

Quaternary Science Reviews 25 (2006) 3346-3358



# Recognition of non-Milankovitch sea-level highstands at 185 and 343 thousand years ago from U–Th dating of Bahamas sediment

Gideon M. Henderson\*, Laura F. Robinson, Katharine Cox, Alex L. Thomas

Department of Earth Sciences, Oxford University, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PR, UK

Accepted 2 March 2006

#### Abstract

Thirty-one new bulk-sediment U–Th dates are presented, together with an improved  $\delta^{18}$ O stratigraphy, for ODP Site 1008A on the slopes of the Bahamas Banks. These ages supplement and extend those from previous studies and provide constraints on the timing of sea-level highstands associated with marine isotope stages (MIS) 7 and 9. Ages are screened for reliability based on their initial U and Th isotope ratios, and on the aragonite fraction of the sediment. Twelve 'reliable' dates for MIS 7 suggest that its start is concordant with that predicted if climate is forced by northern-hemisphere summer insolation following the theory of Milankovitch. But U–Th and  $\delta^{18}$ O data indicate the presence of an additional highstand which post-dates the expected end of MIS 7 by up to 10 ka. This event is also seen in coral reconstructions of sea-level. It suggests that sea-level is not responding in any simple way to northern-hemisphere summer insolation, and that tuned chronologies which make such an assumption are in error by  $\approx 10$  ka at this time. U–Th dates for MIS 9 also suggest a potential mismatch between the actual timing of sea-level and that predicted by simple mid-latitude northern-hemisphere forcing. Four dates are earlier than that predicted for the start of MIS 9. Although the most extreme of these dates may not be reliable (based on the low-aragonite content of the sediment) the other three appear robust and suggest that full MIS 9 interglacial conditions were established at 343 ka. This is  $\approx 8$  ka prior to the date expected if this warm period were driven by northern-hemisphere summer insolation.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Absolute dating of Pleistocene sea-level changes

Sea-level represents a first-order feature of the surface earth environment with significant implications for global climate (Shackleton, 1987). It both responds to climate, through processes such as temperature, precipitation and seasonality, and influences it, through processes including ocean circulation and moisture supply (Lambeck et al., 2002). The global nature of sea-level change also makes it a powerful stratigraphic tool, allowing correlation between distant records if they contain an imprint of past sea-level.

For several decades, the assumption has been that sealevel during the Pleistocene is closely related to summer insolation at 65  $^{\circ}$ N, following the theory of Milankovitch, (1930). To test this assumption, and to assess the mechanisms driving climate change, requires development of a history of sea-level changes with an absolute

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gideonh@earth.ox.ac.uk (G.M. Henderson).

chronology independent of any climate model. Prior to about 40 ka, when the <sup>14</sup>C chronometer no longer provides information, such independent chronology comes exclusively from U/Th dating. Other indicators have the potential to tell us about the amplitude of change, including sedimentology (Murray-Wallace, 2002) and oxygen isotopes in the Red Sea (Siddall et al., 2003) but only material that can be reliably U/Th dated provides information about the timing of these changes.

Corals and cave carbonates (speleothems) have provided the best U/Th constraints on Pleistocene sea-level. Corals grow in seawater and so constrain the minimum sea-level. Some species are known to have growth restricted to the upper 5–10 m, thus providing a sea-level datum with some precision. Corals are dateable with U/Th techniques, but older samples become progressively prone to alteration, particularly when subject to sub-aerial exposure. The difficulty of finding pristine examples of appropriate coral species has limited the application of U/Th dating, but corals have, nevertheless, formed the major tool with which

<sup>0277-3791/\$-</sup>see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.03.003

Pleistocene sea-level has been established (e.g. Mesolella et al., 1969; Gallup et al., 1994; Bard et al., 1996; Stirling et al., 1998, 2001). The recent development of an alpharecoil model to correct for some diagenetic alteration somewhat alleviates this limitation (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005). But coral data can still be difficult to interpret because of the nature of reef development. Corals do not grow continuously upward, as sediment cores do, but respond in a complex way to changes in sea-level. Careful field work is required to put the corals in context, and to assure that they are found in situ, rather than above their formation location in a storm deposit, or below in a fall. Corals are also prone to dissolution and erosion. Powerful examples of this have been seen recently in the Pacific after El Niño warming bleached coral reefs. Huge volumes of these reefs subsequently eroded within only a few years (Eakin, 1996; Reake-Kudla et al., 1996). The corals left for us to date therefore represent a preservationally biased sample of those that have escaped erosion and dissolution. Corals provide powerful sea-level constraints, but they are not perfect.

Most forms of speleothem grow in air so their presence indicates that sea-level was below them when they formed. Investigating diagenesis of speleothem carbonate is more difficult than for corals because of lack of knowledge about the expected  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  value at the time of their formation. But speleothems frequently consist of dense calcite with large crystals and are expected to be diagenetically robust. The presence of dated speleothem therefore provides an upper bound on sea-level. Absence of speleothem, on the other hand, does not provide sea-level information because their formation can be prevented by other variables (e.g. moisture levels or  $CO_2$  degassing).

The application of both coral and speleothem records to constrain past sea-level also requires detailed knowledge of the uplift/subsidence history of the region in which the samples are found. This is especially important in tectonically active regions.

The  $\delta^{18}$ O record captured in deep-sea cores contains a significant sea-level signal. Chronology of this record could therefore provide information about sea-level change, particularly if other controls on  $\delta^{18}$ O (temperature and local salinity changes) could be deconvolved. Such a chronology would have the significant advantage that  $\delta^{18}$ O records in the deep ocean form continuously, without the sporadic formation and preservation seen for coral and speleothems. Sadly, techniques to date most marine cores with sufficient precision have not yet been established. Early attempts to use <sup>231</sup>Pa/<sup>230</sup>Th (Broecker and Van Donk, 1970) provided ages, but with uncertainties that were large and difficult to assess. More recent application of the <sup>230</sup>Th excess method (e.g. Francois et al., 2004) provides information about sediment accumulation rate, but cannot directly date past events. In general, it is this excess of <sup>230</sup>Th in marine sediments which prevents their dating with U/Th techniques. Sediments are, in effect, the opposite of corals and speleothems. The insoluble nature of Th means that, while carbonates precipitating from water have very low <sup>230</sup>Th, sediment underlying seawaters have high <sup>230</sup>Th. Variations in the amount of excess <sup>230</sup>Th with time prevent the precise use of this tool to assess past ages.

Carbonate platform settings, such as the Bahamas, provide a compromise between the advantages of corals and deep-sea cores. A large fraction of the sediment formed in these areas is carbonate, directly precipitated from seawater and therefore with very low initial Th concentrations. This sediment accumulates at least semi-continuously, providing more stratigraphy than either corals or speleothems. The first work on this material demonstrated that it had sufficiently low initial <sup>230</sup>Th, particularly during highstand periods, to allow dating of the bulk sediment from the last interglacial (Slowey et al., 1996). Further work explored the use of isochrons to date the material, and established some limits on the application of the technique to Bahamas sediments (Henderson and Slowey, 2000; Henderson et al., 2001). That work indicated that the penultimate deglaciation (Termination 2) occurred earlier than suggested by traditional Milankovitch models of climate change, thereby challenging our understanding of the mechanisms driving glacial-interglacial cycles. Recovery of longer cores during ODP Leg 166 allowed the first application of these dating techniques to earlier highstands with age constraints on Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 7 (Robinson et al., 2002). This paper continues that work, providing additional constraints on the timing of MIS 7 and new information about the timing of highstands associated with MIS 9.

