### Biogeochemical cycling in anoxic sediments

### Consortia of bacteria are needed to degrade Complex organic mater

Waste products of one bacteria serve as the substrate for another

Major reactions are fermetation, sulfate reduction, and methanogenesis

Biogeochemical zonation occurs due to differences in free energy of TEA yields

C oxidation in CLB sediments show fluxes and processes are In balance, suggesting all major pathways are accounted for.

Natural system closely resembles that expected from pure culture work.

#### Sulfate Present



#### Sulfate Present

Sulfate Absent





Molecular hydrogen as a control on organic matter oxidation in anoxic sediments

Is C oxidation in anoxic sediments under thermodynamic or kinetic control?

 $(CH_2O)n + nH_2O --> nCO_2 + 2nH_2$ 

 $2nH_2 + mX_{ox} \rightarrow mX_{red} + zH_2O$ 

(e.g.  $X_{ox} = SO_4^{2-} X_{red} = S^{2-}$ )

 $\Delta G_{rxn} = \Delta G_{(T)}^{o} + RT \ln \left( \left\{ X_{red} \right\}^m / \{ X_{ox} \}^m (P_{H2})^{2n} \right)$ 

and...

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{H2}} = \left( \{\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{red}}\}^{\mathsf{m}} / \{\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{ox}}\}^{\mathsf{m}} \; e(\Delta \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{rxn}} - \Delta \mathsf{G}_{(\mathsf{t})}^{\mathsf{o}} / \mathsf{RT}) \right)^{1/2\mathsf{n}}$$

#### Oxidation of organic matter in marine sediments

Reaction			$\Delta E(KJ/mole)$	Capacity (mmoles/L sed)
O <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	CO <sub>2</sub>	-475	0.85
NO3⁻	$\rightarrow$	$N_2 + CO_2$	-448	0.05
Mn(IV	) ->-	Mn (II)	-349	2-22
Fe(III)	$\rightarrow$	Fe (II)	-114	14-28
SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	$\rightarrow$	S <sup>2-</sup>	-77	56
CO <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	CH <sub>4</sub>	-58	

#### Rapid cycling of H<sub>2</sub> in anoxic sediments





#### (a) Sulfate Reduction $\Delta T$ from 10 to 30°C 3-Will affect $\Delta G_{rxn}$ by +15 kJmol<sup>-1</sup> 2-Hydrogen Concentration (nM) 1 -Theoretical 0. effect 25 15 $\dot{20}$ 30 10 35 15 (b) Methanogenesis 12-9. 6-3-0-15 20 25 30 -5 10 35 Temperature (°C)

#### Effect of temperature on H<sub>2</sub> concentrations



Fig. 5. Response of hydrogen concentrations to variations in porewater sulfate concentration. Error bars represent one standard deviation about the mean of triplicate sediment samples. A power function fit to the data indicates that hydrogen has an exponential dependence of  $-0.26 \pm 0.01$  on sulfate (compare to theoretical value of -0.25).

Sulfate Concentration (mM) 10 15 10 15 20 5 200 0 -5 -10 -5 .10 -10 -20Depth (cm) -15 -30 -15 Aug Nov -20 -20-40-25 -25 -50 CLB 11/19/96 WOR 5/5/97 CLB 8/30/96 Temp. = 14.5 C Temp. = 20 C (c) (b) Temp. = 27 C (a) -30 -3012 2 9 15 2 0 4 6 0 3 6 0 1 3

-O- Hydrogen Concentration (nM)

8

Sulfate Concentration (mM)



-O- Hydrogen Concentration (nM)



Sulfate Concentration (mM) 10 15 15 5 2010 20-10 -5 .10 -20 5-7x 5-7x -30 -15



#### (a) Sulfate Reduction $\Delta T$ from 10 to 30°C 3-Will affect $\Delta G_{rxn}$ by +15 kJmol<sup>-1</sup> 2-Hydrogen Concentration (nM) 1 -Theoretical 0. effect 25 15 $\dot{20}$ 30 10 35 15 (b) Methanogenesis 12-9. 6-3-0-15 20 25 30 -5 10 35 Temperature (°C)

#### Effect of temperature on H<sub>2</sub> concentrations

- Sulfate Concentration (mM)





Fig. 8. The dependence of hydrogen concentrations on sulfate concentrations in the November core from Cape Lookout Bight (Fig. 7b). (a) blow-up of the 12–16 cm depth interval. Note that sulfate concentrations only reach threshold values below 16 cm; (b) plot of hydrogen concentration vs. sulfate concentration over the 12–16 cm interval. A power function fit to the data indicates that hydrogen has an exponential dependence of  $0.30 \pm 0.04$  on sulfate (compared to a lab value of  $0.26 \pm 0.01$  and a theoretical value of 0.25).

- Sulfate Concentration (mM)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hydrogen as a control on organic matter oxidation In anoxic sediments (fresh and marine)

Hydrogen is a by-product of fermentation and is essential for sulfate reduction and methanogenesis.

Hydrogen concentrations respond to T, [X], pH. Laboratory changes correspond well to field observations.

Variations in H<sub>2</sub> suggest maintenance of constant  $\Delta G$  values of -10 to -15 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

H2 has a very short lifetime in sediments- makes an Excellent E regulator. Small changes in H2 concentration Results in large changes in  $\Delta$ G.

Intense competition by bacteria regulate [H<sub>2</sub>]

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

Thousands of years before present (Ky BP)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### C isotopic changes in atmospheric methane

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

How do we explain the increase in atmospheric ? Why is there a seasonal cycle in methane concentration?

Why is there a seasonal cycle in methane C isotopes?

