# Computational Strategies for Understanding Underwater Optical Image Datasets

Jeffrey W. Kaeli

MIT / WHOI Joint Program in Oceanographic Engineering

ADVISOR:

Hanumant Singh, Deep Submergence Lab, WHOI

**COMMITTEE:** 

John Leonard, Marine Robotics Group, MIT Ramesh Raskar, Camera Culture Group, MIT Media Lab Antonio Torralba, CSAIL, MIT EECS





### the "latency of understanding" paradigm







# motivation from related research Murphy, 2012 PhD Thesis

- compressed images can be transmitted acoustically which images get sent?
- classification serves as semantic compression
- real-time automated classification algorithms correct for illumination/attenuation artifacts?





## motivation from related research Loomis, 2011 PhD Thesis

- *"the images were rigorously color corrected..."*
- radically different approaches towards scene classification vs. object detection
- methods are computationally expensive



### overview of thesis structure Kaeli, 2013 PhD Thesis

- 0. introduction
- 1. underwater image correction
- 2. computational strategies
- 3. understanding underwater image datasets
- 4. conclusions





#### 1. underwater image correction

- detailed model of underwater image formation
- review of broad range of correction techniques
- present novel method for correction for robotic imaging platforms based on estimating environmental and system parameters using multi-sensor fusion





#### **2. computational strategies**

- use Hierarchical Discrete Correlation [Burt 81] as basis for novel octagonal pyramid framework
- demonstrate efficient computation of gradient an
- explore design of invariant features for reduced computation overhead in situ





3. understanding underwater image datasets

- fast keypoint detection and description
- online navigation summaries [Girdhar 12] as basis for unsupervised mission-time low-bandwidth map
- supervised object detection: finding crabs
- building semantic maps



### overview of thesis structure

#### 1. underwater image correction

2. computational strategies

### 3. understanding underwater image datasets





### 1. underwater image correction underwater image formation







# 1. underwater image correction robotic imaging platforms



**SeaBed** - Autonomous Underwater Vehicle



SeaSled – Towed Camera System





 $\boldsymbol{c}_{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{P}_{\theta,\phi} \boldsymbol{r}_{\Lambda} e^{-\alpha_{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\ell}}$ 

 $\log c_{\Lambda} = \log BP_{\theta,\phi} + \log r_{\Lambda} - \alpha_{\Lambda} \ell$ 

### 1. underwater image correction altitude constraints





## **1. underwater image correction** *diversity of approaches to correction*



raw image



$$rac{1}{K}\sum_{k}^{K}oldsymbol{c}_{\Lambda,k}pproxoldsymbol{I}_{\Lambda}\;rac{1}{K}\sum_{k}^{K}oldsymbol{r}_{\Lambda,k}=oldsymbol{I}_{\Lambda}ar{oldsymbol{r}}_{\Lambda}$$

frame averaging



adaptive histogram equalization



white balance



homomorphic filtering



a.h.e. + white balance



### **1. underwater image correction** constrain light field equation using keypoints









### 1. underwater image correction constrain light field equation using keypoints





### 1. underwater image correction estimate beam pattern and correct









### 1. underwater image correction sample corrected imagery







### 1. underwater image correction sample corrected imagery







#### 1. underwater image correction

- detailed model of underwater image formation
- review of broad range of correction techniques
- present novel method for correction for robotic imaging platforms based on estimating environmental and system parameters using multi-sensor fusion





### overview of thesis structure

#### 1. underwater image correction

2. computational strategies

#### 3. understanding underwater image datasets





## 2. computational strategies multi-scale image representations

convolution is still major bottleneck in many multi-scale image processing framework [Van 2011] even in fast keypoint description [Calonder 2010]

can we exploit pixel grid geometries that allow us to substitute adds and bit shifts for costly convolutions while still approximating a Gaussian? [*Viola 2001*]

applications on low-power robotic imaging platforms





### 2. computational strategies multi-scale image representations





### 2. computational strategies Hierarchical Discrete Correlation [Burt 1981]





## 2. computational strategies the octagonal pyramid









### 2. computational strategies the recursive octagonal kernel



![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

### 2. computational strategies the recursive octagonal kernel

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

only 3P adds + P bit shifts!
(for sqrt(2) scale resolution)

compare with ~3.3P multiplies + ~2.7 adds (for 1 scale resolution)

must be vigilant about absolute orientation between levels

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **2. computational strategies** efficient oriented gradient computation

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$\mathcal{M} = \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_x^2 + \mathcal{I}_y^2}$$
$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_y}{\mathcal{I}_x}\right)$$

# how fine angular resolution do we need if we're binning?

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{D}_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# **2. computational strategies** *efficient oriented gradient computation*

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

9% overestimate RMS error only +-3% max bin diff ~6%

$$\mathcal{M} \approx \max\left(|\mathcal{I}_x|, |\mathcal{I}_y|\right) + \frac{1}{2}\min\left(|\mathcal{I}_x|, |\mathcal{I}_y|\right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_x > 0 \\ \mathcal{I}_y > 0 \\ |\mathcal{I}_x| > |\mathcal{I}_y| \\ ||\mathcal{I}_x| - |\mathcal{I}_y|| > \frac{\mathcal{M}}{2} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_{\Theta}} \Theta$$

inspired by LBP [Ojala 2002]

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **2. computational strategies** opponent color space

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\mathcal{O}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left( R + 2G + B \right)$  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \sqrt{3} \left( R - B \right)$  $\mathcal{O}_2 = 2G - R - B$ 

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **2. computational strategies** *color demosaicing*

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left( R + 2G + B \right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \sqrt{3} \left( R - B \right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_2 = 2G - R - B$$

compare O(16P) sums versus O(30P) multiplies!