### 2. Sedimentary setting and samples

Samples for this study were taken from ODP Leg 166, Site 1008A. This Site was drilled on the leeward (SW) slope of the Great Bahama Bank (GBB) at 23°36.64' N, 79°5.01'W in 437 m of water. Mineralogical data indicate clear glacial-interglacial cycles in the aragonite fraction of the sediment (Malone, 2000). These are caused by flooding of the GBB during interglacial periods providing a large area for growth of aragonite-precipitating organisms such as Halimeda algae. During glacial periods, the banks are exposed, aragonite formation rates decrease, and the sedimentation rate of cores also reduce dramatically. Sediment aragonite percentages during highstands are typically 70-80%, with the remainder consisting of high-Mg and low-Mg calcite (and typically <1% detrital material). At depths in the core greater than 50 m below sea floor (mbsf), corresponding to MIS 11, dolomite also starts to be seen (Malone, 2000) indicating the presence of diagenetic alteration of carbonate minerals at this depth.

Sedimentalogical changes associated with glacial-interglacial cycles are more extreme at this site than those seen on the leeward slopes of the Little Bahama Bank (LBB). Initial U/Th dating of Bahamas sediment was conducted on those LBB cores (Slowey et al., 1996; Henderson et al., 2001) which contain a smooth record of glacial to interglacial change. By contrast, Site 1008A demonstrates abrupt changes from glacials to interglacials, with glacial portions typically having sedimentation rates more than 10 times slower than those in the interglacials. This makes the GBB cores less appropriate for the dating of glacial periods or intermediate climate states. Sedimentation rates during interglacial periods, however, can be extremely rapid (up to 60 cm/ka) so these GBB cores are well suited to assessing the duration and timing of sea-level highstands.

Foraminiferal oxygen isotope records recovered from ODP Leg 166 sediments show glacial–interglacial amplitudes of up to 2.5‰ (e.g. Robinson et al., 2002). This amplitude of change reflects the effects of sea-level, temperature, and the diagenesis of glacial-age sediment. Sea-level change causes a global change of  $\approx 1\%$  in  $\delta^{18}$ O (Schrag et al., 2002). Glacial-interglacial temperature changes in the Caribbean are thought to be  $\approx 2.5$  °C (Schmidt et al., 2004), and therefore could be responsible for an additional 0.6‰ of the observed  $\delta^{18}$ O change.

The timing of this temperature change in the Caribbean relative to sea-level change is not completely clear, but probably does not differ by more than a couple of thousand years. Early work as part of the CLIMAP project (CLIMAP, 1984) suggested that temperature lagged sea-level in the North Atlantic, and led it in the South, with the Caribbean at the hinge and not showing a significant phase difference. More recent Mg/Ca work (Schmidt et al., 2004) has again indicated a slight temperature lead for Terminations 1 and 2, 10° south of the Bahamas in the Columbian basin. Paleothermometry on the Bahamas cores themselves is confounded by the presence of calcite overgrowths on foraminifera leading to high Mg/Ca during glacial periods (Rosenthal et al., 1997), and by very low alkenone concentrations. In the absence of such direct assessment, we assume that changes in sealevel and temperature at this site are within 2 ka of one another.

The remainder of the  $\delta^{18}$ O signal ( $\approx 0.9\%$ ) is caused by addition of isotopically heavy oxygen to glacial sediments by seafloor diagenesis (Malone et al., 2001). This process is directly related to the rate of sedimentation, and therefore to sea-level and climate. When sea-level is high and the climate warm, greater areas of the Bahamas banks are exposed and aragonite productivity is high, leading to high sedimentation rates and minimal cementation. When sealevel is low, particularly during glacial conditions, sedimentation rates fall and cementation occurs leading to a higher  $\delta^{18}$ O value. In summary, although control of  $\delta^{18}$ O in these GBB sediments is complex, the major changes are synchronous with sea-level change.

Existing *G. sacculifer* and bulk-carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O stratigraphies for Hole 1008A (Robinson et al., 2002) were augmented by 28 new measurements on *G. sacculifer* (300–355 µm fraction) to increase resolution, particularly during the MIS 9 interval. For U/Th chronology, a total of 31 bulk sediment samples were selected spanning MIS 7 and MIS 9, with emphasis on the latter. These are in addition to 22 previously dated samples from 1008A which focused on the MIS 7 interval (Robinson et al., 2002).

#### 3. Analytical techniques

Bulk sediment samples ( $\approx 1$  g) were processed following techniques of Henderson et al. (2001) and analysed by multi-collector ICP mass spectrometry following Robinson et al. (2002). Briefly, samples were dissolved in 7.5 N HNO<sub>3</sub>, U and Th separated using a single anion-exchange column. Typical blanks for this procedure were  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  g<sup>238</sup>U, and  $3 \times 10^{-11}$  g<sup>232</sup>Th and are small compared to sample U and Th masses.

U and Th isotopes were analysed on a Nu Instrument MC-ICP-MS using a standard-bracketing technique against the international U standard, CRM-145, and an in-house Th standard (ABC-2). In each case, small beams ( $^{234}$ U,  $^{230}$ Th,  $^{229}$ Th) were analysed in an ion-counter, while other beams ( $^{238}$ U,  $^{235}$ U,  $^{236}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th) were analysed synchronously in Faraday collectors. This analytical technique yields precision in the critical  $^{230}$ Th/ $^{229}$ Th isotope ratio typically better than 1‰, and final  $^{230}$ Th concentrations (incorporating weighing errors and uncertainty in the spike concentration) of  $\approx 2\%$ .

Calculated ages are corrected for the presence of initial <sup>230</sup>Th using the measured <sup>232</sup>Th concentrations. An initial <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>230</sup>Th atom ratio of 20,000 is assumed (equivalent to a <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>232</sup>Th activity ratio of 9.3). The initial Th is therefore assumed to be richer in <sup>230</sup>Th than typical crustal Th by approximately a factor of 10. This reflects the fact that most of the initial <sup>230</sup>Th in these sediments is derived from seawater, which gains <sup>230</sup>Th from decay of U. This leads to a <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>230</sup>Th atom ratio of seawater in the Bahamas at ≈ 400 m water depth of ≈ 15,000 (Robinson et al., 2004). An uncertainty to the correction for initial <sup>230</sup>Th of ± 50% is assumed and combined with analytical error to give the final uncertainty on the corrected ages.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Stratigraphy

Interglacial portions of the  $\delta^{18}$ O record are significantly expanded relative to glacial portions (Fig. 1). Interglacial portions are characterized by *G. sacculifer* values of  $\approx -1\%$ , and bulk sediment values of  $\approx 0\%$ , while glacial portions are  $\approx 2\%$  heavier. The upper 12 m of the record is at relatively low resolution but clearly shows the Holocene (0–6 mbsf) and MIS 5 (7–10 mbsf).