(can C isotopes be used to understand and Quantify processes that lead to atms increase?)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

There are two pathways that yield methane:

Freshwater  $CH_3COOH --> CH_4 + CO_2$ Marine  $CO_2 + 4H_2 --> CH_4 + 2H_2O$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Carbon isotope fractionation with methanogenesis

Freshwater

$$CH_3COOH --> CH_4 + CO_2$$

**α = -48‰** 

Marine

$$CO_2 + 4H_2 \implies CH_4 + 2H_2O$$
  
 $\alpha = -70\%$ 

# Carbon and Hydrogen isotopes fractionation with methanogenesis

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Production of methane from acetate and CO<sub>2</sub> in CLB sediments. <sup>14</sup>C tracer studies.

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Seasonal changes in <sup>13</sup>C for methane and CO<sub>2</sub>

Table 1. Cape Lookout Bight sediment gas bubble composition and  $\delta^{13}$ C data. Values listed are means  $\pm$  SD for the number of sample bottles listed. Superscripts indicate the number of samples for which compositional data were obtained when different from the number of sample bottles listed.

Date	Methane sample bottles (no.)	Methane content (%)	δ <sup>13</sup> C-CH₄ (per mil)	Carbon dioxide sample bottles (no.)	Carbon dioxide content (%)	δ <sup>13</sup> C-CO <sub>2</sub> (per mil)
6 June 1983	5	97 ± 2	$-64.5 \pm 0.7$	5	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	$-6.8 \pm 1.1$
19 July 1983	6	95 ± 4	$-62.2 \pm 0.4$	6	$3.4 \pm 0.2^3$	$-8.6 \pm 1.2$
3 August 1983	5	96 ± 4	$-61.7 \pm 0.9$	5	$2.4 \pm 0.3$	$-8.8 \pm 1.0$
19 August 1983	5	$94 \pm 2$	$-57.5 \pm 0.3$	4	$2.4 \pm 0.2$	$-9.4 \pm 0.3$
15 September 1983	5	97 ± 2	$-60.3 \pm 0.4$	5	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	$-8.3 \pm 0.5$
16 October 1983	6	95 ± 3	$-60.0 \pm 0.5$	5	$2.4 \pm 0.5^{4}$	$-7.2 \pm 0.6$
20 November 1983	4	93 ± 2	$-62.2 \pm 0.4$	4	$2.4 \pm 0.6$	$-8.0 \pm 0.2$
2 February 1984	4	$98 \pm 3$	$-63.4 \pm 0.6$	4	$1.6 \pm 0.5^{3}$	$-6.0 \pm 1.2$
7 April 1984	4	$94 \pm 3^{3}$	$-63.8 \pm 0.2$	4	$1.0 \pm 0.2^{3}$	$-5.1 \pm 0.7$
6 May 1984	4	$90 \pm 6$	$-63.8 \pm 0.4$	3	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$-3.0 \pm 0.8$
31 May 1984	5	$94 \pm 5$	$-68.5 \pm 0.7$	3	$1.8 \pm 0.6$	$-7.0 \pm 2.0$
14 June 1984	5	$94 \pm 3$	$-64.1 \pm 0.6$	4	$2.9 \pm 1.0$	$-6.2 \pm 2.4$
2 July 1984	4	$97 \pm 4^{2}$	$-59.4 \pm 1.2$	2	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$-10.0 \pm 0.7$
18 July 1984	4	$98 \pm 2^2$	$-60.6 \pm 1.6$	2	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	$-10.6 \pm 3.2$
11 August 1984	5	$98 \pm 3^{4}$	$-57.3 \pm 0.6$	5	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	$-7.6 \pm 1.2$
30 August 1984	4	$94 \pm 1$	$-57.9 \pm 1.0$	3	$3.8 \pm 1.1$	$-8.9 \pm 1.1$
22 September 1984	5	$99 \pm 0^2$	$-58.0 \pm 0.3$	5	$2.4 \pm 1.3$	$-8.1 \pm 1.0$

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Monthly flux and isotope data for methane flux from CLB

Month	Monthly methane bubble flux* (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> )	Annual flux† (%)	δ <sup>13</sup> C-CH <sub>4</sub> ‡ (per mil)
January	0	0	
February	0	0	$-63.4 \pm 0.6$
March	0	0	
April	0	0	$-63.8 \pm 0.2$
May	38	0.8	$-66.4 \pm 2.5$
June	350	7.2	$-64.3 \pm 0.7$
July	1270	26.2	$-61.0 \pm 1.6$
August	1643	33.9	$-58.7 \pm 2.0$
September	1095	22.6	$-59.1 \pm 1.4$
October	409	8.4	$-60.0 \pm 0.5$
November	47	1.0	$-62.2 \pm 0.4$
December	0	0	
Full year	4582 ± 1277	100.0	-60.0 ± 1.0 WA§

# Anaerobic methane oxidation...where has all the methane gone?

Oceans have a huge reservoir of methane in sediments, but Contribute only 2% of the global atmospheric flux of methane.

Several lines of evidence suggest methane is being efficiently Oxidized before it reaches the sediment water interface:

curvature in methane profiles

radiotracer experiments

isotopic fractionation between methane and CO<sub>2</sub>

measured rates of methane oxidation in sulfate reduction zone.

### $CH_4 + SO_4^{2-} --->> HCO_3^{-} + HS^{-} + H_2O$

Energetically favorable, but ratio of SRR/MOR is very high (>99.99).

Anaerobic methane oxidation probably occurs as a consortia between SRB and MOB

# Coupled methane oxidation and sulfate reduction in CLB sediments

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

Methane oxidation and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methane in CLB sediments

$$CH_4 + 2H_2O - -> CO_2 + 4H_2$$

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)