Hot, buoyant fluids laden with dissolved minerals billow out of seafloor vents. The minerals precipitate when they hit cold seawater, creating chimneylike structures and dark particles that make the fluids look like smoke. The naturally occurring process can also forge large deposits of valuable metals that companies are seeking to mine.

The promise and peril of seafloor mining

Can minerals be extracted from the seafloor without environmental impacts?

A year ago, the Canadian mining company Nautilus Minerals was poised to launch a new industry: mining the deep ocean floor. All Nautilus had to do was finish building special equipment and arranging permits to work at a site it had leased off the shores of Papua New Guinea (PNG). Then it would commence grinding copper-rich rock on the seafloor into a slurry, vacuuming it up, and pumping it to a ship on the surface. The company would also recover precious metals such as gold and silver, as well as zinc and other commercially traded metals.

Nautilus' plans alarmed many scientists. The mining was targeted at hydrothermal vents, where chemical-rich fluids spewing from the seafloor spur the accumulation of not only metals, but also lush communities of curious organisms. Ever since deep-sea vents were first discovered in 1977, they have yielded a treasure trove of scientific clues about how our planet's surface formed, how the oceans' chemistry works, even how life may have started on Earth. What if a gold rush into these exotic ecosystems were to produce a subsea version of the environmental damage that mining has caused on land?

"It seemed like Nautilus was in a real fast race to get the first mine on the seafloor," said geophysicist Maurice Tivey of Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI). "A lot of people thought it was too early."

But then copper prices plummeted with the global economic slowdown, and Nautilus put many of its plans on hold. "I think a lot of us on the science side were actually relieved," Tivey said. But will the pause in the action make a difference?

In April 2009, a wide range of stakeholders from 20 countries pondered that and other issues at a conference on seabed mining convened by scientists at WHOI. Environmentalists, representatives from Nautilus and other major mining companies, international policymakers, and 98 scientists in a diverse range of fields gathered to share knowledge, seek common ground, and discuss seabed mining guidelines.

With scientists just beginning to understand the geological, chemical, and biological forces that conspire to create vents, mineral deposits, and vent life communities, the conference explored how the scientific community can best bring its knowledge to bear. How can scientists help locate and evaluate mineral-rich sites? How can they help protect the unusual oases of deep-sea life at vents?

Where the minerals are

The metals lie in what are called seafloor massive sulfide deposits. They form as a result of a series of chemical reactions that start when seawater filters down through permeable ocean crust into rocks heated by magma below. The heat catalyzes reactions that leach metals from the rocks into the fluids. The hot fluids—which can reach up to 750°F (400°C) under the pressure in the deep—rise buoyantly back to the seafloor. As they emerge from the crust, they encounter cold water, which causes metal sulfides to precipitate out of the fluids and form solid deposits near and on the seafloor.

The oceans' known sulfide deposits may be only a fraction of what's actually there. "There's a lot of real estate out there where no data have been collected," said WHOI geochemist Chris German.

Scientists think most deposits are on or near the mid-ocean ridge system, which runs 37,000 miles (60,000 kilometers) along the seafloor. There, Earth's tectonic plates are forming and actively spreading apart, creating volcanic mountains that blister across the oceans' floors in patterns roughly resembling the stitched seams on a baseball.

Minerals precipitating from fluids coming out of hydrothermal vents can create chimneylike structures jutting out of the seafloor. The fluids billow out of the growing chimneys in smoky-looking, dark or white plumes. These were first discovered on fast-spreading ridges where volcanic activity occurs relatively frequently. But it turns out that venting can take many forms and also occurs on slow- and even ultraslow-spreading ridges.

Scientists now think that slower-spreading ridges produce larger massive sulfide deposits. That's because in these places, huge ramp-like faults penetrate deep into the crust and act as conduits. They bring up a long-lasting supply of heated fluid from deep inside the Earth and deliver the minerals over tens of thousands of years to the same spot near the seafloor.