MIS 7 falls in the interval 12 to 20 mbsf where the  $\delta^{18}$ O curve features four pronounced lows in both the *G. sacculifer* and bulk carbonate records (seen as highs in the inverted scale of Figs. 1 and 2). The shallowest of these ( $\approx 12.5$  mbsf) features less extreme  $\delta^{18}$ O values (particularly in the bulk carbonate record) and is probably equivalent to MIS 6.5 (Martinson et al., 1987). The other three lows have approximately equal  $\delta^{18}$ O, at values typical



Fig. 1.  $\delta^{18}$ O and aragonite records for ODP Hole 1008A with bulk sediment U/Th ages. The upper curve (blue) is  $\delta^{18}$ O for *G. sacculifer* (300-355µm fraction); the middle curve (green) is  $\delta^{18}$ O for bulk carbonate; and the lower curve (orange) is aragonite percentage (from Malone, 2000). U/Th ages are corrected ages shown in bold in Table 1, together with reliable ages from Robinson et al. (2002). Two of these ages are plotted in grey and are clearly out of sequence. These are discussed in the text, and not considered as part of the age model for this core. Symbol size for the U/Th ages approximates the final  $2\sigma$  uncertainty (Table 1). Vertical grey bands define the low  $\delta^{18}$ O values corresponding to MIS 9 and MIS 7.

of full interglacial conditions for this site. These are assumed to represent the highstand associated with MIS 7 which therefore covers the depth interval 12.8–20.0 mbsf. Termination 3, at the start of MIS 7, is difficult to identify on the basis of the *G. sacculifer* record alone, but the first change to low foraminiferal  $\delta^{18}$ O values corresponds to the clear change in bulk sediment values which makes selection of this event at 20.0 m a reasonable choice (Fig. 2).

A similar pattern is seen for the MIS 9 section of the core. Low  $\delta^{18}$ O values from 21–23 mbsf (seen only in the *G. sacculifer* record) are probably equivalent to MIS 8.5

(Martinson et al., 1987). Low  $\delta^{18}$ O values seen in both records from 22.6–27.2 mbsf are assumed to represent the highstand corresponding to MIS 9. And Termination 4 is defined by an abrupt change in both the *G. sacculifer* and bulk-sediment records at  $\approx 27.2$  mbsf.

## 4.2. Chronology

Compared to average continental crust, analysed samples have high U concentrations (4.1–14.3 ppm) and low Th concentrations (0.06–0.28 ppm) (Table 1). They all exhibit



Fig. 2. A blow-up of the MIS 7 section of Fig. 1. Bulk-sediment U/Th ages are shown with their  $2\sigma$  uncertainties. Note the general increase of age with depth, but the presence of two age reversals. The upper curve (blue) is  $\delta^{18}$ O for *G. sacculifer* (300–355µm fraction) and the lower curve (green) is  $\delta^{18}$ O for bulk carbonate. Marine isotope substages are selected based on these curves and shown by labelled vertical grey bands. Note the presence of an additional highstand, labelled as "??".

significant <sup>230</sup>Th–<sup>234</sup>U–<sup>238</sup>U disequilibrium with calculated raw ages (i.e. not corrected for initial <sup>230</sup>Th) ranging from 147 to 406 ka (Table 1). Corrections for initial <sup>230</sup>Th range from 1 to 25 ka, with a median value of 4 ka, reflecting the generally low Th concentrations of these samples. Five samples have age corrections >10 ka (corresponding to <sup>232</sup>Th concentrations of >200 ppb). Ages for these samples are not useful to constrain the timing of climate change due to the large correction and are discarded. This follows the approach used in previous dating studies of Bahamas sediments (Henderson et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2002).

 $\delta^{234}$ U(T) (calculated with corrected ages) range from 105 to 209, with an average identical to the modern seawater value (146; Robinson et al., 2004). This average value suggests overall closure of the sediment mass to exchange of U with other reservoirs, but the range of values observed indicates the presence of some movement of U within the sediment. Samples with values outside the range 135–155 are assumed to have suffered sufficient alteration to their U/Th system that resulting ages are unreliable. This range

is identical to that used in Robinson et al. (2002) and allows for uncertainty in the seawater value of  $\delta^{234}$ U through time (Henderson, 2002). No attempt to correct ages for recoil mobility of nuclides using measured  $\delta^{234}$ U has been conducted (e.g. Thompson et al., 2003). Bulk sediment  $\delta^{234}$ U(T) which differ significantly from seawater indicate transport of <sup>234</sup>U over sufficient distances that insoluble <sup>230</sup>Th is unlikely to accompany it, so such opensystem age models are unlikely to be robust in this setting.

Based on their <sup>232</sup>Th concentrations and  $\delta^{234}$ U(T) values, 11 of the 31 ages are assumed to provide reliable ages. These range from 190 to 363 ka and are illustrated, together with 12 reliable ages from Robinson et al. (2002), in Fig. 1. Two of these ages are significantly out of age sequence and cannot be correct (22.30 and 28.49 mbsf). These two samples are from sections of the core with the lowest measured aragonite content of any dated samples ( $\approx 50\%$  and  $\approx 40\%$ , respectively) (Malone, 2000). Aragonite is the mineral in the sediment with a high U/Th ratio which provides most of the age information

Table 1 U and Th concentrations and U–Th ages for bulk carbonate samples from ODP hole 1008A

