OCCC Woods Hole 1-4 August, 2005

European Time-series Station ESTOC in the Eastern Subtropical North Atlantic Gyre

Susanne Neuer Arizona State University





ICCM, Telde, Gran Canaria

ICCM, Telde : Group of Octavio Llinas Maria-Jose Rueda Andres Cianca Francisco Luzardo Juana Godoy Marimar Villagarcia Javier Marrero Lleire Moroto Rosa Montana

Univ. Las Palmas: Group of Melchor Gonzalez-Davila Magdalena Casiano Lluis Laglera

Group of Joaquin Hernandez-Brito Buque oceanografico 'TALIARTE'



Thomas Müller Michaela Knoll Uwe Koy Markus Busse Jörg Reppin Christian Haag

Univ. Bremen: Group of Gerold Wefer Peer Helmke Tim Freudenthal Helge Meggers Christina Hayn Jens Langer Götz Ruhland Volker Ratmever

Support:

German ministry for Research and Education [BMBF]
EU (CANIGO, ANIMATE, MERSEA)
Gobierno de Canarias
RCOM (DFG), Germany

*NASA (EOS) Co-PIs, R. Najjar, D. McGillicuddy



'Exploring-in-space'....has been the traditional way of ocean exploration since the first oceanographic expeditions.

But...

Few characteristics of the ocean and the seafloor are in steady state, therefore the need is paramount for sustained time-series investigations

'Exploration-in-time'

Ideally in the combination of hypothesis-testing and development of measurement strategies and new observational tools...in the time domain, not space domain....



ESTOC

Hypothesis 1: Dust input influences primary and export production

Hypothesis 2: Export ratio scales with input of 'new' nutrients

ESTOC

The physical context and biogeochemistry

ESTOC is located in the eastern boundary current region of the subtropical NA Gyre



Seasonal geostrophic transports for upper few hundreds meters along 29° Michaela Knoll in John et al., 2004

Seasonal cycle at ESTOC

Typical of a subtropical gyre station

Winter mixed layer from around 20 m in summer to up to 150 m in winter

Nitrate not measurable in upper mixed layer with standard methods

Phytoplankton biomass maximum in winter follows the break-up of the seasonal thermocline in late fall

Chlorophyll levels in winter are usually around 0.4 mg l⁻¹, and in summer around 0.05 mg l⁻¹ in the surface



Chlorophyll peaks during time of deepest mixing in winter.



Neuer et al, in prep.

Chlorophyll peaks during time of deepest mixing in winter.



Neuer et al, in prep.

Particle flux and its relationship to surface water

Particle flux maxima in late winter following the maxima of chlorophyll and primary productivity

processes

Strong interannual variability concomitant with the variability in mixing depth

Yearly integrated primary production at ESTOC = 12 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ and integrated particulate C-export = 0.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹



Neuer et al., in prep.

Seasonal and interannual variability in the carbon dioxide species

 C_{T} biol. calculated as difference between total NCt change and contribution from air sea exchange and mixing.

1. Jan-March Increase of C_T due to winter convection 2. March-October: C_T decrease due to biol. productivity + outgassing

C_⊤(biol) ranges between 2-4 mol/m2/yr



Seasonal and interannual variability in the carbon dioxide species

Net CO_2 fluxes at ESTOC are positive with an average value of 0.05 mol CO_2 m⁻² yr ⁻¹

p CO2 increases at a rate of 1.2 \pm 0.3 μ atm/yr

pH decreases 0.001 units/yr

 C_{T} increases at 0.9 \pm 0.2 μ mol/kg/yr

Gonzalez-Davila et al. , GBC, 2003 Neuer et al. in prep.

ESTOC

Hypothesis 1: Dust input influences export production

ESTOC



Hypothesis 1:
 Dust input influences export production



Particle fluxes at ESTOC and dust deposition determined at Gran Canaria

Neuer et al., GBC, 2004

Do peaks in dust fluxes and traps coincide?



At ESTOC winter particle fluxes coincide with (or follow with a short lag) dust deposition

Neuer et al., GBC, 2004

OK, there is a coupling, but does that imply a causal relationship?

Rates	1997	1998
(g m ⁻² , Jan-March)	33	<u> </u>
Aconan acposition	5.5	0.1
Primary production ²	38	33
Export production ³ (C org, 150 m)	0.73	0.76

¹assuming mean deposition rate of 1 cm s⁻¹ (Torres-Padrón et al. 2002);
² Neuer et al., 2002; ³normalized to 150m (Martin et al., 1987, open ocean composite).

Dust derived N deposition (wet and dry) amounts to 4-6% of new nitrogen need at ESTOC (on an annual basis).

So, what about iron?