Many massive sulfide deposits mined on land may have formed in the deep sea and then raised or thrust above the water during the formation of islands and continents. The island of Cyprus, for example, holds 30 massive sulfide deposits, which were a main source of copper for ancient Rome.

Estimating the potential

So far, about 200 active vent fields have been found. Most would probably not be of much interest to the mining industry. "The vast majority of deposits are really small," said German. Some, however, are fairly large. One at a site called "TAG" on the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge is the size of a baseball stadium.

Hydrothermal vents also can occur in areas called back arc basins. They often form behind islands in subduction zones, where two tectonic plates are colliding, forcing one plate to slide deep into the Earth and form a deep-sea trench. For the mining industry, the western Pacific Ocean's many back arc basins are particularly attractive. These potential seafloor mining sites are near land and often fall within the 200-mile exclusive economic zones of island nations, putting them under local, rather than international, jurisdiction (see "Who regulates mining on the seafloor?," page 15).

We need to properly develop these deposits. By properly, I mean in an environmentally conservative manner, respectful of the life that exists at these sites.' —marine geologist Peter Rona

No one knows how many hydrothermal vent sites are in the oceans, but there is a best guess. Amazingly, when scientists who have studied different parts of the ridge system compared notes at the April WHOI conference, they realized they were all coming up with the same distance between known, large active vent sites: 100 kilometers. If those numbers hold true, about 600 districts spewing metal-rich fluids and potentially holding sulfide deposits may decorate the mid-ocean ridge. Nautilus Minerals estimated in a September 2009 corporate presentation that "thousands of underwater sulphide systems exist," and "if only half of underwater systems are geographically viable, seafloor production would represent several billion tons of copper per annum."

Meg Tivey, a geochemist at WHOI, said

scientists are examining fluids coming out of the seafloor—the end products of subsea chemical reactions—as clues to understand where and why different types of mineral deposits form. But many more deposits may have formed at vent sites that are no longer active. These sites, lacking billowing plumes, are much harder to find and haven't been studied much.

Exotic life at risk

Just as each vent site is different, so are the ecosystems they foster. What each has in common are conditions that would be incredibly hostile to most other life—utter darkness, intense ocean pressure, hot acidic fluids. Yet most host rich communities of life, including microbes that harness energy from chemicals, instead of from sunlight as plants do.

Scientists have observed that after undersea volcanic eruptions kill off animals around a vent, life can be quick to recolonize the habitats. "One argument that can be made—but we need to be careful about it—is that hydrothermal systems naturally are violent environments anyway, and therefore we can do what we like because the biological communities will always recover," said German.

Biologists who study the life around hydrothermal vents are still finding remarkable numbers of new species, and many are exquisitely adapted to different terrains and mixes of fluids. "There are many rare species that are difficult to count with statistical accuracy," said Duke University biologist Cindy Van Dover. If vent sites are mined, "we don't yet understand what will be lost," she said. Any strategies to mitigate damage at various vent sites or to restore them, she added, must take into account that animal life differs at each site.

Larvae of vent animals, moved by ocean currents, play an important role in establishing new colonies of life, and it seems the larvae of some vent species can travel very long distances. But "we're just starting to get a handle on dispersal distances of vent larvae, so we can't yet design ways to ensure protection of species," said WHOI biologist Lauren Mullineaux.

If larvae from snails arrive first at a site formerly inhabited by mussels, for example, they might create a thriving—but alterna-

tive-community, she said. Maybe disturbed vent sites are rapidly recolonized by "weed species adapted to handle great rates of disturbance," suggested ecologist Kim Juniper of the University of Victoria. The result could be the subsea equivalent of replacing an old-growth forest with a field of dandelions. On the other hand, animals similar to those that originally inhabited the vent site could eventually return. Too little research has been conducted to know for sure.

The argument for protecting species is the same as that on land—diversity is at the heart of functioning ecosystems; it helps life adapt to changing conditions; and it offers the potential for as-yet unknown insights into biochemistry and potential commercial biotech products.

An interest in inactive sites

The concern over the fate of life at hvdrothermal vents led many scientists at the April conference to a frequent refrain: Perhaps only inactive sites, where volcanism has "turned off," should be mined.