Depth	Core	Sect	Top (cm)	<sup>238</sup> U (ppm)	<sup>232</sup> Th (ppb)	<sup>230</sup> Th (ppt)	$\delta^{234}$ U(0)	$(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})$	Raw age	Corr. age	$\delta^{234}$ U(T)
(mbsf)							<b>%</b> 0		(ka)	(ka)	<b>%</b> 0
12.30	2	4	120	$7.453 \pm 0.006$	$272.3 \pm 0.8$	$100.2 \pm 0.3$	$92 \pm 1$	$0.821 \pm 0.003$	$147 \pm 1$	$137 \pm 5$	$136 \pm 2$
12.56	2	4	146	$4.981 \pm 0.004$	$280.3 \pm 0.8$	$70.1 \pm 0.2$	$100 \pm 1$	$0.860 \pm 0.003$	$159 \pm 1$	$144 \pm 7$	151±3
12.75	2	5	15	$7.171 \pm 0.006$	$206.9 \pm 0.6$	$116.4 \pm 0.4$	$88 \pm 1$	$0.992 \pm 0.003$	$242 \pm 3$	$235 \pm 5$	$171 \pm 2$
13.80	2	5	120	$8.192 \pm 0.007$	$77.1 \pm 0.2$	$122.2 \pm 0.4$	$80 \pm 1$	$0.912 \pm 0.003$	$193 \pm 2$	$190\pm2$	$138 \pm 2$
18.84	3	2	124	$5.464 \pm 0.005$	$73.4 \pm 0.2$	$87.8 \pm 0.3$	$74 \pm 1$	$0.982 \pm 0.003$	$248 \pm 3$	$244 \pm 3$	$149 \pm 1$
20.07	3	3	97	$3.499 \pm 0.003$	$222.9 \pm 0.7$	$59.3 \pm 0.2$	$63 \pm 2$	$1.035 \pm 0.003$	$337 \pm 8$	$320 \pm 8$	163 ± 1
20.98	3	4	38	$8.584 \pm 0.010$	$166.9 \pm 0.5$	$127.7 \pm 0.2$	$65 \pm 4$	$0.909 \pm 0.002$	$201\pm3$	$196 \pm 4$	$112 \pm 6$
21.61	3	4	101	$14.316 \pm 0.017$	$181.9 \pm 0.5$	$195.0 \pm 0.3$	$88\pm4$	$0.832 \pm 0.002$	$152 \pm 1$	$149 \pm 2$	$134 \pm 6$
22.30	3	5	20	$7.243 \pm 0.006$	$160.9 \pm 0.5$	$113.3 \pm 0.4$	$75 \pm 1$	$0.956 \pm 0.003$	$224 \pm 2$	$218\pm4$	$140 \pm 2$
22.48	3	5	38	$7.042 \pm 0.006$	$142.3 \pm 0.4$	$120.8 \pm 0.4$	$68 \pm 1$	$1.048 \pm 0.003$	$352 \pm 9$	$346 \pm 9$	183±1
22.63	3	5	53	$6.144 \pm 0.005$	$164.2 \pm 0.5$	$102.6 \pm 0.3$	$68 \pm 1$	$1.020 \pm 0.003$	$300\pm5$	$293 \pm 6$	157± <b>2</b>
22.63	3	5	54	$6.254 \pm 0.008$	$158.2 \pm 0.2$	$106.5 \pm 0.1$	$70 \pm 1$	$1.041 \pm 0.001$	$330\pm4$	$325 \pm 5$	$175 \pm 3$
23.27	3	5	117	$6.954 \pm 0.008$	$123.3 \pm 0.2$	$121.3 \pm 0.2$	$67 \pm 1$	$1.066 \pm 0.002$	$406 \pm 9$	$403 \pm 9$	$209 \pm 2$
23.63	3	6	3	$7.173 \pm 0.009$	$111.3 \pm 0.2$	$124.5 \pm 0.1$	$64 \pm 1$	$1.060 \pm 0.001$	$402\pm8$	$400 \pm 8$	$197\pm4$
23.98	3	6	38	$6.888 \pm 0.006$	$66.3 \pm 0.2$	$111.1 \pm 0.3$	$60 \pm 1$	$0.986 \pm 0.003$	$268 \pm 4$	$265 \pm 4$	$128 \pm 1$
23.98	3	6	38	$7.632 \pm 0.009$	$69.9 \pm 0.1$	$127.5 \pm 0.1$	$57 \pm 1$	$1.021 \pm 0.001$	$324\pm4$	$322\pm4$	$142 \pm 3$
24.24	3	6	64	$9.364 \pm 0.011$	$75.8 \pm 0.1$	$153.2 \pm 0.2$	$51 \pm 1$	$0.999 \pm 0.002$	$300\pm3$	$299 \pm 3$	119±1
24.49	3	6	89	$8.544 \pm 0.007$	$172.0 \pm 0.5$	$133.3 \pm 0.4$	$54\pm1$	$0.953 \pm 0.003$	$242\pm3$	$236 \pm 5$	$105 \pm 1$
24.49	3	6	89	$8.253 \pm 0.010$	$67.7 \pm 0.1$	$137.2 \pm 0.1$	$55 \pm 1$	$1.016 \pm 0.001$	$319\pm4$	$317\pm4$	135± <b>3</b>
24.98	3	6	138	$7.382 \pm 0.009$	$75.1 \pm 0.1$	$124.4 \pm 0.2$	$66 \pm 1$	$1.029 \pm 0.002$	$319\pm4$	$318 \pm 4$	$161 \pm 2$
25.48	3	7	38	$8.238 \pm 0.010$	$72.1 \pm 0.1$	$138.2 \pm 0.2$	$56 \pm 1$	$1.025 \pm 0.002$	$335 \pm 4$	$333\pm5$	$144 \pm 2$
25.90	3	7	80	$7.531 \pm 0.006$	$73.2 \pm 0.2$	$126.0 \pm 0.4$	$51 \pm 1$	$1.022 \pm 0.003$	$343\pm8$	$340\pm8$	135± <b>2</b>
25.90	3	7	80	$7.457 \pm 0.009$	$75.2 \pm 0.1$	$125.1 \pm 0.2$	$51\pm1$	$1.025 \pm 0.002$	$348\pm5$	$346\pm5$	137± <b>2</b>
25.90	3	7	80	$7.261 \pm 0.009$	$76.9 \pm 0.1$	$122.0 \pm 0.1$	$53\pm1$	$1.026 \pm 0.001$	$345\pm5$	$344\pm5$	$140 \pm 3$
26.13	4	1	3	$5.543 \pm 0.007$	$101.3 \pm 0.1$	$93.9 \pm 0.1$	$54\pm1$	$1.036 \pm 0.002$	$366 \pm 6$	$363\pm7$	$149 \pm 2$
26.32	4	1	22	$5.230 \pm 0.006$	$106.0\pm0.1$	$89.0 \pm 0.1$	$59 \pm 1$	$1.040 \pm 0.002$	$360\pm 6$	$355 \pm 6$	$160 \pm 2$
26.48	4	1	38	$5.896 \pm 0.007$	$117.4 \pm 0.3$	$98.3 \pm 0.2$	$46\pm4$	$1.019 \pm 0.002$	$350 \pm 12$	$346 \pm 12$	$122 \pm 10$
27.15	4	1	105	$4.121 \pm 0.005$	$447.3 \pm 1.3$	$71.4 \pm 0.1$	$58\pm4$	$1.059 \pm 0.002$	$428\pm23$	$403 \pm 27$	181 ± 12
27.76	4	2	16	$4.480 \pm 0.005$	$388.7 \pm 1.1$	$73.0\pm0.1$	$49\pm4$	$0.995 \pm 0.002$	$298 \pm 7$	$276\pm14$	$106\pm 8$
28.49	4	2	89	$8.114 \pm 0.007$	$121.9 \pm 0.4$	$130.6 \pm 0.4$	$69 \pm 1$	$0.983 \pm 0.003$	$254\pm3$	$249\pm4$	$141 \pm 2$
29.13	4	3	3	$7.994 \pm 0.010$	$144.6\pm0.4$	$128.6\pm0.2$	$61\pm4$	$0.983 \pm 0.002$	$263\pm 5$	$259\pm 6$	$128\pm 8$

Errors are  $2\sigma$ . Corr. age is the age corrected for initial <sup>230</sup>Th assuming an initial <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>230</sup>Th atom ratio of 20,000. Ages marked in bold are considered reliable based on their  $\delta^{234}$ U(T) and <sup>232</sup>Th concentrations, those in italics are not considered reliable.

(Henderson et al., 2001). It appears that when the fraction of such aragonite in the core is as low as 50%, accurate age information cannot be derived from bulk sediments. These ages are not considered further, and care must be taken in interpreting other ages where the aragonite content is low. The remaining ages define the timing of the MIS 7 and MIS 9 portions of the  $\delta^{18}$ O record from Site 1008A.

#### 5. Discussion

Most models to explain glacial–interglacial sea-level cycles assume that they are linked to changes in summer insolation at mid-latitudes in the Northern hemisphere. This assumption, following Milankovitch (1930), is inherent to tuned timescales of climate change, including the widely used SPECMAP timescale (Imbrie et al., 1984; Martinson et al., 1987). Several of these tuned climate records are shown in Fig. 3, including a new tuned benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). Direct U–Th chronology for sea-level changes in this study, and from corals (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005), can be compared

to these tuned timescales to test the assumption that glacial-interglacial cycles are linked to mid-latitude summer insolation. We make such comparison here, but first consider the quality of the age information derived from Hole 1008A.

#### 5.1. Age reversals and the quality of the U/Th data

Ages are expected to increase down-core. In general, ages that pass the isotopic rejection criteria follow this pattern (Fig. 1). There are, however, several age reversals, particularly within MIS 7 (Fig. 2). These age reversals must be caused either by incomplete closure of the U–Th system, or by stratigraphic disturbance.