[Malvar 04]

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

### 2. computational strategies underwater invariance

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$egin{aligned} c_{\Lambda} &= BP_{ heta,\phi} \; r_{\Lambda} \; e^{-lpha_{\Lambda} \ell} \ \log c_{\Lambda} &= \log BP_{ heta,\phi} + \log r_{\Lambda} \; - lpha_{\Lambda} \ell \ 
abla \log c_{\Lambda} &\approx 
abla \log r_{\Lambda} \ 
onumber \mathcal{O}_{L0} &= rac{1}{4} \left( \log R + 2\log G + \log B 
ight) \ 
onumber \mathcal{O}_{L1} &= \sqrt{3} \left( \log R - \log B 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{L1} \\ \alpha_{L2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3} (\alpha_R - \alpha_B) \\ (2\alpha_G - \alpha_R - \alpha_B) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{L2} = 2\log G - \log R - \log B$$

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **3. understanding underwater image datasets** *illumination invariance – keypoint detection*

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

### 2. computational strategies attenuation invariance

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

### 2. computational strategies underwater invariance

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

## 2. computational strategies underwater invariance

 $\mathcal{O}_{L2}$ 

other strategies of invariance:

- single attenuation invariant axis [*Finlayson 01*]
- gradients of log color [Funt 95]
- comprehensive color image normalization [*Finlayson 98*]

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **2. computational strategies**

- use Hierarchical Discrete Correlation [Burt 81] as basis for novel octagonal pyramid framework
- demonstrate efficient computation of oriented gradients and color features
- explore design of invariant features for reduced computation overhead in situ

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

### overview of thesis structure

- 1. underwater image correction
- 2. computational strategies
- 3. understanding underwater image datasets

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

## 3. understanding underwater image datasets keypoint detection

• extrema in difference-of-Gaussian function across scale space make stable keypoints [Lowe 2004]

 $D(\sigma) = (G(k\sigma) - G(\sigma))^*I = L(k\sigma) - L(\sigma)$ 

• however, for "homogeneous" kernels [Lindeberg 93]

 $G(k\sigma) = G(\sigma)^*G(\sigma)$ 

 $D(\sigma) = G(\sigma)^* (G(\sigma) - 1)^* I$ 

• D can be accumulated up the scale space!

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **3. understanding underwater image datasets** *keypoint detection – compare pyramids*

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **3. understanding underwater image datasets** *keypoint detection – compare pyramids*

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

sqrt(2) scale resolution ample for keypoint detection [Lowe 04]

octagonal pyramid

- O(3) adds!
- 14 neighbors

traditional pyramid

- O(35) multiplies & O(27) adds
- 26 neighbors

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **3. understanding underwater image datasets** keypoint detection – log intensity

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **3. understanding underwater image datasets** keypoint detection – SIFT (blue) OP (yellow)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

OP detects same *kind* of keypoints in images (if not the same ones), appealing for bag-of-keypoints model

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **3. understanding underwater image datasets** *keypoint description – QuAHOG*

extract region, accumulate histogram of gradients QUantize Accumulated Histogram or Oriented Gradients

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

analogous to LBP [Ojala 2002]

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

underwater imagery is largely redundant, how can we communicate "key" images?

- offline vs online approaches
- minimize our "surprise" at seeing the dataset
- use summary images as basis for semantic maps

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

<sup>[</sup>Girdhar & Dudek, 2012]

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

5 (531)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Conclusions
  - Decent summary of substrate types
  - Don't need expensive features for bag of words model
- Further work
  - How to make robust to transmitting summary images partway through

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

- intuition from fish detection [Loomis 2011]
  - 1. color saturated red
  - 2. shape long thin legs

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

- white-balance log opponent color is simple subtraction
- compute hue and saturation using binary pattern method
- index hue by weight vector  $\bm{w}_{\phi}$  and multiply by saturation

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

- white-balance log opponent color is simple subtraction
- compute hue and saturation using binary pattern method
- index hue by weight vector  $\bm{w}_{\phi}$  and multiply by saturation
- accumulate up scale space and find local maxima [Swain & Ballard, 1991]

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 1. flat
   2. edge
   3. misc.
   4

   5. corner
   6. thick bar
   7. spit
   8
- 4. thin bar8. spot
- gradients computed at lowest scale, accumulated, then threshold HOGs half their blurred mean gradient

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

3. understanding underwater image datasets

- fast keypoint detection and description
- online navigation summaries [Girdhar 12] as basis for unsupervised mission-time low-bandwidth map
- supervised object detection: finding crabs
- building semantic maps

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

### conclusions

Advanced our ability to realistically process underwater images in mission time aboard robotic imaging platforms

Coupled with state-of-the-art image compression and acoustic transmission algorithms, reduce the latency of understanding paradigm for AUVs

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)