"We need to properly develop these deposits," said marine geologist Peter Rona of Rutgers University. "By properly, I mean in an environmentally conservative manner, respectful of the life that exists at these sites. I think there's general recognition we should go for the relict rather than the active sites."

"Personally, I don't think there should be a hard and fast rule about whether active or inactive sites should be mined," said Samantha Smith, environmental manager at Nautilus. "Each site needs to be assessed, ensuring that the benefits outweigh the impacts."

Just because a site is inactive doesn't mean it is lifeless. Indeed, inactive systems host animals, too, but they are different from those at active sites and perhaps still vulnerable to damage. But to date, few inactive sites have been studied.

"Maybe there will be a drive to finally find and examine inactive massive sulfide deposits," said Meg Tivey, who is interested in understanding the entire evolution of vent sites. "From a purely scientific view, we want to see what a vent site looks like once it's turned off," she said. "The other thing you want to see is how valuable it is. In the back of our minds is the question: Is this a

Mining minerals from the seafloor

A mining company called **Nautilus Minerals proposes** a system to extract minerals from the seafloor. The company says the system is engineered to prevent environmental impacts through the water column up to the surface.

5 On the ship, the ore and seawater are separated. The ore is barged to a port. The water is pumped back down via twin water lines alongside the riser pipe. The water drives the subsea slurry pump and is discharged immediately above the seafloor.

4 A subsea slurry pump pushes mined ore and water to the ship via a riser pipe.

• A seafloor mining tool, weighing about 250 tons in air, is lowered to the seafloor. It will extract ore with a cutter-suction head.

3 The mining tool is electrically powered and controlled remotely by a cable from a vessel at the surface.

2 On rugged terrain, the mining tool will "walk" along the seafloor by repeatedly planting four hydraulically powered spuds into the seafloor, raising itself clear of the seafloor, and then sliding itself forward. For less rugged areas, the tool will be fitted with tracks as on a tank.

How seafloor mineral deposits are formed

In hydrothermal circulation systems, cold seawater seeps into permeable ocean crust and reacts with subsurface rocks. The series of chemical reactions that occur over a range of temperatures ultimately results in concentrated, mineral-rich deposits forming on or near the seafloor. The amount and kinds of mineral deposits in various undersea locations depend on the interplay of many factors: the composition of rocks, the permeability of the crust, the temperature of the underlying heat source, and the presence or absence of volatile compounds from magma deep in the crust.

> • Oxygen in seawater reacts with rocks, forming iron oxides (similar to rust) and other minerals.

seawater percolates into hot hydrothermal streams beneath the surface, cooling them down and resulting in diffuse venting of lower-temperature fluids on the seafloor.

O Sometimes cold

~150°C

~60°C

The oxygen-depleted fluid penetrates down into hotter rocks, where higher temperatures trigger more reactions. Anhydrite (CaSO₄) and minerals containing magnesium and hydroxide (OH) precipitate out. Hydrogen (H⁺) ions are released, which makes the fluids more acidic.

~400°C

At higher temperatures deeper down, the oxygen-poor, more acidic fluids leach iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), other metals, and sulfide from the rocks into the fluids.

• Hot hydrothermal fluids are more buoyant than seawater and rise toward the surface, exiting the seafloor as focused streams.

4 Volatile compounds, such as carbon dioxide (CO_2) and methane (CH_4) , can be entrained into the fluid. In some places, volatile sulfur dioxide (SO_2) is entrained, making the fluids more acidic and leaching more metals from the rocks.

 Hot fluids mix with cold seawater, triggering precipitation of minerals that form "chimneys." Mineral particles in the venting fluids make them look like black smoke. Fluid plumes spread out much like smoke from smokestacks.

 Near the surface, cold, oxygen-rich, pH-neutral seawater percolates down through the crust and sometimes mixes with rising hot hydrothermal fluids. **This triggers** reactions that precipitate metal sulfides (FeS₂, ZnS, CuFeS₂) and anhydrite (CaSO₄) near the seafloor. Andhydrite can seal cracks and other openings in the crust, blocking some pathways and restricting the flow of fluids to fewer pathways.

potential source of minerals?"