The failure of U–Th chronology in those samples that do not pass the rejection criteria indicates the possibility for disturbance of the U–Th system in these Bahamas sediments.  $\delta^{234}U(T)$  values close to seawater are, however, not easy to explain if samples have been disturbed. Movement of U within the core is expected to perturb both the measured  $\delta^{234}U$  and the age, both of which



Fig. 3. A comparison of age constraints for the timing of MIS 9 and MIS 7 from Bahamas sediments with previous sea-level reconstructions and some other relevant data. The vertical grey bands represent the timing for MIS 9 and MIS 7 based on U/Th dating of bulk Bahamas sediment (see other figures). These are compared with, from the top: 65°N insolation; open-system coral ages from Barbados (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005); Vostok CO<sub>2</sub> (Petit et al., 1999); sea-level curve based on Red-Sea  $\delta^{18}$ O (Siddall et al., 2003); a sea-level curve based on correcting  $\delta^{18}$ O for temperature using Mg/Ca (Lea et al., 2000); a sea-level curve based on comparison of Vostok and ocean  $\delta^{18}$ O records (Shackleton, 2000); and a stack of 57 benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O records (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). Note that, while the grey bands and the upper two records are plotted on an absolute timescale. Other records are plotted on their published timescales which are based on some form of tuning. In the case of the Vostok CO<sub>2</sub> record, this tuning is to only two age control points. Other records are tuned to some form of mid-latitude northern-hemisphere summer insolation curve. Arrow "a" marks the shoulder at the end of MIS 7, and "b" the extra peak in the CO<sub>2</sub> curve, as discussed in the text.

The stratigraphy in this off-shelf setting is not perfect. Sediment transport occurs rapidly from the Bahamas banks to the slopes at present and is driven by tidal processes. Storage of sediment on the banks, or higher up the slopes, cannot be ruled out, however, and these sediments might be transported down-slope to place older sediment above newer in slope cores. Such down-slope transport would obviously perturb the stratigraphy. It would not, however, alter the geochemistry so that  $\delta^{18}O$  and U/Th ages would remain intact and coupled together. U/Th ages on sediment with a  $\delta^{18}O$  lower than zero therefore still imply highstand conditions at that time even in the presence of stratigraphic disturbance.

Overall, the presence of age-reversals does point to an imperfect system, either geochemical or stratigraphic, but this record is the best independently dated marine stratigraphy available. It is interesting to contrast these Bahamas ages with those from coral terraces. Dating of corals is generally performed on individual samples distributed laterally so that no relative stratigraphic information is provided. Coral ages are therefore not normally subject to the stratigraphic test that these Bahamas sediments have undergone. It is also notable that, of the many MIS7 corals that have been dated, only  $\approx 5$  give ages with a  $\delta^{234}$ U(T) close to modern seawater (Robinson et al., 2004). This contrast with the 12 ages with good  $\delta^{234}U(T)$  that are presented here on Bahamas sediments. Although the Bahamas sediments are not perfect, the fact that they come with some stratigraphic control, and have experienced submarine preservation, do give them certain advantages over subaerially exposed corals. Corals, of course, have their own advantages, such as their provision of a more direct assessment of sea-level. These two archives therefore provide complementary information in the quest to define a radiometric chronology for Pleistocene sea-level.

# 5.2. An additional sea-level highstand post-dating MIS 7

The early portions of MIS 7 have been discussed previously (Robinson et al., 2002) and new ages reported here do not change the interpretation. The start of MIS 7 at Site 1008A agrees well with that expected from records tuned to  $65^{\circ}$ N summer insolation. The coral record also agrees with SPECMAP (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005) and suggests that Termination 3 occurred at  $\approx 245$  ka (Fig. 4).

The more interesting feature occurs at the end of MIS 7. Sediment with low  $\delta^{18}$ O (in both *G. sacculifer* and bulk records) extends from 18 to 14 mbsf (Figs. 1 and 2). Based on typical highstand sedimentation rates for this core, and on the duration of this interval from U/Th ages, this is very likely to represent MIS 7.3 to MIS 7.1. A new U/Th age of

190 ka from the  $\delta^{18}$ O peak immediately following this interval (13.80m; Table 1) supports this interpretation and is in agreement with previous U/Th chronology for this period based on both corals (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005) and speleothems (Bard et al., 2002). Together, these archives provide convincing evidence that MIS 7.1 ends at 190 ka, again in good agreement with SPECMAP. Immediately above this MIS 7.1 section at Site 1008A, however, lies an additional  $\delta^{18}$ O low (13.7–13.0 mbsf). A date from this low  $\delta^{18}$ O interval, and two ages from slightly greater depth, give ages that are significantly younger than 190 ka. An age reversal at this depth (Fig. 2) make interpretation somewhat uncertain, but these ages suggest the presence of highstand conditions lasting some 10 ka after the expected SPECMAP age for the end of MIS 7.

A similar sea-level event was identified in the coral record of Thompson and Goldstein (2005) which shows a discrete sea-level highstand from  $\approx 187$  to 180 ka (Fig. 4). The chronology of both the Site 1008A and coral records are based on few U/Th ages, but the close agreement in the duration and age of this sea-level event in two completely independent records suggests that there is a sea-level highstand which post-dates the normally recognized end of MIS 7. This event is not MIS 6.5, which is clearly seen as an additional feature in both the Bahamas sediment and Barbados coral records (Fig. 4).

Some constraints can be placed on the duration and amplitude of this sea-level event. Typical highstand sedimentation rates for the Site 1008A location would suggest a duration of 5–10 ka, and the coral record suggests a duration of  $\approx 6$  ka. The duration is therefore long compared to typical millennial climate events as seen, for instance, in the Greenland ice cores during the last glacial (Grootes et al., 1993) and is closer to the duration of highstands associated with MIS 5.5 or MIS 5.3. In the Barbados coral record, sea-level reaches -13 m during this event, but this is in conflict with speleothems from Italy which continue to grow during this period at an altitude of  $-18 \,\mathrm{m}$ . This suggests that the tectonic reconstruction at either Barbados and/or Italy is not completely accurate, perhaps due to glacio-eustatic effects (e.g. Potter and Lambeck 2003) but overall suggests that sea-level must have been close to  $-15 \,\mathrm{m}$  during this period.

The presence of a sea-level highstand at  $\approx 180$  ka represents a challenge to the idea that Pleistocene climate is driven by summer insolation at 65°N. Sea-level is increasing (and therefore ice is melting) when 65°N summer insolation is at one of its lowest points of the last 400 ka. The presence of sub-orbital oscillations of sea-level has been recognized before (Esat et al., 1999; Thompson and Goldstein, 2005). But this highstand, occurring in the period normally thought of as a portion of the MIS 6 glacial, joins Termination 2 (Henderson and Slowey, 2000; Gallup et al., 2002) in providing a challenge to orbital models of climate change, and in providing clues about the mechanisms for Pleistocene climate change.



