fig. 4). Grains from the Greater Himalaya compose 42% of the load in the glacial sample and only slightly less (~38%) in the modern river. Because sedimentation rates increase greatly after 11.5 ka, even the Greater Himalaya must have eroded more rapidly during the early Holocene. This indicates that the locus of sediment eroded has shifted from north of the Indus suture (Kohistan-Karakoram) toward the southern Lesser Himalaya and to a limited extent the Greater Himalaya since 20 ka.

Figure 1. Shaded topographic map of Indus drainage basin, showing location boreholes in the delta, major tributaries of the modern river, and principal sediment source terrains (HK—Hindu Kush, K—Kohistan, NP—Nanga Parbat, ZA—Zanskar, LA—Ladakh, KK—Karakoram, LH—Lesser Himalaya, GH—Greater Himalaya, MFT—Main Frontal thrust, MBT—Main Boundary thrust, MCT—Main Central thrust; STD—South Tibet detachment).

Figure 2. Nd isotopic evolution of the sediments cored from the Indus delta and Indus Canyon (B) compared with (A) the Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP2) ice core climate record (Stuiver and Grootes, 2000), (C) the intensity of the southwest monsoon traced by speleothem records from Qunf and Timta Caves (Fleitmann et al., 2003; Sinha et al., 2005) in Oman and by pollen (Herzschuh, 2006) from across Asia (black line), and western Himalayan landslides (Boothen et al., 2005). Line in B shows 3-point moving average of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values. Depositional ages assume linear sedimentation between control points (those from Keti Bundar are shown by black arrows). See Table DR1 for age model and Table DR2 for Nd data (see footnote 1). VPDB—Vienna Peedee belemnite; LGM—Last Glacial Maximum.
The Nd data provide better age resolution and more robust sampling of the average provenance compared to the single grain data, assuming there is no grain size dependence to provenance, a supposition supported by our data (Table DR2). Evolution in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values indicates that much of the provenance evolution was completed by ca. 11 ka, at a time when the summer monsoon was strongly intensifying (Fleitmann et al., 2003; Herzschuh, 2006; Sinha et al., 2005). This pattern indicates that climate change had an immediate effect on orogenic erosion. Curiously there has been no corresponding shift in provenance since ca. 5 ka, as the monsoon weakened across Asia, although weakening appears to be less strong in southwest Asia (Fleitmann et al., 2003). Our data suggest that lag times in the transportation of the erosional signal to the delta are below the age resolution of the dating method used here, i.e., <1000 yr. If sediment buffering in river terraces and floodplains is occurring, then this does not represent a dominant part of the river sediment load (Metivier and Gaudemer, 1999). The change in Nd since 300 yr ago is most likely caused by damming, especially at Tarbela on the main trunk river. This dam had the effect of increasing the flux of Himalayan detritus delivered by the Jellum, Chenab, Ravi, and Sutlej Rivers relative to the sediment in the trunk river (Fig. 1). In contrast, natural damming and collapse on the Sutlej at 4 ka (Bookhagen et al., 2005) does not appear to have been volumetrically significant.

DISCUSSION

The Nd data provide better age resolution and more robust sampling of the average provenance compared to the single grain data, assuming there is no grain size dependence to provenance, a supposition supported by our data (Table DR2). Evolution in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values indicates that much of the provenance evolution was completed by ca. 11 ka, at a time when the summer monsoon was strongly intensifying (Fleitmann et al., 2003; Herzschuh, 2006; Sinha et al., 2005). This pattern indicates that climate change had an immediate effect on orogenic erosion. Curiously there has been no corresponding shift in provenance since ca. 5 ka, as the monsoon weakened across Asia, although weakening appears to be less strong in southwest Asia (Fleitmann et al., 2003). Our data suggest that lag times in the transportation of the erosional signal to the delta are below the age resolution of the dating method used here, i.e., <1000 yr. If sediment buffering in river terraces and floodplains is occurring, then this does not represent a dominant part of the river sediment load (Metivier and Gaudemer, 1999). The change in Nd since 300 yr ago is most likely caused by damming, especially at Tarbela on the main trunk river. This dam had the effect of increasing the flux of Himalayan detritus delivered by the Jellum, Chenab, Ravi, and Sutlej Rivers relative to the sediment in the trunk river (Fig. 1). In contrast, natural damming and collapse on the Sutlej at 4 ka (Bookhagen et al., 2005) does not appear to have been volumetrically significant.

The speed of the isotopic change and the temporal correlation with ice core $\delta^{18}O$ and monsoon strength suggest that the distribution of the erosion intensity is linked to climate changes. Furthermore, the matching peak in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ and monsoon strength at 8.7 ka, followed by a fall in both, suggests that it is precipitation rather than temperature that is the key control. Although $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ remains constant after 8 ka, when pan-Asian pollen records show decreasing monsoon strength (Herzschuh, 2006), speleothem records suggest that the Southwest Monsoon may have been more stable at that time (Fleitmann et al., 2003).

Drainage capture could also cause the provenance to change, but can be discounted here. The only abandoned channels recognized that might have previously contributed sediments to the river are on the edge of the Thar Desert (Ghose et al., 1979). These must represent flux from either the Indian craton or the Himalaya. Loss of drainage from either of these sources would have driven isotope change in the opposite direction to that reconstructed. Another possible alternative to explain the enhanced Holocene delta sedimentation would be as a response to glacial retreat after ca. 11.5 ka. However, the fact that provenance and rates of sedimentation change together at 11–14 ka eliminates the possibility that this sediment had previously been eroded and stored close to the sources or in the floodplain until monsoon intensification. Moreover, studies of Himalayan moraines indicate that the western Lesser Himalaya were unglaciated ca. 20 ka (Owen et al., 2002).

Regional climatic data indicate a simple explanation for the link between sediment provenance and monsoon intensification during the deglaciation into the early Holocene. Satellite Tropical Rainfall Mapping Mission (TRMM) mapping of annual precipitation in South Asia shows maxima over the Lesser Himalaya and at the foot of the Greater Himalaya.


Clift, P.D., and Blusztajn, J.S., 2005, Reorganization of the western Himalayan river system after ca. 11 ka (Prins and Postma, 2000), and that this route has yet to be reestablished. This observation means that there is no deep-water sediment record of changing Himalayan erosion on this millennial time scale.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We conclude that regional erosion rates in the western Himalaya are strongly controlled over millennial time scales both in volume and pattern by the intensity of the summer monsoon. Recent exhumation of the Lesser Himalaya is closely linked to summer monsoon intensity. The fact that the erosional pulse was rapidly communicated to the Indus delta demonstrates that sediment records in these settings provide detailed repositories of paleo-erosion records and are not significantly influenced by sediment storage in floodplains. The Indus River sediment load was not strongly buffered by this process (Metivier and Gaudemer, 1999). However, because Holocene sea-level rise stopped sediment flux to the fan after ca. 11 ka (Prins and Postma, 2000), the deep-sea sediment record will necessarily contain a time lag between the change in erosion pattern and the time of final redeposition, presumably during the successive period of sea-level fall.
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We note that surface sediments from the deep sea Indus Canyon have ε18O values that are inconsistent with an origin from the Indus River since ca. 11 ka. Instead, the canyon sediments match well with delta sediment dated 13 ka and older. This observation supports the suggestion that sea-level rise stopped sediment flux to the canyon and submarine fan ca. 11 ka (Prins and Postma, 2000), and that this route has yet to be reestablished. This observation means that there is no deep-water sediment record of changing Himalayan erosion on this millennial time scale.
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