Fluxes	1997	1998	a.
Dust deposition ¹ (g m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	11	29	
Total Fe-deposition ² (g m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	0.38	1.03	
Soluble Fe-deposition ³ (mmol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	0.07-0.7	0.18-1.8	
Total Fe-assimilation ⁴ (µmol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	113	116	
Supplied/Assimilated	0.6	1.6	

¹Torres-Padrón et al. 2002, ² assuming Fe-content of 3.5% WT (Duce 1986); ³assuming a solubility index range of 1-10% (Fung et al. 2000); ⁴primary production of 12 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹(Neuer et al. 2002) and Fe:C ratio of 10 μmol/mol (Sunda and Huntsman 1995, 1997)

Iron:

Highly episodic nature of dust deposition might impose feast-famine situation on the phytoplankton and eolic iron deposition may relief iron stress in winter when phytoplankton biomass is high (which might explain some of the observed temporal coupling in winter).

But: What about N₂-fixation?



DIN/DIP is at Redfield ratio at ESTOC, but elevated at BATS. There is no indication of significant N₂ fixation at ESTOC, i.e., stimulation by iron not relevant.

Neuer et al., GRL, 2002

<mark>[35.00</mark> \odot (0eg 32.00 60 12 -28.00 Winter 24.00 20.00 16.00 -20 ΠT -12.00? Summer 8.00 -4.00 -0.00 -20 00

.00∥-5.00

OK, no (major) enhancement of (new) production, what about a response on the organisms level?



Carbonate sedimentation is higher by a factor of 1.4, similar to the enhancement of lithogenic matter, in winter 1998



Ballast: Despite the high lithogenic matter loading of sinking particles, carbonate is the main ballasting agent



Density?

ESTOC

 Hypothesis 1: Dust input influences primary and export production (nutrients, ballasting).

Little influence on primary and export production

Feast/famine situation imposed by highly episodic iron Ballasting effect: rather small

ESTOC

Hypothesis 2: Export ratio scales with input of 'new' nutrients

Seasonality of surface chlorophyll, integrated primary production and export production at ESTOC and BATS



Yearly integrated PP, E $_{POC}$ and ER (E $_{Poc}$ /PP) for ESTOC and BATS

	РР		E _{POC}		ER	
	mol C 1 BATS	ESTOC	BATS	ESTOC ^a	BATS	ESTOC ^b
1996	16.3	11.9	1.4	0.24 / 0.16	0.086	0.017
1997	13.3	12.0	1.3	0.16 / 0.16	0.098	0.013
1998	13.9	11.7	0.7	/ 0.20	0.050	0.017
	14.5	11.9		0.2	0.08	0.016

^aShallow moored/surface tethered trap. Surface tethered trap value of 1996 and 1997 composite of both years ^b Mean of moored and surface tethered traps.

Neuer et al., GRL, 2002

What we would expect...

- When averaged over appropriate spatial and temporal scales, new production is equal to export production.
- Thus, under steady-state conditions, one would expect the ratio of new to total production (*f*-ratio) to be equivalent to the export ratio (ER)

What does that mean...

- Does a lower ER at ESTOC also imply lower input of new nutrients into the mixed layer compared to BATS?
- Three main sources of new nutrients:
 Wintertime convective mixing
 Mesoscale eddies
 Nitrogen fixation

Mixed layer depth and Nitrate-N input at ESTOC and BATS



Cianca et al., in prep.

ESTOC



New Production based on nitrate draw down and mesoscale eddy Activity at ESTOC and BATS

	Nitrate	Eddy-induced
	draw down	nitrate input ^a
	(mol N/m2/yr)	(mol N/m2/yr)
ESTOC	0.03 - 0.2	0.02
BATS	0.07- 0.2	0.12

What does that mean...

Does a lower ER at ESTOC also imply lower input of new nutrients into the mixed layer compared to BATS?

Three main sources of new nutrients:
 Wintertime convective mixing
 Mesoscale eddies
 Nitrogen fixation



Snapshots of temperature and new production in a 0.1 degree resolution simulation of the North Atlantic (McGillicuddy et al., GBC, 2004)

New Production based on nitrate draw down and mesoscale eddy Activity at ESTOC and BATS

	Nitrate	Eddy-induced
	draw down	nitrate input ^a
	(mol N/m2/yr)	(mol N/m2/yr)
ESTOC	0.03 - 0.2	0.02
BATS	0.07- 0.2	0.12

Hypothesis 2: Export ratio scales with input of 'new' nutrients

ESTOC

Yes, low export production at ESTOC is accompanied by a lower input of new nutrients compared to BATS (by mesoscale eddy activity and nitrogen fixation)

AND: Lower input of new nutrients does not necessarily result in lower PP (or biomass) but influences the removal efficiency (export ratio) of biologically produced carbon into the ocean's interior.

Conclusions

Time-series station ESTOC enables a view into "the other side" of the subtropical NAtlantic gyre (which is different)

Especially by inter site comparisons can we learn and test hypotheses on biogeochemical processes on a global scale and on multi-year time scales.

Andrés Cianca:

BATS and ESTOC: A comparison between Western and Eastern parts of the Subtropical Atlantic Ocean

Peer Helmke:

Vanished without a trace: sedimentation pulses in the deep sea, can we determine their origin?

NASA-EOS