Fig. 4. All available absolute constraints on sea-level during MIS 7. Blue lines show intervals of speleothem growth at the Bahamas (Smart and Richards, 1992) and Italy (Bard et al., 2002) and are an upper bound for sea-level (assuming that there are no unrecognized hiatuses in the record). The red line is a reconstruction of sea-level based on open-system coral ages from Barbados which are shown as red points (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005). All coral data has been shifted -7 m compared to the published record. This brings coral and speleothem sea-level into closer agreement and reflects probable small errors in the reconstruction of tectonic movement at the various sites. The new Bahamas  $\delta^{18}$ O data are plotted below these literature data in blue (*G. sacculifer*) and green (bulk sediment). They are placed on an age scale assuming a constant sedimentation rate between Termination 3 (at 243 ka) and the end of MIS 7 (at 180 ka). Ages for these tie points are based on bulk sediment ages as shown in Fig. 2 and discussed in the text. The lowermost curve shows the SPECMAP curve for comparison (Imbrie et al., 1984). Note the presence of an additional highstand in both the coral and Bahamas data—highlighted by the vertical grey band.

# 5.3. Implications of the additional highstand for orbital tuning of $\delta^{18}O$ records

The additional highstand at 185 ka is not seen in orbitally tuned  $\delta^{18}$ O records (Imbrie et al., 1984; Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) despite the significant sea-level component expected in these records. The most recent tuned  $\delta^{18}$ O stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) collects more than 50

benthic records from around the globe and stacks them to provide a combined record of sea-level and deep-ocean temperature for the last 5.3 Myr (Fig. 3, final curve). This is the most comprehensive benthic stack yet published, and indicates  $\delta^{18}$ O of 4.4‰ at  $\approx 185$  ka, 1.2‰ heavier than the modern value in the same stack, and therefore requiring sea-level significantly lower than today at 185 ka. There are only two possible explanations for the high  $\delta^{18}$ O values at 185 ka in this and other tuned records. Either deep-ocean temperatures were very cold at this time, thus cancelling the  $\delta^{18}$ O signal from high sea-level, or the timescales of these tuned records are incorrect.

It is very unlikely that deep-ocean temperatures can be cold enough to cancel the  $\delta^{18}O$  signal from a -15m highstand at  $\approx 185$  ka. The Holocene to Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) change in  $\delta^{18}$ O is 1.8‰ in the Lisieki and Raymo stack. This is thought to represent 1% (Schrag et al., 2002) due to a sea-level change of 125m, plus about 3 °C of deep-ocean cooling at the LGM. This cooling takes bottom waters throughout the ocean to very close to their freezing point (Adkins et al., 2002). By comparison,  $\delta^{18}$ O at  $\approx 185$  ka is 1.2% heavier than modern values. If sea-level is -15 m at this time (as constrained by the coral, speleothem, and Bahamas records) only  $\approx 0.1\%$ of this difference is explained by sea-level. The remaining 1.1% requires deep-ocean temperatures to be more than 4°C colder than today, and therefore colder than during the close-to-freezing LGM. Deep-ocean cooling therefore cannot explain the mismatch between tuned benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O stacks and the sea-level record. This conclusion is reinforced by the more qualitative but intuitive argument that it is difficult to imagine ice-sheets shrinking to cause a sea-level highstand at a time of extreme cold.

It therefore appears that the timescale of tuned records is incorrect at the end of MIS 7. The large change in  $\delta^{18}$ O, normally tuned to the decrease in northern-hemisphere summer insolation at 190 ka, actually occurs at  $\approx$  180 ka. Tuned records are therefore in error by about 10 ka at this point. This is significantly larger than the normally quoted errors on such timescales (e.g. 5 ka, Martinson et al., 1987) and reflects a failure of the basic assumption on which such tuning relies. Having accepted that the tuned timescale is not robust, benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O records do, in fact, contain evidence for this end-MIS 7 highstand. In the Lisieki and Raymo stack, for instance, there is a pronounced shoulder at the end of MIS 7 (arrow "a", Fig. 2), with  $\delta^{18}$ O values only  $\approx 0.2\%$  heavier than at MIS 7.1 (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). In individual records, a discrete peak is sometimes seen at this point. The well-known V19-30 record, for instance, contains an event of similar duration and slightly lower amplitude than those of MIS 7.3 and MIS 7.1 (Shackleton and Pisias, 1985).

Adjusting timescales for marine cores so that the end of MIS 7 is at 180 ka rather than 190 ka does not generate unrealistic changes in sedimentation rate. If such a timescale adjustment implied large temporal changes in sedimentation rate it might argue against its validity. Recalculating sedimentation rates in the Lisiecki and Raymo stack, assuming that the record at 190 ka is 10 ka too old, leads to global sedimentation rates during MIS 6 that are 23% higher than the average for the last million years. This compares to sedimentation rates during the last glacial (MIS 2–4) that are  $\approx 32\%$  higher than average and is therefore not unreasonable.

# 5.4. Possible mechanisms for this additional sea-level highstand

The additional late-MIS 7 sea-level highstand cannot be explained by ice-sheet melting in response to northernhemisphere summer insolation. Instead, it must be due to a climate response to insolation at another season/latitude, or to a natural oscillation in the climate system. Sub-orbital sea-level changes during the last glacial (Yokoyama et al., 2001; Siddall et al., 2003) correlate with changes in North Atlantic and Greenland temperatures and are thought to be caused by coupling between ice sheets and ocean circulation via fresh-water supply and heat transport. Such coupling is less likely at the end of MIS 7 when northernhemisphere ice sheets are small (as is the case, in general, for sub-orbital sea-level changes during highstands, Thompson and Goldstein, 2005).

Two insolation mechanisms can be suggested, but neither is without problems. The first is to suggest that the very low northern-hemisphere summer insolation at this time (Fig. 3; top curve) keeps conditions in the North Atlantic region cold enough that moisture transport is suppressed (by lower rates of evaporation, or by the presence of sea-ice) and ice cannot grow. Problems with this mechanism are that the ice-sheets actually seem to shrink at 187 ka, requiring active melting rather than just a cessation in growth, and there are other periods with equally low northern-hemisphere summer insolation when ice sheets do not decrease (e.g. 230 ka). The second possible insolation mechanism is that summer insolation in the southern hemisphere reaches a peak at 187 ka, just as the additional sea-level rise is observed. This timing coincidence is appealing and might suggest that the sea-level rise is caused by a melting of southern-hemisphere ice. Southern hemisphere ice is generally thought to contribute only  $\approx 25 \,\mathrm{m}$  to glacial-interglacial sea-level changes, but recent evidence is suggesting that it contributes a significant fraction ( $\approx$  half) to sea-level changes associated with millennial events (Rohling et al., 2004). A larger role for southern hemisphere ice might therefore be invoked for this late MIS 7 event. Again, though, a problem with this mechanism is that other, equally large, peaks in southernhemisphere insolation are not accompanied by observable sea-level highstands.

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels may also play a role in this sealevel event. The Vostok CO<sub>2</sub> record at the end of MIS 7 shows a series of peaks which are not easy to relate directly to events in the marine  $\delta^{18}$ O record. Two peaks of  $\approx 240$  ppmV appear to correspond to MIS 7.3 and MIS 7.1 and are followed by a peak of 231 ppmV (Petit et al. 1999) (arrow "b", Fig. 2). This additional peak occurs at 191 ka according to the Vostok age scale but is 3 ka younger in the Shackleton timescale tuned using atmospheric  $\delta^{18}$ O. There is latitude to move this event to still younger ages because of the breadth of  $\delta^{18}O_{atm}$  peaks in this interval. The additional sea-level peak might, therefore, be caused by greenhouse warming induced by a change in the carbon cycle. This explanation alters the question rather than answering it. The cause of a change in atmospheric  $pCO_2$  at this time is not clear.