With no plumes of hot fluids emanating from them, inactive sites are the most difficult to find and the least studied. "How do we find the inactive ones? That's the big question," said Maurice Tivey.

He and other scientists are investigating ways to use magnetometers on underwater vehicles to detect the magnetic effects of minerals; gravity-measuring devices to detect dense sulfide mineralization; and other devices to locate massive sulfide deposits—especially at hard-to-find sites that are inactive, or buried by lava or sediments, or in places where hot fluids ooze slowly up through a latticework of the crust, cooling enough on the way to drop their metals well below the seabed.

"What we're seeing coming up from black smoker vents appears to be only a fraction of the metals that are below or on the seafloor," said geologist Mark Hannington of the University of Ottawa. In the future, experimental methods like those proposed by Maurice Tivey could also help mining companies and others efficiently estimate deposits without drilling, which is routinely done on land but has been prohibitively expensive at sea, he said.

Input from the scientific community

In 2006, Maurice Tivey led a 32-day research cruise, funded by the National Science Foundation, to explore the geology and chemistry of several active hydrothermal vent sites in the Manus Basin in the Bismarck Sea, which encompasses Nautilus' first targeted site, called Solwara 1. Interested in learning as much as possible about the region, Nautilus funded an additional 10 days of research at sea and the costs of using WHOI's deep-sea robot, the Autonomous Benthic Explorer, for mapping.

Scientists may prefer that mining be done at inactive sites, but Nautilus Minerals and other mining companies are investigating deposits that have already been found (largely because they are active). Solwara 1 is a .043-square-mile (0.112-square-kilometer) area that is hydrothermally active in some parts and quiescent in others.

The good news is that the company seems to want to do the right thing, say scientists who were invited by Nautilus to review the environmental impact statement for its first mine. "We were impressed," said marine ecologist Kim Juniper. "They looked at just about everything that could possibly be considered."

He cited Nautilus' plans, adapted in consultation with marine scientists, to not mine areas with organisms similar to those at the the Solwara 1 site and to establish temporary refuge areas within the proposed mining area where animals can progressively return. The company has conducted smallscale experiments installing appropriate artificial substrates to encourage animals to settle and recolonize sites, as well as relocating animals out of the path of mining.

"It's certainly a model for the way things should be done," Juniper said. These strategies, however, remain untested for the most part. Van Dover said scientists probably would not be able to assess and prevent damage, "unless we monitor and can say, 'Stop, that's enough.'"

"The problem is cumulative impacts," she said. "Mining one site probably won't make much of a difference, but intensive and exhaustive mining of a region over a very short period of years could be disastrous to the ecosystem."

Weighing the pros and cons

Some argue that seabed mining offers an alternative that could be less environmentally destructive than land-based mining. The Solwara 1 deposit boasts copper deposits that are about 10 times more concentrated than a typical land-based copper mine, so less material needs to be extracted to achieve a similar production rate, Smith said.

In addition, the Solwara deposits are at the surface, so large amounts of material don't need to be removed to get to the ore, she said. Unlike land-based mining, seabed mining occurs where people do not live and requires little production infrastructure, all of which can be moved after mining is complete. And it offers "increased worker safety with the operations being conducted remotely and no one at the cutting face," Smith said.

Those arguments don't convince Sabine Christiansen of the World Wildlife Fund. "In [seafloor] mining, you take away the substrate; you add filtered water, and you never know how good that will be; you may raise clouds [of sediments]," she said. "There are a lot of open questions on acidification of the water, questions on bringing nutrients up with the water and disturbing the water column processes."

Nautilus shares these concerns, Smith said, and has engineered systems to prevent disturbances through the water column up to the surface. "Some sediment plumes [from mining activities] are expected to occur at the seafloor, but detailed modeling indicates these will not rise in the water column above 1,300 meters water depth," she said. "At the surface, the only impacts envisaged are the production support vessel and barges, which will only be present while the mining is taking place."