# 5.5. The timing of highstands associated with MIS 9

Termination 4, at the start of MIS 9, is reasonably clear in the  $\delta^{18}$ O records and occurs at  $\approx 26.2$  mbsf. Four dates immediately above the Termination are all older than the

SPECMAP age for this event of 338 ka (Fig. 4). The oldest of these dates (363 ka) predate the SPECMAP age very significantly but are from an interval where the aragonite content may be low (measurements above and below these ages are 78% and 24% aragonite, respectively). The other three ages are replicates at a single depth where sediment has a measured aragonite fraction of 78%, and the  $\delta^{18}$ O of both *G. sacculifer* and bulk sediment indicate full interglacial conditions. These three replicates all pass U–Th



Fig. 5. All available absolute constraints on sea-level during MIS 9. A single blue triangle is a speleothem age (Lundberg and Ford, 1994). Coral ages are shown in red from Henderson Island (Stirling et al., 2001); Huon (Galewsky et al., 1996); and Muruoa (Camoin et al., 2001). The new Bahamas  $\delta^{18}$ O data are plotted below these literature data in blue (*G. sacculifer*) and green (bulk sediment). They are placed on an age scale assuming a constant sedimentation rate between the start of full interglacial conditions (at 343 ka—based on bulk sediment ages as discussed in the text) and the end of MIS 9 (at 204 ka – based on the SPECMAP age scale). The lowermost curve shows the SPECMAP curve for comparison (Imbrie et al., 1984). Note that the new Bahamas chronology suggests that MIS 9 started earlier than expected from northern-hemisphere mid-latitude orbital forcing (as highlighted by the vertical grey band).

criteria and are within error of one another, averaging 343 ka (+4 ka,  $2\sigma$ ). Although the very old date can be called into question based on low aragonite fraction, it is more difficult to question the well constrained triplicate date at  $\approx 343$  ka. These ages therefore suggest that full interglacial conditions were achieved  $\approx 5 \text{ ka}$  (or more) before the age for Termination 4 in the SPECMAP chronology (338 ka). Deglaciation typically takes  $\approx 6$  ka (e.g. Broecker and Henderson, 1998) so the expectation is that full-interglacial conditions should lag the midpoint of deglaciation by  $\approx 3$  ka. A 5 ka lead therefore represents an 8 ka mismatch from that expected if climate if forced by the mid-latitude northern hemisphere. This is significantly greater than the combined error on the three ages for this event so there is some confidence in suggesting that Termination 4 is earlier than expected from traditional Milankovitch forcing.

There is very little previous data for MIS 9 with which to compare this new Bahamas data. A single coral date from Huon Peninsula (Galewsky et al., 1996) is also earlier than the SPECMAP age for Termination 4, but is within error (Fig. 5). High-precision dates from Henderson Island (Stirling et al., 2001) do not provide any evidence for an early start to MIS 9, but coral preservation is generally biased to the end of highstand periods because of the possibility of marine erosion of early highstand material.

In the absence of supporting evidence from other records it is premature to be confident about the age for the start of MIS 9 based on the limited data presented here. Nevertheless, this data does suggest that MIS 9 may start significantly earlier than predicted by tuned records such as SPECMAP. This discrepancy would require, as for the late end of MIS 7, revision of tuned chronologies, and would provide new information about the mechanisms driving glacial–interglacial climate (e.g. Alley et al., 2001). For instance, a role for the southern hemisphere might be indicated by the correlation of the early start to MIS 9 with a peak in southern-hemisphere insolation at 345 ka.

# 6. Conclusions

Bulk-sediment U-Th dates have been used to date MIS 7 and 9 as identified in a  $\delta^{18}$ O stratigraphy from ODP Site 1008A on the leeward slope of the Great Bahamas Bank. After screening dates based on their initial U and Th isotope ratios, 'reliable' dates allow the testing of tuned chronologies based on the assumption that climate is driven by changes in northern-hemisphere summer insolation. Based on both  $\delta^{18}$ O and U–Th data, we identify a sealevel highstand at the end of MIS 7, previously seen in coral data, which lasts from  $\approx 187$  to 180 ka and therefore postdates the expected end of MIS 7 by some 10 ka. U-Th dates at the beginning of MIS 9 also suggest that tuned chronologies may be wrong. Based on three replicate ages at a single sediment depth, full interglacial conditions of MIS 9 appear to have been established by 343 ka,  $\approx 8$  ka earlier than expected. These results indicate that the phasing between climate change and northern-hemisphere insolation is not constant, so chronologies based on this assumption (e.g. SPECMAP) may have systematic errors. These results also provide new information about the mechanisms linking insolation changes with changes in climate during the Pleistocene.

#### Acknowledgements

Nick Shackleton first put one of us (G.M.H.) in contact with Niall Slowey, thus starting the use of U–Th techniques to date Bahamas sediment. The research presented in this paper grew directly from that contact and we thank Nick for initiating this research, and for valuable discussion as it developed. G.M.H. also acknowledges, with great gratitude, the help that Nick provided in keeping an otherwise thoroughly geochemical Ph.D. thesis on the climate straight-and-narrow, and for his support and inspiration since then. He will be greatly missed.

We thank Mark Siddall, Bill Thompson and an anonymous referee for their constructive comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript, and the Gary Comer Abrupt Climate Change Fellowship for funding that enabled completion of this research.

#### References

- Adkins, J.F., McIntyre, K., Schrag, D.P., 2002. The salinity, temperature, and  $\delta^{18}$ O of the glacial deep ocean. Science 298, 1769–1773.
- Alley, R.B., Brook E.J., Anandakrishnan S., 2001. A northern lead in the orbital band: north-south phasing of glacial events. *Quaternary Science Reviews*.
- Bard, E., et al., 1996. Pleistocene sea levels and tectonic uplift based on dating of corals from Sumba Island, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters 23 (12), 1473–1476.
- Bard, E., Antonioli, F., Silenzi, S., 2002. Sea-level during the penultimate interglacial period based on submerged stalagmite from Argentarola Cave (Italy). Earth and Planetary Science Letters 196, 135–146.
- Broecker, W.S., Henderson, G.M., 1998. The sequence of events surrounding Termination II and their implications for the cause of glacial-interglacial CO<sub>2</sub> change. Paleoceanography 13 (4), 352–364.
- Broecker, W.S., Van Donk, J., 1970. Insolation changes, ice volume, and the O18 record in deep-sea cores. Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics 8 (1), 169–198.
- Camoin, G.F., Ebren, P., Eisenhauer, A., Bard, E., Faure, G., 2001. A 300,000 year coral reef record of sea level changes, Mururoa Atoll (Tuamotu Archipelago, French Polynesia). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 175 (21–22), 4987–4995.
- CLIMAP, 1984. The last interglacial ocean. Quaternary Research 21, 123–224.
- Eakin, C., 1996. Where have all the carbonates gone? A model comparison of calcium carbonate budgets before and after the 1982–1983 El Nino at Uva Island in the eastern Pacific. Coral Reefs 15, 109–119.
- Esat, T.M., McCulloch, M.T., Chappell, J., Pillans, B., Omura, A., 1999. Rapid fluctuations in sea level recorded at Huon Peninsula during the penultimate deglaciation. Science 283, 197–201.
- Francois, R., Frank, M., van der Loeff, M.M.R., Bacon, M.P., 2004. Th-230 normalization: an essential tool for interpreting sedimentary fluxes during the late Quaternary. Paleoceanography 19(1), art. No. -PA1018.
- Galewsky, J., Silver, E.A., Gallup, C.D., Edwards, R.L., Potts, D.C., 1996. Foredeep tectonics and carbonate platform dynamics in the Huon Gulf, Papua New Guinea. Geology 24 (9), 819–822.

- Gallup, C.D., Edwards, R.L., Johnson, R.G., 1994. The timing of high sea levels over the past 200,000 years. Science 263, 796–800.
- Gallup, C.D., Cheng, H., Taylor, F.W., Edwards, R.L., 2002. Direct determination of the timing of sea level change during Termination II. Science.
- Grootes, P.M., Stuiver, M., White, J.W.C., Johnson, S., Jouzel, J., 1993. Comparison of oxygen isotope records from the GISP2 and GRIP Greenland ice cores. Nature 366, 552–554.
- Henderson, G.M., 2002. Seawater (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) during the last 800 thousand years. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 199, 97–110.
- Henderson, G.M., Slowey, N.C., 2000. Evidence from U–Th dating against northern-hemisphere forcing of the penultimate deglaciation. Nature 404, 61–66.
- Henderson, G.M., Slowey, N.C., Fleisher, M.Q., 2001. U–Th dating of carbonate platform and slope sediments. Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta 65 (16), 2757–2770.
- Imbrie, J., et al., 1984. The orbital theory of Pleistocene climate: support from a revised chronology of the marine ô<sup>18</sup>O record. In: Berger, A., Imbrie, J., Hays, J., Kukla, G., Saltzman, B. (Eds.), Milankovitch and Climate. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 269–305.
- Lambeck, K., Esat, T.M., Potter, E.-K., 2002. Links between climate and sea levels for the past three million years. Nature 419, 199–206.
- Lea, D.W., Pak, D.K., Spero, H.J., 2000. Climate impact of late quaternary equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature variations. Science 289, 1719–1724.
- Lisiecki, L.E., Raymo, M.E., 2005. A Pliocene–Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O records. Palaeoceanography 20, doi:10.1029/2004PA001071.
- Lundberg, J., Ford, D.C., 1994. Late Pleistocene sea level change in the bahamas from mass spectrometric U-Series dating of submerged speleothem. Quaternary Science Reviews 13, 1–14.
- Malone, M., 2000. Geochemistry and mineralogy of periplatform carbonate sediments: sites 1006, 1008, and 1009. In: Proceeding of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, vol. 166, pp. 145–152.
- Malone, J.J., Slowey, N.C., Henderson, G.M., 2001. Early diagenesis of shallow-water periplatform carbonate sediments, leeward margin, Great Bahama Bank (ODP Leg 166). GSA Bulletin 113 (7), 881–894.
- Martinson, D.G., et al., 1987. Age dating and the orbital theory of the ice ages: Development of a high-resolution 0 to 300,000 year chronostratigraphy. Quaternary Research 27, 1–29.
- Mesolella, K.J., Matthews, R.K., Broecker, W.S., Thurber, D.L., 1969. The astronomical theory of climate change: Barbados data. Journal of Geology 77, 250.
- Milankovitch, M., 1930. Mathematische klimalehre und astronomische theorie der klimaschwankungen. In: Koppen, W., Geiger, R. (Eds.), Handbuch der Klimatologie. Gebruder Borntraeger, Berlin, pp. 1–176.
- Murray-Wallace, C.V., 2002. Pleistocene coastal stratigraphy, sea-level highstands and neotectonics of the southern Australian passive continental margin: a review. Journal of Quaternary Science 17 (5–6), 469–489.
- Petit, J.R., et al., 1999. Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica. Nature 399, 429–436.
- Potter, E.K., Lambeck, K., 2003. Reconciliation of sea-level observations in the western north Atlantic during the last glacial cycle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 217, 171–181.

- Reake-Kudla, M., Reingold, J., Glynn, M., 1996. Experimental studies of rapid bioerosion of coral reefs in the Galapagos Islands. Coral Reefs 15(101–107).
- Robinson, L.F., Henderson, G.M., Slowey, N.C., 2002. U–Th dating of marine isotope stage 7 in Bahamas slope sediments. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 196 (3–4), 175–187.
- Robinson, L.F., Belshaw, N.S., Henderson, G.M., 2004. U and Th concentrations and isotope ratios in modern carbonates and waters from the Bahamas. Geochimica and Cosmochimica Acta 68 (8), 1777–1789.
- Rohling, E.J., Marsh, R., Wells, N.C., Siddall, M., Edwards, N.R., 2004. Similar meltwater contributions to glacial sea level changes from Antarctic and northern ice sheets. Nature (1016–1021).
- Rosenthal, Y., Boyle, E.A., Slowey, N., 1997. Temperature control on the incorporation of magnesium, strontium, flourine, and cadmium into benthic foraminiferal shells from Little Bahama Bank: prospects for thermocline paleoceanography. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 61 (17), 3633–3644.
- Schmidt, M.W., Spero, H.J., Lea, D.W., 2004. Links between salinity variation in the Caribbean and North Atlantic thermohaline circulation. Nature 428 (6979), 160–163.
- Schrag, D.P., et al., 2002. The oxygen isotopic composition of seawater during the Last Glacial Maximum. Quaternary Science Reviews 21 (1-3), 331-342.
- Shackleton, N.J., 1987. Oxygen isotopes, ice volume and sea level. Quaternary Science Reviews 6, 183–190.
- Shackleton, N.J., 2000. The 100,000-year ice-age cycle identified and found to lag temperature, carbon dioxide, and orbital eccentricity. Science 289, 1897–1902.
- Shackleton, N.J., Pisias, N.G., 1985. Atmospheric carbon dioxide, orbital forcing, and climate. In: Sundquist, E.T., Broecker, W.S. (Eds.), The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Natural Variations Archean to Present. Geophysics Monograph Series AGU, Washington, DC, pp. 303–317.
- Siddall, M., et al., 2003. Sea-level fluctuations during the last glacial cycle. Nature 423, 787–902.
- Slowey, N.C., Henderson, G.M., Curry, W.B., 1996. Direct U–Th dating of marine sediments from the two most recent interglacial periods. Nature 383, 242–244.
- Smart, P.L., Richards, D.A., 1992. Age estimates for the late quaternary high sea-stands. Quaternary Science Reviews 11, 687–696.
- Stirling, C.H., Esat, T.M., Lambeck, K., McCulloch, M.T., 1998. Timing and duration of the Last Interglacial: evidence for a restricted interval of widespread coral reef growth. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 160, 745–762.
- Stirling, C.H., et al., 2001. Orbital forcing of the marine isotope stage 9 interglacial. Science 291, 290–293.
- Thompson, W.G., Goldstein, S.J., 2005. Open-system coral ages reveal persistent suborbital sea-level cycles. Science.
- Thompson, W.G., Spiegelman, M.W., Goldstein, S.L., Speed, R.C., 2003. An open-system model for U-series age determinations of fossil corals. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 210, 365–381.
- Yokoyama, Y., Esat, T.M., Lambeck, K., 2001. Coupled climate and sea-level changes deduced from Huon Peninsula coral terraces of the last ice age. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 193, 579–587.