Nevertheless, after Nautilus representatives described its plans to people on Bagabag Island in Papua New Guinea two years ago, local villagers told marine biologist Rick Steiner of the University of Alaska Anchorage that they were not happy. Through an interpreter, the villagers asked Steiner if he would come help them attack the Nautilus ship.

"I said, 'I was thinking I'll help you review the Nautilus EIS [environmental impact statement], " he recalled.

Bagabag Island is 300 miles (500 kilometers) away from the Solwara 1 site, but Nautilus has exploration leases and lease applications for vast areas elsewhere in the Bismarck Sea, including near Bagabag Island and the main island of Papua New Guinea. In 2008, the newly formed Bismarck-Solomon Seas Indigenous Peoples Council met in the village of Karkum for a seabed mining forum and issued a statement that concluded, "... we do not consent to the sea bed mining activities in our waters and seas," and asked for all mining activities to cease until the council's concerns are satisfactorily addressed.

In a 26-page review Steiner prepared on behalf of the council, he wrote, "Clearly, the project is not sustainable, as it exploits a relatively finite mineral deposit, lasts only 30 months, contributes a relatively small amount of money to [Papua New Guinea], severely damages the benthic habitat for a rare deepsea sulfide mound ecosystem, and poses risk to other marine resources in the region."

Among his concerns is that boats, barges, and heavy equipment could accidentally pollute the local waters and shorelines.



At hydrothermal vents, chemical-rich fluids spewing from the seafloor spur the accumulation of not only metals, but also lush communities of exotic organisms such as these tubeworms. Scientists are concerned about the impacts that seafloor mining could have on deep-sea life.

That includes several shuttle barges a day, each holding thousands of tons of wet sulfide slurry, fuel, and other hazardous materials. Sulfides can rapidly oxidize once they're out of the low-oxygen deep sea. If they're wet, they can turn into sulfuric acid.

"We learned that the hard way," said Meg Tivey, who once put some wet sulfide rock samples from a hydrothermal vent in a refrigerator in sealed plastic bags. "We came back and there was a jumbled mass of oxidized junk in the bag," she said. "We completely neglected how much oxygen there was in the air, even wrapped in the plastic."

Next steps

Meanwhile, a working group from InterRidge, an international consortium of scientists working on mid-ocean ridge studies, used what it learned at the WHOI colloquium to suggest areas for future research. It presented its questions and recommendations to the International Seabed Authority, the independent treaty agency charged with managing seabed mining in international waters.

Many of the InterRidge questions are fundamental, reflecting just how young this scientific field is—from how long seafloor massive sulfides take to develop to how vent biological communities change over time. The recommendations include developing criteria for determining just how active or inactive a vent site is, working on ways to locate inactive deposits, and encouraging studies of organisms living at inactive sites.

In April, it looked as if the slowdown in Nautilus Minerals' plans might give scientists "a bit of breathing space for us to get our acts together," said benthic ecologist Ashley Rowden of New Zealand's National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research. "So we can see what we should know before we mine a hydrothermal vent."

Just how much breathing space is not clear. Copper prices are recovering, and in

September, the PNG minister for environment approved Nautilus' environmental impact statement for Solwara 1. PNG still must approve environmental permit applications before it grants the company a mining lease to commence operations. Nautilus also has exploration licenses and applications (see map on next page) to search for potential minable sites in more than 525,000 square kilometers (202,700 square miles) of seafloor off the shores of Papua New Guinea, the Solomon Islands, Tonga, Fiji, and New Zealand.

—Lisa W. Drew

The "Precious Metals from Deep-Sea Vents" workshop and colloquium were funded by the Elisabeth W. and Henry A. Morss, Jr., Colloquia Endowed Fund at WHOI, the ChEss (Chemosynthetic Ecosystem) project of the Census of Marine Life, InterRidge, Ridge 2000, and the WHOI Deep Ocean Exploration Institute.